

CODEBOOK

Michigan State of the State 78  
937 Cases

Weight Variable: statewt

## CONTENTS

item		page
ID1	Case ID	1
R1	Data Record	1
cnty	County	2
regn	Region	4
randdrive	Random 1	4
randkim	Random 2	4
randippsr1	Random 3	5
randippsr2	Random 4	5
rand5	Random 5	5
rand6	Random 6	5
city2	City	6
listed	Sample	6
CC1	Confidence: Past Financial	6
CC2	Confidence: Future Financial	7
CC3	Confidence: Current Financial	7
CC4	Confidence: Inflation Rate	8
CC5	Confidence: Unemployment Situation	8
CC6	Confidence: Business Conditions	9
A1	Most Important Problem Community	10
PO1	Politics: Trump Rating	12
PO2	Politics: Whitmer Rating	12
D10	Trust Government: Federal	13
D11	Trust Government: State	13
D12	Trust Government: Local	14
P4a	Michigan Government Priority	15
CD1	Demographic: Sex	16
CD2	Demographic: Year of Birth	17
CD3	Demographic: Education	19
CD5a	Demographic: Ethnicity	20
CD4@a	Race: White/Caucasian	20
CD4@b	Race: African American or Black	20
CD4@c	Race: Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	21
CD4@d	Race: Asian	21
CD4@e	Race: American Indian or Alaska Native	21
CD4@f	Race: Other	22
CD4@g	Race: Refused	22
CD6	Demographic: Religious Background	23
CD7@a	Political: Party ID	23
CD7@b	Political: Party - Republican	24
CD7@c	Political: Party - Democrat	24
CD7@d	Political: Party - Independent	25
partyid	Political: Party - Lean	25
P17@a	Political: Ideology	26

item		page
P17@b	Political: Ideology - Conservative	26
P17@c	Political: Ideology - Liberal	27
P17@d	Political: Ideology - Middle/Neither	27
ideology	Political: Ideology - Lean	28
CD8	Demographic: Marital Status	28
married	Demographic: Married	29
CD10	Household: Adults	29
CD11	Household: Children	30
CD15	Employment	31
UN1	Employment: Union Member	31
UN2	Employment: Ever Union Member	32
UN3	Employment: Union Family	32
inca	Income: Above \$40,000	33
incb	Income: Below \$20,000	33
incca	Income: Below \$30,000	34
incc	Income: Below \$10,000	34
incd	Income: Above \$60,000	35
incf	Income: Above \$50,000	35
incg	Income: Above \$100,000	36
inch	Income: Above \$70,000	36
incha	Income: Above \$90,000	37
inci	Income: Above \$150,000	37
NewIncome	Income: Household Income in 11 Categories (new version)	38
CD26	Household: Phone Lines	38
X1	Location: Community Type	39
zipcode	Location: ZIP Code	39
demo_county	Location: County	40
demo_Detroit	Location: Detroit	42
cellular2	Location: City	42
demo_cell11	Cell Phone	43
demo_cell14	Cell Phone: Calls	44
ippsr01a	Voting: Primary A	45
ippsr01b	Voting: Primary B	45
ippsr01x	Voting: Primary Combined	46
ippsr02a	Voting: Democratic A	46
ippsr02b	Voting: Democratic B	47
ippsr02x	Voting: Democratic Combined	47
ippsr03	Voting: Trump	48
trait0	Candidate Traits	48
trait1a	Candidate: Trump Endorsement	49
trait1c	Candidate: Washington Experience	49
trait1d	Candidate: Never Elected	50
trait1e	Candidate: Progressive	50
trait1f	Candidate: Alt-Right	51
trait1g	Candidate: Business Donations	51
driving01	Driving: Seen	52

item		page
driving02	Driving: Behavior Reminder	52
driving11	Driving: Immediate Improvement	53
driving12	Driving: Long-Term Improvement	53
driving13	Driving: Message	54
driving13b	Driving: Message Theme	55
driving14	Driving: Emotion	55
driving15	Driving: Consequence	56
driving16a	Driving Message Impact: Deaths Down	56
driving16b	Driving Message Impact: Deaths Up	57
driving17	Driving Message Effectiveness	57
driving21	Driving Message: Be Safe	58
driving22	Driving Message: Stay Alert	58
driving23	Driving Message: Deaths in Michigan	59
driving24	Driving Message: Deaths in Area	59
driving25	Driving Message: Distractions	60
driving26	Driving Message: Humor	60
driving27	Driving Message: Wicked	61
driving28	Driving Message: Wild	61
driving29	Driving Message: John	62
driving31	Driving Preference: Fatality Stats	62
driving32	Driving Preference: Humor	63
driving33	Driving Preference: Travel Advisories	63
driving34	Driving Preference: Weather advisories	64
kim01	Children in Public School	64
kim02@a	School: Grade	65
kim02@b	KINDERGARTEN	65
kim02@c	1st GRADE	65
kim02@d	2nd GRADE	66
kim02@e	3rd GRADE	66
kim02@f	4th GRADE	67
kim02@g	5th GRADE	67
kim02@h	6th GRADE	68
kim02@i	7th GRADE	68
kim02@j	8th GRADE	69
kim02@k	9th GRADE (HS FRESHMAN)	69
kim02@l	10th GRADE (HS SOPHOMORE)	70
kim02@m	11th GRADE (HS JUNIOR)	70
kim02@n	12th GRADE (HS SENIOR)	71
kim02@q	COLLEGE	71
kim02@x	SPECIFY: OTHER	72
kim02@y	DO NOT KNOW	72
kim02@z	REFUSED THIS QUESTION	73
kim02b	Grade: K or Pre-K	73
kim03	School Safety	74
kim04a	School Crime: Bomb Threats	74
kim04b	School Crime: Shootings	75

item		page
kim04c	School Crime: Destruction of Property	75
kim04d	School Crime: Thefts	76
kim04e	School Crime: Kidnappings	76
kim04f	School Crime: Bullying	77
kim05a	School Use: Locks	77
kim05b	School Use: Cameras	78
kim05c	School Use: Police	78
kim06a	School Importance: Training	79
kim06b	School Importance: Mental Health	79
kim06c	School Importance: Controlled Access	80
kim06d	School Importance: Other Equipment	80
kim06e	School Importance: Renovations	81
kim06f	School Importance: Signage	81
kim06g	School Importance: Lights	82
kim06h	School Importance: Evacuation Routes	82
kim06i	School Importance: Hiding Spots	83
kim06j	School Importance: Road Access	83
kim06k	School Importance: Fences	84
inclusion1	Diversity - African American Population	85
inclusion101	Diversity - White American Population	87
inclusion2	Diversity - Muslim Population	89
inclusion3	Diversity - Gay Population	90
inclusion4	Diversity - Income Gap	91
inclusion5	Diversity - Escape Poverty	91
inclusion6	Diversity - Race Wage Gap	92
inclusion7	Diversity - Gender Wage Gap	92
inclusion8	Diversity - Gay Marriage	93
inclusion10	Diversity - African American Hiring	93
inclusion11	Diversity - Female Hiring	94
inclusion13	Diversity - Immigrants	94
inclusion15	Diversity - Mosque	95
RI	Recall	95
RIa	Recall: Email	96
contacts	Contacts	96
length	Interview Length	97
idate	Interview Date	98
iver	Interviewer	99
males	Males	100
females	Females	101
final	Partial Interviews	101
races	Race: 6 categories	101
agecat	Demographic: Age in categories	102
adjwt	Weight Adjustment: Phones, adults, race, gender, age, re	102
msueregn	MSU Extension Regions	102
msuewt	Weight: MSU Regions	103
statewt	Final Weight for Statewide Analysis	103

item		page
rac3	Race: 3 categories and missing	103
AGE	Demographic: Age	104
imprace	Race: 3 categories with imputation if missing	106
source	Sample source of Respondent	106
educat4	Demographic: Education in 4 categories	106

ID1 Case ID

937 cases

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 1/1-5

---

R1 Data Record

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	937	1	
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 1/6

cnty	County		
	%	N	VALUE LABEL
	0.1	1	26001
	0.1	1	26003
	0.7	7	26005
	0.5	5	26007
	0.1	1	26009
	0.4	4	26015
	0.5	5	26017
	0.0	0	26019
	1.0	10	26021
	0.1	1	26023
	1.4	14	26025
	0.3	3	26027
	0.2	2	26029
	0.4	4	26031
	0.6	6	26033
	0.8	8	26035
	0.3	3	26037
	0.3	3	26039
	0.1	1	26041
	0.1	1	26043
	0.6	5	26045
	0.1	1	26047
	4.1	39	26049
	0.2	2	26051
	0.1	1	26053
	1.1	10	26055
	0.6	6	26059
	0.8	8	26061
	0.2	2	26063
	4.6	43	26065
	0.1	1	26067
	0.1	1	26069
	0.2	2	26071
	0.8	8	26073
	1.2	11	26075
	3.1	29	26077
	0.3	3	26079
	8.8	82	26081
	0.0	0	26083
	0.3	3	26087
	0.4	3	26091
	1.3	12	26093
	0.0	0	26097
	9.5	89	26099
	0.6	6	26101

November 6, 2019



0.8	7	26103
0.2	2	26105
0.3	3	26107
0.2	2	26109
1.0	9	26111
0.6	6	26115
0.5	4	26117
0.1	1	26119
1.8	17	26121
0.4	3	26123
17.7	166	26125
0.1	1	26127
0.2	2	26129
0.1	1	26131
0.3	3	26133
0.1	1	26135
0.8	8	26137
1.4	13	26139
0.1	1	26141
0.0	0	26143
3.2	30	26145
2.4	22	26147
0.3	3	26149
0.0	0	26151
0.3	2	26153
1.5	14	26155
0.0	0	26157
0.6	6	26159
4.6	43	26161
12.6	118	26163
0.5	4	26165
-----	---	
100.0	937	cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/columns: 1/7-11

November 6, 2019

regn	Region		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	31	1	UPPER PENNINSULA
5.0	47	2	NORTHERN MICHIGAN
15.6	146	3	WEST CENTRAL
8.6	81	4	EAST CENTRAL
14.0	131	5	SOUTHWEST MICHIGAN
46.8	439	6	SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN
6.7	62	7	DETROIT
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 1/12

---

randdrive	Random 1		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
48.5	454	1	
51.5	483	2	
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: character  
Record/column: 1/13

---

randkim	Random 2		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
34.8	326	1	
33.4	313	2	
31.8	298	3	
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: character  
Record/column: 1/14

```
randippsr1          Random 3
      %      N  VALUE  LABEL
      48.0  450   1
      52.0  487   2
-----  ---
      100.0  937 cases

Data type: character
Record/column: 1/15
```

---

```
randippsr2          Random 4
      %      N  VALUE  LABEL
      50.5  473   1
      49.5  464   2
-----  ---
      100.0  937 cases

Data type: character
Record/column: 1/16
```

---

```
rand5              Random 5
      %      N  VALUE  LABEL
      48.6  455   1
      51.4  482   2
-----  ---
      100.0  937 cases

Data type: character
Record/column: 1/17
```

---

```
rand6              Random 6
      %      N  VALUE  LABEL
      32.1  301   1
      32.0  300   2
      35.9  336   3
-----  ---
      100.0  937 cases

Data type: character
Record/column: 1/18
```

city2 City

937 cases

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 1/19-38

listed Sample

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.7	138	1	LISTED
70.4	659	2	UNLISTED
15.0	140	3	
-----	---		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 1/39

CC1 Confidence: Past Financial

First, I'd like to ask you a few questions about how things are going for Michigan residents in general.

Would you say that you (and your family living with you) are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
47.3	442	1	BETTER OFF
33.7	314	3	ABOUT THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
18.9	177	5	WORSE OFF
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/40

## CC2 Confidence: Future Financial

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now, you and your family living with you will be better off financially or worse off financially?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
63.2	562	1	BETTER OFF
21.0	187	3	ABOUT THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
15.9	141	5	WORSE OFF
	44	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/41

## CC3 Confidence: Current Financial

How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days?

Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.1	104	1	EXCELLENT
50.9	475	2	GOOD
26.9	252	3	JUST FAIR
7.1	67	4	NOT SO GOOD
3.9	36	5	POOR
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/42

## CC4 Confidence: Inflation Rate

During the next twelve months, do you think the rate of inflation in this country will go up, will go down, or will stay about the same as it was in the past 12 months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
58.6	526	1	GO UP
5.3	48	3	GO DOWN
36.1	324	5	STAY ABOUT THE SAME
	37	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/43

## CC5 Confidence: Unemployment Situation

Twelve months from now, do you expect the unemployment situation in this country to be better than, worse than, or about the same as it was in the last 12 months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
22.7	207	1	BETTER THAN
24.3	222	3	WORSE THAN
52.9	482	5	ABOUT THE SAME
	26	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/44

CC6

## Confidence: Business Conditions

Now turning to business conditions in your community, do you think that during the next twelve months your community will have good times financially, or bad times financially?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
66.7	605	1	GOOD TIMES
21.1	192	3	BAD TIMES
12.1	110	5	NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD; MEDIOCRE STAY THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
	30	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/45

November 6, 2019

## A1 Most Important Problem Community

What would you say is the most important problem facing your community today?

[NOTE: ASTERISKS (\*) DENOTE FIELD CODING OPTIONS]

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.5	21	1	SCHOOL FINANCE/EDUCATION FUNDING*
3.9	34	2	EDUCATION QUALITY/IMPROVE EDUCATION*
0.0	0	9	EDUCATION: GENERAL
2.4	21	10	MEDICAL CARE/HEALTH CARE: GENERAL
0.1	1	11	ELDERLY/MEDICAL CARE ELDERLY: MEDICARE
2.2	19	12	RACISM/EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES*
2.2	19	13	POVERTY/POOR
0.9	7	14	HOMELESSNESS
5.2	45	15	HOUSING/AFFORDABLE HOUSING*
0.1	1	16	WELFARE REFORM/CUT WELFARE
0.0	0	17	WELFARE EXPANSION/MORE PROGRAMS
1.4	12	19	OTHER (MEDICAL/HEALTH/WELFARE)
12.9	111	20	JOBS/CREATING JOBS/UNEMPLOYMENT*
6.4	55	21	ECONOMY/DEVELOPMENT/LOSS BUSINESSES*
0.5	4	22	OVER EXPANSION/TOO MUCH GROWTH
0.5	4	23	FARMING/DECLINE FARMING
1.5	13	24	COST OF GOODS/INFLATION
1.6	14	25	FAMILY INCOME/FAMILY FINANCES
0.5	5	26	FORECLOSURES/HOUSING CRISIS/PROPERTY VALUES
0.1	1	27	LACK OF REVENUE
2.1	18	29	OTHER (ECONOMY)
0.0	0	30	TAXES: LOCAL/CITY/PROPERTY
0.5	4	31	LEADERSHIP/CITY LEADERS
0.0	0	32	CORRUPTION: LOCAL LEVEL
0.0	0	33	TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT
0.0	0	34	COURTS/JUDICIAL REFORM
3.2	28	35	TAXES: STATE/FEDERAL*
2.2	19	36	LEADERSHIP: STATE/FEDERAL GOVERNMENT*
1.1	10	37	CORRUPTION: STATE/FEDERAL LEVEL*
0.0	0	38	WAR/TERRORISM/MILITARY CONFLICTS
1.1	10	39	OTHER (GOVERNMENT)
0.0	0	40	THEFT
0.6	5	41	SAFETY/STREET VIOLENCE
0.7	6	42	GUN CONTROL
6.4	55	43	DRUGS/DRUG DEALERS*
4.4	38	44	CRIME: GENERAL*
0.0	0	49	OTHER (CRIME)
0.0	0	50	GANGS/TEEN VIOLENCE
0.1	1	51	LACK ACTIVITIES YOUTH
0.0	0	52	TEENAGE PREGNANCY
0.0	0	53	YOUTH AND DRUGS

November 6, 2019



0.0	0	54	YOUTH DRINKING/ALC. ABUSE
0.0	0	55	PEER PRESSURE
0.6	5	59	OTHER (YOUTH)
0.0	0	60	DIVORCE/BROKEN HOMES/SINGLE PARENTS
0.0	0	61	CHILDREN'S WELFARE/CHILD ABUSE
0.0	0	62	DISCIPLINE/PARENTAL CONTROL
0.6	5	63	VALUES/MORALITY/RELIGION
0.0	0	64	FAMILY ALCOHOLISM/DRUG ABUSE
0.6	5	69	OTHER (FAMILY)
0.1	1	70	POLLUTION
0.0	0	71	JUNK/DIRTY CITY/BLIGHT
0.0	0	72	LANDFILLS
0.5	5	73	LAND USE
0.3	2	74	POPULATION GROWTH/LOSS
0.0	0	75	RECYCLING
0.0	0	76	WETLAND/NATURAL AREA
2.1	18	79	OTHER (ENVIRONMENT)
1.8	15	80	WATER/SEWERS*
0.0	0	81	TRASH/GARBAGE COLLECTION
0.0	0	82	POLICE/MORE LAW ENFORCEMENT
0.0	0	83	FIRE/MORE FIRE PROTECTION
11.7	101	84	ROADS/ROAD REPAIR/STREET UPKEEP*
0.6	5	85	TRANSPORTATION/BUSES
0.0	0	86	ANIMAL CONTROL
0.5	4	87	TRAFFIC CONGESTION/TRAFFIC
1.9	16	89	OTHER (PUBLIC SERVICES)
0.4	3	90	COMMUNITY SPIRIT, COMMUNITY SUPPORT
7.9	68	91	MISCELLANEOUS: OTHER
3.2	27	95	NO PROBLEMS
	71	98	DO NOT KNOW
	7	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 99,98

Record/columns: 1/46-47

November 6, 2019

## PO1 Politics: Trump Rating

Overall, how would you rate the way Donald Trump is performing his job as President?

Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.6	142	1	EXCELLENT
15.8	143	2	GOOD
18.3	167	3	FAIR
50.3	456	4	POOR
	12	8	DO NOT KNOW
	17	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/48

## PO2 Politics: Whitmer Rating

How would you rate the way Gretchen Whitmer is performing her job as Michigan's Governor?

Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
5.7	49	1	EXCELLENT
33.3	284	2	GOOD
46.1	393	3	FAIR
14.9	127	4	POOR
	75	8	DO NOT KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/49

## D10 Trust Government: Federal

People have different ideas about how much they can trust government to do what is right. These ideas do not refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about this for each of the levels of government.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the federal government in Washington to do what is right -- nearly always or most of the time, some of the time, seldom, or almost never?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.7	126	1	NEARLY ALWAYS OR MOST OF THE TIME
41.1	379	2	SOME OF THE TIME
26.3	242	3	SELDOM
19.0	175	4	ALMOST NEVER
	7	8	DO NOT KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/50

## D11 Trust Government: State

How much of the time do you think you can trust the state government in Lansing to do what is right -- nearly always or most of the time, some of the time, seldom, or almost never?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
21.3	197	1	NEARLY ALWAYS OR MOST OF THE TIME
49.5	459	2	SOME OF THE TIME
18.4	170	3	SELDOM
10.9	101	4	ALMOST NEVER
	7	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/51

## D12 Trust Government: Local

How much of the time do you think you can trust your local government to do what is right -- nearly always or most of the time, some of the time, seldom, or almost never?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
43.7	403	1	NEARLY ALWAYS OR MOST OF THE TIME
37.2	343	2	SOME OF THE TIME
11.4	105	3	SELDOM
7.6	70	4	ALMOST NEVER
	12	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/52

## P4a Michigan Government Priority

There are many issues that the governor and legislature (in Lansing) could spend time dealing with this session. Of all the issues they could work on, which issue do you think is the most important for them to focus on?

[NOTE: ASTERISKS (\*) DENOTE FIELD CODING OPTIONS]

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.6	65	1	ECONOMY/ECONOMIC GROWTH/STIMULATING THE ECONOMY*
9.1	77	2	JOBS/CREATING JOBS/UNEMPLOYMENT*
8.4	72	3	HEALTH CARE/COST OF HEALTH CARE/HEALTH INSURANCE*
2.9	24	4	CRIME/DRUGS/VIOLENCE/SAFETY/PRISONS*
10.1	86	5	EDUCATION/SCHOOL FUNDING*
1.8	15	6	POVERTY/HOMELESS/SOCIAL PROGRAMS
0.2	2	7	WELFARE/WELFARE REFORM
4.1	35	8	TAXES*
0.1	1	9	SENIORS/PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE
0.1	1	10	REDUCE BUDGETS/SIZE GOVERNMENT
0.4	3	11	MORAL ISSUES/ABORTION/FAMILY VALUES
2.8	24	12	FOREIGN POLICY
4.7	40	13	ENVIRONMENT*
17.0	144	14	ROADS/HIGHWAYS/BRIDGES REPAIR*
0.2	2	15	CHILDREN/ISSUES WITH CHILDREN
0.7	6	16	DIVERSITY/RACE RELATIONS
0.5	4	17	ETHICS, POLITICAL REFORM
11.9	102	18	INFRASTRUCTURE OF CITIES (INCLUDES DRINKING WATER/SEWERS)*
0.1	1	19	ELECTION REFORM
2.3	20	20	GUN CONTROL*
0.1	1	21	JOB TRAINING/RETRAINING
0.0	0	22	TEACHER TESTING/ACCOUNTABILITY
0.0	0	23	REGULATION/DEREGULATION
0.0	0	24	MEAP SCORES
1.0	8	25	STATE BUDGET CRISIS/SOLVE BUDGET ISSUES
0.1	1	26	FORECLOSURES/PROPERTY VALUES/HOUSING CRISIS
5.9	50	27	EDUCATION QUALITY/STANDARDS*
7.1	60	90	MISCELLANEOUS
0.8	7	95	NOTHING/EVERYTHING IS FINE
	75	98	DO NOT KNOW
	12	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 99,98

Record/columns: 1/53-54

November 6, 2019

CD1                      Demographic: Sex

What is your sex?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
47.9	449	1	MALE
52.0	487	2	FEMALE
0.0	0	3	INTERSEX/OTHER
0.0	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
0.1	1	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 2/1

## CD2                      Demographic: Year of Birth

In what year were you born?

19 \_\_

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
1.5	14	9	REFUSED
0.1	1	1924	
0.1	1	1926	
0.2	2	1927	
0.2	1	1928	
0.1	1	1929	
0.1	1	1930	
0.2	2	1931	
0.2	2	1932	
1.1	10	1933	
0.3	3	1934	
0.5	5	1935	
0.5	5	1936	
1.0	9	1937	
0.7	7	1938	
0.4	4	1939	
0.8	8	1940	
0.5	5	1941	
1.1	10	1942	
0.8	7	1943	
0.6	5	1944	
0.9	8	1945	
1.0	9	1946	
1.0	9	1947	
0.9	9	1948	
2.1	19	1949	
1.5	14	1950	
2.0	18	1951	
1.6	15	1952	
1.6	15	1953	
1.3	12	1954	
1.0	10	1955	
1.0	10	1956	
1.1	11	1957	
1.2	12	1958	
2.1	19	1959	
1.9	18	1960	
1.8	17	1961	
2.4	23	1962	
1.9	18	1963	

November 6, 2019

1.8	17	1964
1.8	17	1965
2.2	20	1966
1.1	11	1967
1.2	11	1968
2.4	23	1969
2.4	22	1970
1.5	14	1971
1.5	14	1972
3.4	32	1973
1.2	11	1974
1.9	18	1975
0.3	2	1976
0.7	6	1977
1.6	15	1978
1.9	18	1979
2.1	20	1980
0.9	9	1981
0.6	5	1982
2.6	25	1983
0.8	8	1984
1.5	14	1985
1.4	13	1986
1.3	12	1987
1.6	15	1988
1.7	16	1989
1.9	18	1990
0.9	9	1991
3.0	28	1992
2.1	20	1993
1.8	17	1994
1.1	10	1995
1.4	13	1996
0.7	7	1997
1.2	11	1998
1.7	16	1999
1.5	14	2000
1.7	16	2001
-----	----	
100.0	937	cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 99  
Record/columns: 2/2-5

November 6, 2019



CD3

## Demographic: Education

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	0	DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL
0.0	0	1	1st GRADE
0.0	0	2	2nd GRADE
0.1	1	3	3rd GRADE
0.0	0	4	4th GRADE
0.0	0	5	5th GRADE
0.0	0	6	6th GRADE
0.2	2	7	7th GRADE
0.1	1	8	8th GRADE
0.6	6	9	9th GRADE
1.6	15	10	10th GRADE
0.7	7	11	11th GRADE
18.2	170	12	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR GED HOLDER
7.6	71	13	1st YEAR COLLEGE
13.7	128	14	2nd YEAR COLLEGE
5.0	47	15	3rd YEAR COLLEGE
24.7	231	16	COLLEGE GRADUATE (FOUR YEARS)
2.7	25	17	SOME POST GRADUATE
18.3	171	18	GRADUATE DEGREE
6.5	60	20	TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRADUATE
	1	98	DO NOT KNOW
	2	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 99,98

Record/columns: 2/6-7

November 6, 2019

## CD5a Demographic: Ethnicity

Are you of Hispanic, Latino, Latina, or Spanish origin?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.8	35	1	YES-HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN
96.2	893	5	NO-NOT HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/8

---

## CD4@a Race: White/Caucasian

What is your race?

WHITE OR CAUCASIAN

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.2	742	1	YES
20.8	194	5	NO
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
 Record/column: 2/9

---

## CD4@b Race: African American or Black

What is your race?

AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.1	113	1	YES
87.9	824	5	NO
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
 Record/column: 2/10

CD4@c Race: Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

What is your race?

HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.8	7	1	YES
99.2	930	5	NO
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 2/11

CD4@d Race: Asian

What is your race?

ASIAN

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.1	20	1	YES
97.9	917	5	NO
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 2/12

CD4@e Race: American Indian or Alaska Native

What is your race?

AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
5.7	53	1	YES
94.3	884	5	NO
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 2/13

CD4@f                      Race: Other

What is your race?

Other

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.6	34	1	YES
96.4	903	5	NO
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 2/14

---

CD4@g                      Race: Refused

What is your race?

REFUSED

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.3	12	1	YES
98.7	924	5	NO
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 2/15

## CD6 Demographic: Religious Background

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your religious views?

(Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion)?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
23.7	217	0	NONE; NO RELIGIOUS GROUP (Atheist, Agnostic)
23.7	217	1	CATHOLIC; ROMAN CATHOLIC, ORTHODOX
1.2	11	2	ISLAMIC/MUSLIM
0.8	7	3	JEWISH
30.3	277	4	PROTESTANT (Baptist, Methodist, Lutheran, Episcopalian, etc)
5.4	49	5	OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN (Unitarian-Universalist, Hindu, Druid)
14.3	131	6	OTHER CHRISTIAN (Jehovah Witness, Mormon, 7th Day Adv, etc)
0.7	6	95	UNABLE TO CLASSIFY/MISC.
	7	98	DO NOT KNOW
	14	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 99,98  
 Record/columns: 2/16-17

## CD7@a Political: Party ID

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.2	119	0	ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC
22.1	199	1	REPUBLICAN
33.0	298	4	INDEPENDENT
31.7	286	7	DEMOCRAT
	20	8	DO NOT KNOW
	15	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/18

CD7@b Political: Party - Republican

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
57.6	113	1	STRONG REPUBLICAN
42.4	84	2	NOT A VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	737	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/19

CD7@c Political: Party - Democrat

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
36.8	104	6	NOT A VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT
63.2	179	7	STRONG DEMOCRAT
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	651	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/20

CD7@d Political: Party - Independent

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.2	125	3	REPUBLICAN
29.8	119	4	NEITHER (R PROVIDED)
39.0	156	5	DEMOCRAT
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	12	9	REFUSED
	520	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/21

partyid Political: Party - Lean

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.9	113	1	STRONG REPUBLICAN
9.5	84	2	NOT STRONG REPUBLICAN
14.2	125	3	LEAN REPUBLICAN
13.5	119	4	NEITHER
17.7	156	5	LEAN DEMOCRAT
11.8	104	6	NOT STRONG DEMOCRAT
20.3	179	7	STRONG DEMOCRAT
	20	8	DO NOT KNOW
	15	9	REFUSED
	21	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/22

November 6, 2019

## P17@a Political: Ideology

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a moderate, or a liberal?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.0	18	0	OTHER
33.8	297	1	CONSERVATIVE
40.8	358	4	MODERATE
23.4	206	7	LIBERAL
	40	8	DO NOT KNOW
	19	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/23

## P17@b Political: Ideology - Conservative

Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
33.5	98	1	VERY CONSERVATIVE
66.5	193	2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
	640	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/24



P17@c Political: Ideology - Liberal

Would you consider yourself very liberal or somewhat liberal?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
56.5	115	6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL
43.5	89	7	VERY LIBERAL
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	731	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/25

P17@d Political: Ideology - Middle/Neither

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
38.9	139	3	CLOSER TO THE CONSERVATIVE
15.5	55	4	IN THE MIDDLE
45.6	163	5	CLOSER TO THE LIBERAL SIDE
	11	8	DO NOT KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED
	561	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/26

## ideology Political: Ideology - Lean

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.4	98	1	VERY CONSERVATIVE
22.7	193	2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE
16.3	139	3	LEAN CONSERVATIVE
6.5	55	4	MIDDLE
19.1	163	5	LEAN LIBERAL
13.5	115	6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL
10.4	89	7	VERY LIBERAL
	40	8	DO NOT KNOW
	19	9	REFUSED
	26	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/27

## CD8 Demographic: Marital Status

Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, a member of an unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
51.7	480	1	MARRIED, REMARRIED
11.1	103	2	DIVORCED
1.8	17	3	SEPARATED
7.1	65	4	WIDOWED
2.7	25	5	MEMBER OF AN UNMARRIED COUPLE
25.7	239	6	SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED
0.0	0	7	MISC/OTHER
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/28

married                    Demographic: Married

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
46.2	432	0	
53.8	505	1	
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: character  
Record/column: 2/29

CD10                    Household: Adults

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
25.7	241	1	
49.2	461	2	
15.8	148	3	
7.8	73	4	
1.2	12	5	
0.3	3	7	
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 99,98  
Record/columns: 2/30-31

## CD11 Household: Children

How many children under the age of 18 currently live in your household?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
67.1	624	0	
14.9	138	1	
10.4	97	2	
4.9	45	3	
1.9	17	4	
0.7	7	5	
0.1	1	6	
	8	99	
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 99,98  
 Record/columns: 2/32-33

## CD15                    Employment

We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living.

Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a homemaker, or something else?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
47.2	438	1	WORK FULL TIME
8.0	74	2	WORK PART TIME
3.5	32	3	WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL
0.0	0	4	THE ARMED FORCES
0.6	6	5	HAVE A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK LAST WEEK (ON VAC, SICK LEAVE, ETC)
2.1	19	6	UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOKING FOR WORK
21.4	198	7	RETIRED
2.9	27	8	SCHOOL FULL TIME
7.3	68	9	HOMEMAKER
4.4	41	10	DISABLED
0.6	6	11	SEMI-RETIRED, RETIRED AND WORKING PART-TIME
2.0	19	95	MISC/OTHER
		1	98 DO NOT KNOW
		8	99 REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 99,98

Record/columns: 2/34-35

## UN1                    Employment: Union Member

Are you currently a member of a union or are you represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
20.0	110	1	YES
80.0	440	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	386	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 9,8

Record/column: 2/36

## UN2                    Employment: Ever Union Member

Have you ever been a member of a union or represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
37.0	304	1	YES
63.0	517	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
	110	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/37

## UN3                    Employment: Union Family

Is anyone else in your household a member of a union or represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
22.5	154	1	YES
77.5	533	5	NO
	13	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
	233	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/38

inca                   Income: Above \$40,000

To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the general range of incomes of all households we interview. This is for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly confidential.

Now, thinking about your household's total annual income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive \$40,000 or more in 2017?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
76.7	661	1	YES
23.3	201	5	NO
	28	8	DO NOT KNOW
	47	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/39

incb                   Income: Below \$20,000

Was it less than \$20,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
42.8	85	1	YES
57.2	114	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	736	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/40

incca                   Income: Below \$30,000

What is less than \$30,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
54.5	61	1	YES
45.5	51	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	823	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/41

incc                   Income: Below \$10,000

Was it less than \$10,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.2	26	1	YES
68.8	58	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	851	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/42



incd                   Income: Above \$60,000

Was it \$60,000 or more?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.1	502	1	YES
20.9	132	5	NO
	10	8	DO NOT KNOW
	16	9	REFUSED
	276	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/43

incf                   Income: Above \$50,000

Was it \$50,000 or more?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
47.4	60	1	YES
52.6	67	5	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	805	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/44

incg                   Income: Above \$100,000

Was it more than \$100,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
50.7	252	1	YES
49.3	245	5	NO
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	435	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/45

inch                   Income: Above \$70,000

Was it more than \$70,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
64.7	153	1	YES
35.3	84	5	NO
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	691	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/46

incha                    Income: Above \$90,000

Was it more than \$90,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
27.1	41	1	YES
72.9	111	5	NO
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	783	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/47

inci                    Income: Above \$150,000

Was it more than \$150,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
51.3	127	1	YES
48.7	120	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
	685	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/48

## NewIncome                    Income: Household Income in 11 Categories (new version)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.1	26	1	Less than \$10,000
6.9	59	2	\$10,000-19,999
7.3	63	3	\$20,000-29,999
6.1	52	4	\$30,000-39,999
11.4	98	5	\$40,000-49,999
7.0	60	6	\$50,000-59,999
11.2	97	7	\$60,000-69,999
13.0	112	8	\$70,000-89,999
4.8	41	9	\$90,000-99,999
14.6	126	10	\$100,000-149,999
14.7	127	11	\$150,000 or More
	28	98	DO NOT KNOW
	47	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 98,99  
Record/columns: 7/75-76

## CD26                            Household: Phone Lines

How many different phone numbers does your household have, not including cell phones?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
57.3	530	0	
39.5	365	1	
2.1	20	2	
0.8	7	3	
0.3	2	4	
0.1	1	5	
	4	98	DO NOT KNOW
	8	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 99,98  
Record/columns: 2/51-52

X1                      Location: Community Type

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town, a suburb, or an urban community?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.6	6	0	MISC/OTHER
23.7	219	1	RURAL COMMUNITY
33.9	313	2	SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE
31.4	290	3	A SUBURB
10.4	96	4	URBAN COMMUNITY
	8	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/53

zipcode                      Location: ZIP Code

What is your zip code?

937 cases (Range of valid codes: 48001-49968)

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/columns: 2/54-58

demo\_county            Location: County

What county do you live in?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.4	13	0	GAVE CITY ONLY
0.2	1	1	ALCONA
0.1	1	3	ALGER
1.2	11	5	ALLEGAN
0.4	3	7	ALPENA
0.4	4	9	ANTRIM
0.0	0	11	ARENAC
0.0	0	13	BARAGA
0.5	4	15	BARRY
0.8	7	17	BAY
0.1	1	19	BENZIE
1.0	9	21	BERRIEN
0.2	2	23	BRANCH
1.6	15	25	CALHOUN
0.3	3	27	CASS
0.1	1	29	CHARLEVOIX
0.2	2	31	CHEBOYGAN
0.6	5	33	CHIPPEWA
0.5	5	35	CLARE
0.7	6	37	CLINTON
0.0	0	39	CRAWFORD
0.1	1	41	DELTA
0.1	1	43	DICKINSON
1.2	11	45	EATON
0.3	3	47	EMMET
4.2	39	49	GENESEE
0.5	5	51	GLADWIN
0.1	1	53	GOGEBIC
0.8	8	55	GRAND TRAVERSE
0.0	0	57	GRATIOT
0.3	2	59	HILLSDALE
0.5	5	61	HOUGHTON
0.4	3	63	HURON
3.5	33	65	INGHAM
0.4	3	67	IONIA
0.1	1	69	IOSCO
0.2	2	71	IRON
0.9	9	73	ISABELLA
1.1	10	75	JACKSON
2.7	25	77	KALAMAZOO
0.0	0	79	KALKASKA
7.2	66	81	KENT
0.1	1	83	KEWEENAW

November 6, 2019

0.2	2	85	LAKE
0.5	5	87	LAPEER
0.0	0	89	LEELANAU
0.5	4	91	LENAWEE
2.9	27	93	LIVINGSTON
0.0	0	95	LUCE
0.1	1	97	MACKINAC
9.9	91	99	MACOMB
0.1	1	101	MANISTEE
0.8	7	103	MARQUETTE
0.2	2	105	MASON
0.1	1	107	MECOSTA
0.2	2	109	MENOMINEE
1.1	11	111	MIDLAND
0.4	4	113	MISSAUKEE
0.5	5	115	MONROE
0.4	4	117	MONTCALM
0.1	1	119	MONTMORENCY
1.5	14	121	MUSKEGON
0.4	4	123	NEWAYGO
13.4	123	125	OAKLAND
0.1	1	127	OCEANA
0.2	2	129	OGEMAW
0.2	1	131	ONTONAGON
1.0	9	133	OSCEOLA
0.2	1	135	OSCODA
1.2	11	137	OTSEGO
2.2	20	139	OTTAWA
0.2	2	141	PRESQUE ISLE
0.1	1	143	ROSCOMMON
2.5	23	145	SAGINAW
2.2	21	147	ST. CLAIR
0.4	3	149	ST. JOSEPH
0.2	2	151	SANILAC
0.3	3	153	SCHOOLCRAFT
1.7	16	155	SHIAWASSEE
0.4	4	157	TUSCOLA
1.0	9	159	VAN BUREN
3.6	33	161	WASHTENAW
12.6	116	163	WAYNE
0.6	5	165	WEXFORD
1.2	11	777	DO NOT KNOW
0.0	0	990	GAVE CITY ONLY
0.0	0	995	DID NOT PROVIDE COUNTY/CITY
	14	999	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

November 6, 2019

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data code: 999  
 Record/columns: 2/59-61

---

demo\_Detroit            Location: Detroit

Do you live in the city of Detroit?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
28.7	33	1	YES
71.3	83	2	NO
	821	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/62

---

cellular2            Location: City

In which village, city or township do you reside?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	874	0	SPECIFY
	3	98	DO NOT KNOW
	27	99	REFUSED
	33	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 99,98  
 Record/columns: 2/63-64



demo\_cell1 Cell Phone

Do you have a cell phone for personal use? Please include cell phones used for both business and personal use.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.9	178	1	YES
20.1	45	2	NO
	0	9	REFUSED
	714	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/65

## demo\_cell14            Cell Phone: Calls

Thinking about all the phone calls that you receive on your landline and cell phone, what percent, between 0 and 100, are received on your cell phone?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.7	12	1	
3.0	5	2	
0.3	1	4	
5.8	10	5	
0.1	0	8	
0.3	0	9	
7.9	14	10	
0.1	0	13	
0.4	1	15	
3.0	5	20	
2.0	3	25	
2.4	4	30	
0.2	0	35	
1.2	2	40	
10.7	19	50	
1.8	3	60	
3.6	6	70	
6.4	11	75	
6.1	11	80	
2.0	4	85	
10.5	18	90	
10.0	17	95	
0.1	0	96	
0.1	0	98	
2.9	5	99	
7.4	13	100	
0.0	0	555	NO LANDLINE PHONE
5.0	9	777	ZERO, NONE
	4	888	DO NOT KNOW
	758	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 999,888  
Record/columns: 2/66-68

November 6, 2019

## ippsr01a                    Voting: Primary A

If you vote in the presidential primaries next year, are you more likely to vote in the Republican presidential primary or the Democratic presidential primary?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
36.0	142	1	REPUBLICAN
55.2	217	2	DEMOCRATIC
8.8	35	3	NEITHER
	34	8	DO NOT KNOW
	22	9	REFUSED
	487	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/69

## ippsr01b                    Voting: Primary B

If you vote in the presidential primaries next year, are you more likely to vote in the Democratic presidential primary or the Republican presidential primary?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
33.6	143	1	REPUBLICAN
58.7	250	2	DEMOCRATIC
7.7	33	3	NEITHER
	40	8	DO NOT KNOW
	21	9	REFUSED
	450	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/70

ippsr01x                    Voting: Primary Combined

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
34.8	285	1	REPUBLICAN
57.0	467	2	DEMOCRATIC
8.2	67	3	NEITHER
	75	8	DO NOT KNOW
	43	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/71

ippsr02a                    Voting: Democratic A

Which candidate are you most likely to vote for? Joe Biden, Pete Buttigieg, Kamala Harris, Beto O'Rourke, Bernie Sanders, or Elizabeth Warren?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.0	2	0	OTHER: SPECIFY
33.8	62	1	JOE BIDEN
8.0	15	2	PETE BUTTIGIEG
5.5	10	3	KAMALA HARRIS
1.4	3	4	BETO O'ROURKE
23.0	42	5	BERNIE SANDERS
27.2	50	6	ELIZABETH WARREN
	55	98	DO NOT KNOW
	2	99	REFUSED
	698	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 99,98  
 Record/columns: 2/72-73

ippsr02b                    Voting: Democratic B

Which candidate are you most likely to vote for? Elizabeth Warren,  
Bernie Sanders, Beto O'Rourke, Kamala Harris, Pete Buttigieg, or Joe Biden?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.6	4	0	OTHER: SPECIFY
28.9	49	1	JOE BIDEN
5.8	10	2	PETE BUTTIGIEG
8.6	15	3	KAMALA HARRIS
0.9	2	4	BETO O'ROURKE
24.4	41	5	BERNIE SANDERS
28.8	49	6	ELIZABETH WARREN
	56	98	DO NOT KNOW
	3	99	REFUSED
	709	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 99,98  
Record/columns: 2/74-75

ippsr02x                    Voting: Democratic Combined

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.8	6	0	OTHER: SPECIFY
31.5	111	1	JOE BIDEN
7.0	24	2	PETE BUTTIGIEG
7.0	25	3	KAMALA HARRIS
1.2	4	4	BETO O'ROURKE
23.7	83	5	BERNIE SANDERS
28.0	98	6	ELIZABETH WARREN
	110	98	DO NOT KNOW
	5	99	REFUSED
	470	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 99,98  
Record/columns: 2/76-77

ippsr03                    Voting: Trump

Do you plan to vote for Donald Trump regardless of who the Democratic and third party candidates are, or will you wait to decide your vote until after the other candidates are announced?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
49.8	142	1	TRUMP, REGARDLESS OF OTHER CANDIDATES
50.2	143	2	WAITING TO DECIDE UNTIL OTHERS ANNOUNCED
	652	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/78

trait0                    Candidate Traits

I'm going to describe different traits or positions a candidate for United States Congress, that is, the federal government in Washington, may have.

When answering this set of questions, please set aside all other details about the candidate, such as their political party and personality. We want to know whether each of these specific traits or positions would make you more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate.

Would you be more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate...

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	937	g	
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: character  
Record/column: 3/12

trait1a                   Candidate: Trump Endorsement

(Would you be more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate)

Who is endorsed by Donald Trump?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
24.6	213	1	MORE LIKELY
11.2	96	2	MAKES NO DIFFERENCE: R VOLUNTEERS
64.2	554	3	LESS LIKELY
	27	8	DO NOT KNOW
	46	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/13

trait1c                   Candidate: Washington Experience

(Would you be more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate)

Who has been an elected official in Washington for many years?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
38.1	326	1	MORE LIKELY
17.1	147	2	MAKES NO DIFFERENCE: R VOLUNTEERS
44.7	383	3	LESS LIKELY
	32	8	DO NOT KNOW
	49	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/14

trait1d Candidate: Never Elected

(Would you be more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate)

Who has never held elected office?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
34.0	289	1	MORE LIKELY
17.0	144	2	MAKES NO DIFFERENCE: R VOLUNTEERS
49.0	416	3	LESS LIKELY
	41	8	DO NOT KNOW
	46	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/15

trait1e Candidate: Progressive

(Would you be more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate)

Who supports the political movement known as "Progressive?"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
39.2	319	1	MORE LIKELY
5.7	47	2	MAKES NO DIFFERENCE: R VOLUNTEERS
37.9	309	3	LESS LIKELY
17.1	140	7	NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE TERM: R VOLUNTEERS
	70	8	DO NOT KNOW
	52	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/16



trait1f Candidate: Alt-Right

(Would you be more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate)

Who supports the political movement known as "Alt-Right?"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.3	53	1	MORE LIKELY
5.0	42	2	MAKES NO DIFFERENCE: R VOLUNTEERS
53.8	451	3	LESS LIKELY
34.9	293	7	NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE TERM: R VOLUNTEERS
	48	8	DO NOT KNOW
	50	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/17

trait1g Candidate: Business Donations

(Would you be more likely or less likely to vote for a candidate)

Who accepts campaign donations from large businesses or corporations?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.5	90	1	MORE LIKELY
23.7	202	2	MAKES NO DIFFERENCE: R VOLUNTEERS
65.8	560	3	LESS LIKELY
	40	8	DO NOT KNOW
	46	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/18

## driving01            Driving: Seen

Michigan uses electronic signs to display road safety messages, such as "don't drink and drive" or "Stop texting."

Have you ever seen these road safety message signs in Michigan?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
86.6	773	1	YES
13.4	120	5	NO
	16	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED

-----  
100.0    937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/19

## driving02            Driving: Behavior Reminder

Do the messages remind you of a good driving behavior that you were not using at the time?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.2	239	1	YES
4.3	33	3	SOMETIMES
62.3	477	5	NO
2.1	16	7	DO NOT DRIVE / N/A
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	164	.	Not Applicable

-----  
100.0    937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/20

## driving11            Driving: Immediate Improvement

How often does reading the messages improve your driving behavior immediately? Would you say always, most of the time, some of the time, rarely, or never?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
17.6	48	1	ALWAYS
26.8	73	2	MOST OF THE TIME
31.5	86	3	SOME OF THE TIME
15.8	43	4	RARELY
8.3	23	5	NEVER
	665	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/21

## driving12            Driving: Long-Term Improvement

Using the same options, how often does reading these messages improve your driving behavior long-term?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
16.4	44	1	ALWAYS
27.6	74	2	MOST OF THE TIME
30.3	81	3	SOME OF THE TIME
17.7	47	4	RARELY
8.1	22	5	NEVER
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	665	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/22

driving13                    Driving: Message

What specific message had the greatest effect on your driving behavior?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.9	16	1	SPEEDING
13.6	32	2	SEAT BELT USE
48.6	112	3	CELL PHONE USE/TEXTING
0.0	0	4	LANE DISCIPLINE/STAY IN LANE
0.2	0	5	AGGRESSIVE DRIVING
6.7	15	6	DRUNK DRIVING/DRINKING AND DRIVING
0.0	0	7	CHILDREN SAFETY/CAR SEATS
0.0	0	8	TAILGATING
8.9	21	9	GENERAL SAFETY MESSAGES
15.1	35	95	MISC/OTHER
	21	98	DO NOT KNOW
	7	99	REFUSED
	678	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 99,98

Record/columns: 3/23-24

November 6, 2019

## driving13b            Driving: Message Theme

Can you recall what the message was about?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	1	SPEEDING
0.0	0	2	SEAT BELT USE
43.8	4	3	CELL PHONE USE/TEXTING
0.0	0	4	LANE DISCIPLINE/STAY IN LANE
0.0	0	5	AGGRESSIVE DRIVING
0.0	0	6	DRUNK DRIVING/DRINKING AND DRIVING
13.1	1	7	CHILDREN SAFETY/CAR SEATS
0.0	0	8	TAILGATING
0.0	0	9	GENERAL SAFETY MESSAGES
43.1	4	95	MISC/OTHER
	12	98	DO NOT KNOW
	1	99	REFUSED
	916	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 99,98

Record/columns: 3/25-26

## driving14            Driving: Emotion

Did this message evoke a positive or negative emotion in you, or did you have no emotional reaction?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
48.2	111	1	POSITIVE
11.0	25	2	NEGATIVE
40.8	94	3	NO EMOTIONAL REACTION/NEUTRAL
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	698	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 9,8

Record/column: 3/27

## driving15                    Driving: Consequence

Did this message primarily imply a personal, community, or law-enforced consequence, or no consequence?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
26.8	66	1	PERSONAL/SELF CONSEQUENCE
20.2	50	2	COMMUNITY/SOCIETAL CONSEQUENCE
27.9	69	3	LAW-ENFORCED/LEGAL CONSEQUENCE
25.1	62	4	NO CONSEQUENCE
	8	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
	678	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/28

## driving16a                    Driving Message Impact: Deaths Down

How likely would it be for a road sign with the message

"152 traffic deaths to date, down 34 from April 2018"

to affect your driving behavior? Would you say very likely, likely, unlikely, or very unlikely?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	1	VERY LIKELY
53.3	2	2	LIKELY
46.7	2	3	UNLIKELY
0.0	0	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	1	9	REFUSED
	932	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/29

## driving16b            Driving Message Impact: Deaths Up

How likely would it be for a road sign with the message

"152 traffic deaths to date, up 34 from April 2018"

to affect your driving behavior? Would you say very likely, likely, unlikely, or very unlikely?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	1	VERY LIKELY
14.2	1	2	LIKELY
31.6	2	3	UNLIKELY
54.2	3	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	2	9	REFUSED
	930	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/30

## driving17            Driving Message Effectiveness

When does this message lose its effectiveness? Would you say seeing the same message twice, seeing it three to nine times, seeing it ten to twenty times, seeing it more than twenty times, or never?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.5	23	1	TWICE / MORE THAN ONCE
21.0	51	2	3-9 TIMES
13.8	34	3	10-20 TIMES
11.6	29	4	MORE THAN 20 TIMES
44.2	108	5	NEVER / ALWAYS EFFECTIVE
	9	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
	678	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/31

## driving21            Driving Message: Be Safe

I will now ask you about your reactions in response to reading specific road safety messages while driving in Michigan.

For each of the following, indicate whether it is very likely, likely, unlikely, or very unlikely to affect your driving behavior.

"Be safe drivers."

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
16.5	146	1	VERY LIKELY
38.8	342	2	LIKELY
34.3	301	3	UNLIKELY
10.4	91	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	17	8	DO NOT KNOW
	40	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/32

## driving22            Driving Message: Stay Alert

"Stay alert. 63% of traffic deaths occur on dry roads"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
17.8	156	1	VERY LIKELY
47.6	418	2	LIKELY
26.6	233	3	UNLIKELY
8.0	71	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	18	8	DO NOT KNOW
	41	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/33



driving23                    Driving Message: Deaths in Michigan

"152 traffic deaths in Michigan this year"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
19.7	174	1	VERY LIKELY
39.2	346	2	LIKELY
32.8	290	3	UNLIKELY
8.3	73	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	9	8	DO NOT KNOW
	45	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/34

driving24                    Driving Message: Deaths in Area

"152 traffic deaths in your area this year."

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
29.2	260	1	VERY LIKELY
35.7	318	2	LIKELY
27.8	248	3	UNLIKELY
7.2	64	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	44	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/35

## driving25                    Driving Message: Distractions

"Distractions are deadly, just drive."

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
18.3	161	1	VERY LIKELY
44.6	393	2	LIKELY
30.4	268	3	UNLIKELY
6.8	60	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	48	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/36

## driving26                    Driving Message: Humor

"Drive carefully, 90% of all people are caused by accidents"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.0	131	1	VERY LIKELY
34.4	301	2	LIKELY
38.1	333	3	UNLIKELY
12.5	110	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	12	8	DO NOT KNOW
	50	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/37

driving27                    Driving Message: Wicked

"Don't drive wicked, avoid a ticket."

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.7	129	1	VERY LIKELY
33.6	295	2	LIKELY
40.8	358	3	UNLIKELY
10.9	96	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	12	8	DO NOT KNOW
	47	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/38

driving28                    Driving Message: Wild

"Don't drive wild. Think of your child."

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
21.6	189	1	VERY LIKELY
35.0	307	2	LIKELY
35.0	306	3	UNLIKELY
8.4	74	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	12	8	DO NOT KNOW
	49	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/39

driving29                    Driving Message: John

"Goodbye John. Good luck and you'll be missed"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.2	88	1	VERY LIKELY
19.0	165	2	LIKELY
53.3	463	3	UNLIKELY
17.6	153	4	VERY UNLIKELY
	18	8	DO NOT KNOW
	50	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/40

driving31                    Driving Preference: Fatality Stats

I will now ask you about your preferences regarding reading messages on electronic road signs while driving in Michigan. Please respond with "yes" or "no" on whether you would like to read each one.

Road safety messages with fatality statistics

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
50.2	444	1	YES
49.8	440	5	NO
	8	8	DO NOT KNOW
	45	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/41

## driving32                    Driving Preference: Humor

Road safety messages with humor, like "get your head out of your apps"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
57.5	512	1	YES
42.5	378	5	NO
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	45	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/42

## driving33                    Driving Preference: Travel Advisories

Travel advisories, like "10 minutes to I-96"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
90.9	808	1	YES
9.1	80	5	NO
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	45	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 937 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/43

driving34                    Driving Preference: Weather advisories

Weather advisories

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
90.2	803	1	YES
9.8	87	5	NO
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	45	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/44

kim01                        Children in Public School

How many children in your household attend public schools in your area?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
25.2	75	0	NUMBER OF CHILDREN
36.9	109	1	
24.4	72	2	
8.5	25	3	
4.5	13	4	
0.1	0	5	
0.4	1	6	
0.0	0	20	NUMBER OF CHILDREN
	17	99	REFUSED
	624	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 99,98  
Record/columns: 3/45-46

kim02@a                    School: Grade

What grade is each child in?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.1	18	1	YES
91.9	203	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/47

---

kim02@b                    KINDERGARTEN

What grade is each child in?

KINDERGARTEN

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.3	23	1	YES
89.7	198	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/48

---

kim02@c                    1st GRADE

What grade is each child in?

1st GRADE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.3	23	1	YES
89.7	198	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/49

kim02@d                    2nd GRADE

What grade is each child in?

2nd GRADE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
5.3	12	1	YES
94.7	210	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/50

---

kim02@e                    3rd GRADE

What grade is each child in?

3rd GRADE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.3	16	1	YES
92.7	205	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/51



kim02@f 4th GRADE

What grade is each child in?

4th GRADE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.6	30	1	YES
86.4	191	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/52

kim02@g 5th GRADE

What grade is each child in?

5th GRADE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.0	24	1	YES
89.0	197	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/53

kim02@h                   6th GRADE

What grade is each child in?

6th GRADE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
20.7	46	1	YES
79.3	175	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/54

---

kim02@i                   7th GRADE

What grade is each child in?

7th GRADE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.7	30	1	YES
86.3	191	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/55

kim02@j                   8th GRADE

What grade is each child in?

8th GRADE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.7	28	1	YES
87.3	193	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/56

---

kim02@k                   9th GRADE (HS FRESHMAN)

What grade is each child in?

9th GRADE (HS FRESHMAN)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.2	29	1	YES
86.8	192	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/57

kim02@l 10th GRADE (HS SOPHOMORE)

What grade is each child in?

10th GRADE (HS SOPHOMORE)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.3	34	1	YES
84.7	187	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/58

kim02@m 11th GRADE (HS JUNIOR)

What grade is each child in?

11th GRADE (HS JUNIOR)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.4	19	1	YES
91.6	203	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/59

kim02@n                    12th GRADE (HS SENIOR)

What grade is each child in?

12th GRADE (HS SENIOR)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.2	29	1	YES
86.8	192	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/60

kim02@q                    COLLEGE

What grade is each child in?

COLLEGE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.0	2	1	YES
99.0	219	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/61

kim02@x                    SPECIFY: OTHER

What grade is each child in?

SPECIFY: OTHER

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.6	1	1	YES
99.4	220	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/62

---

kim02@y                    DO NOT KNOW

What grade is each child in?

DO NOT KNOW

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.2	0	1	YES
99.8	221	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/63

kim02@z                    REFUSED THIS QUESTION

What grade is each child in?

REFUSED THIS QUESTION

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.9	6	1	YES
97.1	215	5	NO
	716	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/64

kim02b                    Grade: K or Pre-K

Are any in a public Kindergarten or Pre-K program?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	1	YES (BACK TO PRIOR QUESTION)
100.0	182	2	NO
0.0	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
0.0	0	9	REFUSED
	754	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 3/65

## kim03 School Safety

Do you think that the public schools in your city or township are generally very safe, somewhat safe, somewhat unsafe, very unsafe, or neither safe nor unsafe with respect to crime?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
5.8	26	1	VERY UNSAFE
5.8	26	2	SOMEWHAT UNSAFE
8.1	36	3	NEUTRAL/ACCEPTABLE
32.3	142	4	SOMEWHAT SAFE
47.9	211	5	VERY SAFE
	18	8	DO NOT KNOW
	30	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/66

## kim04a School Crime: Bomb Threats

Have you experienced or heard about any of the following crimes against schools in your area in the past two years? For each, say Yes or No.

## Bomb threats

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
43.0	197	1	YES
57.0	261	5	NO
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	26	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/67



## kim04b School Crime: Shootings

## Shootings or gun-related threats

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.6	145	1	YES
68.4	313	5	NO
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	26	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/68

## kim04c School Crime: Destruction of Property

## Intentional destruction of school buildings or property

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.5	144	1	YES
68.5	312	5	NO
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	28	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/69

## kim04d                    School Crime: Thefts

## Robberies or thefts

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
29.2	133	1	YES
70.8	321	5	NO
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	28	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/70

## kim04e                    School Crime: Kidnappings

## Kidnappings or attempted kidnappings

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.6	62	1	YES
86.4	395	5	NO
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	28	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/71

## kim04f School Crime: Bullying

Bullying against individual students

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
71.6	325	1	YES
28.4	129	5	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/72

## kim05a School Use: Locks

Which of the following do the public schools in your area use?

Door locks and buzzers at entrances

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
86.1	320	1	YES
3.5	13	3	SOME
10.5	39	5	NO
	86	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/73

## kim05b                    School Use: Cameras

Surveillance cameras at entrances or in hallways

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
90.3	300	1	YES
1.2	4	3	SOME
8.5	28	5	NO
	126	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/74

## kim05c                    School Use: Police

Regular police patrols of the buildings

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
66.0	230	1	YES
4.4	15	3	SOME
29.5	103	5	NO
	110	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/75

## kim06a School Importance: Training

Please tell me how important each of the following items are for improving safety within schools during school hours? For each, tell me whether it is very important, somewhat important, somewhat unimportant, very unimportant, or whether you think it's neither important nor unimportant.

Safety training for teachers, students, and staff

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
84.1	385	1	VERY IMPORTANT
14.7	67	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.2	1	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
1.1	5	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.0	0	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/76

## kim06b School Importance: Mental Health

Mental health education and program for students who are suffering from depression or anger issues

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
87.7	401	1	VERY IMPORTANT
10.9	50	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.4	2	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
0.6	3	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.3	1	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/77

## kim06c                    School Importance: Controlled Access

Controlled access to schools, preventing outsiders from entering

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
83.8	383	1	VERY IMPORTANT
14.2	65	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.0	0	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
1.5	7	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.6	3	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/78

## kim06d                    School Importance: Other Equipment

Security equipment other than access control, such as surveillance cameras or metal detectors

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
55.2	250	1	VERY IMPORTANT
37.0	168	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
1.5	7	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
4.6	21	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
1.6	7	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/79

## kim06e School Importance: Renovations

Renovated and updated school buildings and facilities to improve school safety

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
62.9	287	1	VERY IMPORTANT
28.6	130	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
3.9	18	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
4.3	19	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.4	2	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 3/80

## kim06f School Importance: Signage

Signage to show evacuation routes to students

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
75.6	344	1	VERY IMPORTANT
19.3	88	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.7	3	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
3.8	17	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.6	3	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 4/1

## kim06g School Importance: Lights

Appropriate street lights in parking lots and on school property

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
76.9	352	1	VERY IMPORTANT
18.4	84	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.2	1	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
4.1	19	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.5	2	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/2

## kim06h School Importance: Evacuation Routes

Marking the evacuation routes in school buildings using different colors or lights

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
52.5	240	1	VERY IMPORTANT
33.0	151	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4.8	22	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
8.2	37	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
1.5	7	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/3



## kim06i School Importance: Hiding Spots

Providing hiding spots for kids in the classroom to protect them from school invaders

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
60.2	271	1	VERY IMPORTANT
26.1	118	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
3.3	15	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
7.4	33	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
3.0	14	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	7	8	DO NOT KNOW
	30	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/4

## kim06j School Importance: Road Access

Easy access from public roads and streets to school entrances

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
43.6	198	1	VERY IMPORTANT
39.5	179	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
6.1	28	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
8.4	38	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
2.4	11	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/5

kim06k                    School Importance: Fences

## Fences around school property

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
30.2	137	1	VERY IMPORTANT
35.4	160	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
6.8	31	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT
20.6	93	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
7.0	32	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	29	9	REFUSED
	449	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/6

November 6, 2019

## inclusion1                    Diversity - African American Population

The next questions are about diversity.

What is your best estimate of the percentage of the population in Michigan that is African American?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	2	
0.0	0	3	
0.5	4	5	
0.5	4	7	
0.2	1	8	
0.2	1	9	
1.1	8	10	
0.3	2	11	
2.9	23	12	
1.8	14	13	
1.2	9	14	
6.2	49	15	
0.1	1	16	
0.6	5	17	
1.8	14	18	
0.2	1	19	
9.7	76	20	
0.2	2	21	
0.5	4	22	
0.5	4	23	
0.2	2	24	
5.9	46	25	
0.2	1	26	
0.7	5	27	
1.2	9	28	
0.1	1	29	
15.2	120	30	
0.1	1	31	
0.4	3	32	
0.2	1	33	
6.6	52	35	
0.1	1	36	
0.1	1	37	
0.8	6	38	
0.7	5	39	
16.7	132	40	
0.5	4	42	
0.2	2	43	
3.4	27	45	
0.4	3	46	

November 6, 2019

0.2	2	47	
0.4	3	48	
7.8	62	50	
0.1	0	51	
0.2	2	52	
0.2	2	53	
0.8	6	55	
0.2	1	56	
0.1	0	58	
3.4	27	60	
0.1	1	64	
1.3	10	65	
0.0	0	68	
1.9	15	70	
0.1	1	72	
0.0	0	74	
0.4	3	75	
0.2	2	80	
0.1	1	85	
0.0	0	95	
0.1	1	99	
0.1	1	100	
	77	998	DO NOT KNOW
	71	999	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 999,998  
Record/columns: 4/7-9

## inclusion101            Diversity - White American Population

What is your best estimate of the percentage of the population in Michigan that is white?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.1	1	2	
0.0	0	4	
0.1	1	10	
0.6	4	15	
0.2	2	20	
0.1	1	23	
0.8	6	25	
0.1	1	27	
4.3	34	30	
0.3	2	33	
2.4	19	35	
0.2	1	36	
0.0	0	38	
0.2	2	39	
12.7	101	40	
0.5	4	42	
0.5	4	43	
5.5	44	45	
0.2	2	46	
0.4	3	48	
19.4	154	50	
0.0	0	51	
0.8	6	52	
0.5	4	53	
0.3	3	54	
4.2	33	55	
0.2	1	56	
0.5	4	57	
0.1	1	58	
17.1	136	60	
0.2	2	62	
0.3	2	64	
6.1	48	65	
0.1	1	66	
0.6	5	67	
0.2	2	68	
8.1	65	70	
0.3	2	72	
0.3	2	73	
0.1	1	74	
3.3	27	75	
0.1	1	76	

November 6, 2019

0.4	3	78	
5.0	40	80	
0.2	1	81	
0.1	1	82	
0.1	0	83	
1.0	8	85	
0.1	1	87	
0.4	3	90	
0.2	1	91	
0.0	0	98	
0.2	1	100	
	74	998	DO NOT KNOW
	67	999	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 999,998  
Record/columns: 4/10-12

## inclusion2            Diversity - Muslim Population

What is your best estimate of the percentage of the population in Michigan that is Muslim?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.6	4	0	
3.2	25	1	
4.5	35	2	
6.1	47	3	
2.8	22	4	
21.5	166	5	
0.9	7	6	
2.6	20	7	
3.6	28	8	
0.7	5	9	
22.0	170	10	
0.2	2	11	
1.7	13	12	
0.3	2	13	
0.2	2	14	
5.9	46	15	
0.2	1	17	
0.7	5	18	
0.0	0	19	
8.9	69	20	
0.3	2	23	
3.5	27	25	
0.0	0	27	
0.1	1	29	
4.6	35	30	
1.0	7	35	
2.0	16	40	
0.3	3	45	
0.8	6	50	
0.2	1	53	
0.3	2	60	
0.1	0	70	
	95	998	DO NOT KNOW
	69	999	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 999,998  
Record/columns: 4/13-15

November 6, 2019

## inclusion3            Diversity - Gay Population

What is your best estimate of the percentage of the population in Michigan that is gay or lesbian?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.1	1	0	
3.3	25	1	
4.9	37	2	
4.0	30	3	
1.3	10	4	
10.0	75	5	
0.9	7	6	
1.2	9	7	
2.2	17	8	
0.9	7	9	
17.1	128	10	
0.3	3	11	
1.2	9	12	
0.2	1	13	
8.5	64	15	
0.7	5	17	
0.8	6	18	
11.4	85	20	
0.2	1	22	
7.4	55	25	
0.3	3	26	
0.2	2	27	
0.3	2	29	
9.8	74	30	
0.3	2	32	
0.4	3	33	
2.1	16	35	
0.1	1	36	
0.0	0	38	
3.4	26	40	
0.2	2	42	
1.4	10	45	
3.2	24	50	
0.2	2	53	
0.2	2	54	
0.4	3	60	
0.2	2	70	
0.1	1	75	
0.1	1	90	
0.1	1	95	
0.2	1	100	
	114	998	DO NOT KNOW

November 6, 2019



```

          73    999  REFUSED
-----  ---
100.0  937 cases

```

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 999,998  
Record/columns: 4/16-18

inclusion4            Diversity - Income Gap

Since about 1980, do you think the income gap between high-income people and low-income people in Michigan has increased, decreased, or stayed about the same?

```

      %    N  VALUE  LABEL
72.3  621    1  INCREASED
10.1   87    2  DECREASED
17.6  151    3  STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
      20    8  DO NOT KNOW
      57    9  REFUSED
-----  ---
100.0  937 cases

```

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/19

inclusion5            Diversity - Escape Poverty

In your opinion, if a person from a poor background is willing to work hard, what chance do you think they have of getting out of poverty? Excellent, good, fair, not so good, or poor.

```

      %    N  VALUE  LABEL
15.3  135    1  EXCELLENT
32.1  281    2  GOOD
31.5  277    3  FAIR
14.4  126    4  NOT SO GOOD
  6.7   59    5  POOR
      3    8  DO NOT KNOW
      56    9  REFUSED
-----  ---
100.0  937 cases

```

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/20

## inclusion6 Diversity - Race Wage Gap

In the United States, the average black man earns less in the labor market than the average white man. Some of this gap may be due to differences in job skills, and some of it may be due to discrimination by employers. Would you say that this gap is mostly due to differences in job skills, somewhat more due to job skills than discrimination, somewhat more due to discrimination than job skills, or mostly due to discrimination?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
28.3	231	1	MOSTLY DUE TO DIFFERENCES IN JOB SKILLS
26.2	213	2	SOMEWHAT MORE DUE TO DIFFERENCES IN JOB SKILLS THAN TO DISCRIM
24.9	203	3	SOMEWHAT MORE DUE TO DISCRIM THAN TO DIFFERENCES IN JOB SKILLS
20.7	169	4	MOSTLY DUE TO DISCRIMINATION
	44	8	DO NOT KNOW
	78	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 4/21

## inclusion7 Diversity - Gender Wage Gap

In the United States, the average woman earns less in the labor market than the average man. Some of this gap may be due to differences in job skills, and some of it may be due to discrimination by employers. Would you say that this gap is mostly due to differences in job skills, somewhat more due to job skills than discrimination, somewhat more due to discrimination than job skills, or mostly due to discrimination?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
16.3	133	1	MOSTLY DUE TO DIFFERENCES IN JOB SKILLS
18.6	152	2	SOMEWHAT MORE DUE TO DIFFERENCES IN JOB SKILLS THAN TO DISCRIM
30.4	248	3	SOMEWHAT MORE DUE TO DISCRIM THAN TO DIFFERENCES IN JOB SKILLS
34.7	283	4	MOSTLY DUE TO DISCRIMINATION
	45	8	DO NOT KNOW
	78	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 4/22

## inclusion8 Diversity - Gay Marriage

Do you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the right of gay and lesbian couples to be legally married?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
52.0	438	1	STRONGLY FAVOR
16.2	136	2	SOMEWHAT FAVOR
10.3	86	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
10.3	87	4	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
11.3	95	5	STRONGLY OPPOSE
	20	8	DO NOT KNOW
	76	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/23

## inclusion10 Diversity - African American Hiring

For each of the following statements, please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree.

Employers should make special efforts to hire and promote qualified African Americans, in order to offset the effects of past discrimination.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
26.5	223	1	STRONGLY AGREE
34.3	289	2	SOMEWHAT AGREE
4.7	39	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
16.6	140	4	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
18.0	151	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	17	8	DO NOT KNOW
	77	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/24

## inclusion11            Diversity - Female Hiring

Employers should make special efforts to hire and promote qualified women, in order to offset the effects of past discrimination.

(Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree.)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.6	265	1	STRONGLY AGREE
34.0	286	2	SOMEWHAT AGREE
3.6	30	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
15.3	129	4	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
15.5	130	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	20	8	DO NOT KNOW
	77	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/25

## inclusion13            Diversity - Immigrants

Immigrants take jobs away from people in Michigan who were born in the United States.

(Please tell me whether you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree.)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.7	82	1	STRONGLY AGREE
15.4	129	2	SOMEWHAT AGREE
3.1	27	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
24.2	204	4	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
47.5	400	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	18	8	DO NOT KNOW
	77	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 4/26

## inclusion15            Diversity - Mosque

Suppose some Muslims wanted to build a large mosque in your community.  
 Would this bother you a lot, bother you a little, not bother you, or be  
 something you welcome?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.9	86	1	BOTHER YOU A LOT
10.4	90	2	BOTHER YOU A LITTLE
51.7	446	3	NOT BOTHER YOU
27.9	240	4	BE SOMETHING YOU WELCOME
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	70	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 4/27

## RI                    Recall

Thank you for answering our questions.

In a couple of months, we'd like to re-contact some of the people we've spoken  
 with for another interview either over the phone or on the web. Would you  
 be willing to participate again in a couple of months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
89.1	614	1	YES
10.9	75	5	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	55	9	REFUSED
	189	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 6/1

RIa Recall: Email

Do you have an email address so that we may contact you to do the survey online instead of by phone?

Your email address will be kept confidential and will only be used for research purposes.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
58.1	355	1	YES
25.6	156	3	NO, DO NOT WANT TO GIVE EMAIL ADDRESS OUT
16.3	99	5	NO, HAVE NO EMAIL
	3	9	REFUSED
	323	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 6/2

contacts	Contacts		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
20.8	195	1	
20.3	191	2	
17.8	167	3	
13.1	122	4	
9.2	87	5	
10.5	99	6	
2.5	24	7	
1.3	13	8	
1.4	13	9	
0.6	5	10	
1.3	12	11	
0.7	6	12	
0.4	4	13	
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Record/columns: 7/1-2

## length Interview Length

	%	N	VALUE	LABEL
	0.2	1	0	
	0.2	2	1	
	0.2	2	11	
	0.2	2	13	
	0.6	5	14	
	1.7	15	15	
	3.1	27	16	
	4.9	43	17	
	5.0	44	18	
	6.6	58	19	
	7.9	69	20	
	9.9	86	21	
	8.1	71	22	
	10.3	90	23	
	7.9	69	24	
	6.4	56	25	
	4.2	37	26	
	4.5	39	27	
	2.1	19	28	
	3.3	29	29	
	1.5	13	30	
	1.3	11	31	
	1.7	15	32	
	0.7	6	33	
	0.8	7	34	
	0.6	5	35	
	0.8	7	36	
	0.4	4	37	
	0.7	6	38	
	0.3	3	39	
	0.2	2	40	
	0.1	1	41	
	0.5	4	42	
	0.2	2	43	
	0.4	3	44	
	0.2	2	45	
	0.1	1	46	
	0.2	1	47	
	0.1	1	48	
	0.1	1	50	
	0.1	1	51	
	0.1	1	53	
	0.1	1	63	
	0.3	2	65	
	0.1	1	67	

November 6, 2019

0.1	1	68	
0.7	6	70	
	66	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/columns: 7/3-6

---

idate                      Interview Date

937 cases (Range of valid codes: 6132019-11032019)

Data type: numeric  
Record/columns: 7/7-14



iwere Interviewer

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.1	1	51	
1.0	10	419	
3.8	36	515	
7.7	72	535	
0.4	4	565	
0.5	5	569	
0.3	3	572	
0.2	2	573	
1.1	10	574	
0.8	7	575	
1.6	15	576	
0.2	2	577	
2.5	23	578	
1.5	14	579	
0.3	3	580	
1.0	9	581	
0.7	7	582	
0.3	3	583	
0.0	0	585	
0.1	1	586	
1.8	17	587	
1.0	9	589	
1.3	12	590	
5.1	48	591	
1.4	13	592	
1.5	14	593	
0.7	7	594	
0.4	4	596	
0.8	8	597	
0.5	5	598	
0.1	1	600	
0.2	2	601	
0.4	4	603	
0.2	1	604	
0.0	0	605	
1.2	12	606	
1.0	9	607	
0.5	5	608	
0.8	8	609	
0.5	4	610	
0.5	4	611	
0.9	8	612	
0.4	3	614	
0.4	4	615	
0.4	4	616	

November 6, 2019

0.3	3	617
0.5	4	621
0.3	3	622
0.2	2	624
0.4	4	625
0.4	4	626
0.6	5	627
1.3	12	628
0.3	3	629
0.1	1	630
0.6	6	635
1.2	12	744
2.2	21	765
2.7	25	784
4.3	40	851
3.4	32	874
0.1	1	877
10.8	101	914
4.4	41	924
8.7	82	939
3.6	33	970
7.4	70	975
0.0	0	999
-----	----	
100.0	937	cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/columns: 7/15-17

---

males		Males	
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
45.6	18	0	
41.3	17	1	
7.9	3	2	
5.3	2	3	
	896	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/columns: 7/18-19

females                      Females

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.1	4	0	
70.0	28	1	
18.9	8	2	
	896	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/columns: 7/20-21

---

final                              Partial Interviews

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
93.7	878	1	COMPLETED INTERVIEW
6.3	59	2	PARTIAL INTERVIEW
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/columns: 7/22-23

---

racess                              Race: 6 categories

Recoded race of respondent (multiple responses coded to a single group)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.7	719	1	White
12.5	113	2	African American
0.4	3	3	Hawaiian Pacific Islander
2.0	18	4	Asian
5.4	48	5	Native American
	35	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937		cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 7/43

## agecat                    Demographic: Age in categories

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.4	105	1	18 - 24 Yrs
9.8	90	2	25 - 29 Yrs
15.1	139	3	30 - 39 Yrs
17.1	158	4	40 - 49 Yrs
18.5	170	5	50 - 59 Yrs
5.8	54	6	60 - 64 Yrs
22.3	206	7	65 or older
	14	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 9  
Record/column: 7/44

## adjwt                    Weight Adjustment: Phones, adults, race, gender, age, region

937 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.1297-7.8806)

Data type: numeric  
Decimals: 4  
Missing-data code: 0.0000  
Record/columns: 7/46-52

## msueregn                MSU Extension Regions

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	31	1	UP
5.0	47	2	NORTH LP
15.6	146	3	W. CENTRAL
8.6	81	4	E. CENTRAL
14.0	131	5	SOUTHWEST
53.5	501	6	SOUTHEAST URBAN
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/column: 7/54

msuewt                    Weight: MSU Regions

937 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.1046-7.8806)

Data type: numeric  
 Decimals: 4  
 Record/columns: 7/56-62

---

statewt                    Final Weight for Statewide Analysis

937 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.0990-7.6317)

Data type: numeric  
 Decimals: 4  
 Record/columns: 7/64-70

---

rac3                      Race: 3 categories and missing

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.7	719	1	White
12.5	113	2	African American
7.7	70	3	Other
	35	0	Refuse-Not codable
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,0  
 Record/column: 7/71

## AGE                      Demographic: Age

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	30	18	
1.7	16	19	
1.2	11	20	
0.7	7	21	
1.4	13	22	
1.1	10	23	
1.8	17	24	
2.1	20	25	
3.0	28	26	
1.0	9	27	
2.0	18	28	
1.7	16	29	
1.7	15	30	
1.3	12	31	
1.4	13	32	
1.5	14	33	
0.8	8	34	
2.7	25	35	
0.6	5	36	
1.0	9	37	
2.1	20	38	
1.9	18	39	
1.7	15	40	
0.7	6	41	
0.3	2	42	
2.0	18	43	
1.2	11	44	
3.4	32	45	
1.5	14	46	
1.5	14	47	
2.4	22	48	
2.5	23	49	
1.2	11	50	
1.2	11	51	
2.2	20	52	
1.9	17	53	
1.8	17	54	
2.0	18	55	
2.5	23	56	
1.8	17	57	
1.9	18	58	
2.1	19	59	
1.3	12	60	
1.1	11	61	
1.0	10	62	

November 6, 2019

1.1	10	63	
1.4	12	64	
1.6	15	65	
1.6	15	66	
2.0	18	67	
1.5	14	68	
2.1	19	69	
1.0	9	70	
1.0	9	71	
1.0	9	72	
0.9	8	73	
0.6	5	74	
0.8	7	75	
1.1	10	76	
0.5	5	77	
0.8	8	78	
0.5	4	79	
0.7	7	80	
1.0	9	81	
0.5	5	82	
0.5	5	83	
0.3	3	84	
1.1	10	85	
0.2	2	86	
0.2	2	87	
0.1	1	88	
0.1	1	89	
0.2	1	90	
0.2	2	91	
0.1	1	92	
0.1	1	94	
	14	0	REFUSED/MISSING
-----	----		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/columns: 7/72-73

November 6, 2019

imprace                    Race: 3 categories with imputation if missing

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.5	745	1	White
13.0	122	2	African American
7.5	70	3	Other
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/column: 7/74

---

source                    Sample source of Respondent

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
19.5	183	1	Fresh Landline
4.3	40	2	Recall Landline
60.3	565	3	Fresh Cell
15.8	148	4	Recall Cell
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/column: 7/77

---

educat4                    Demographic: Education in 4 categories

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	31	1	< H.S.
18.2	170	2	H.S. Grad
32.8	306	3	Some College
45.7	426	4	College+
	3	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	937	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/column: 7/78