

CODEBOOK

Michigan State of the State 66
978

Weight Variable: statewt2

CONTENTS

item		page
ID1	Case ID	1
R1	Data Record	1
cnty	County	2
regn	Region	4
random1	Random 1	4
random2	Random 2	4
random3	Random 3	5
random4	Random 4	5
random5	Random 5	5
city2	City	5
listed	Sample	6
CC1	Past Financial	6
CC2	Future Financial	7
CC3	Current Financial	7
CC4	Inflation Rate	8
CC5	Unemployment Situation	8
CC6	Business Conditions	9
PO1	Obama Rating	9
PO2	Snyder Rating	10
gupta01	Tax - Effectiveness	10
gupta02	Tax - Percentage	11
gupta03	Tax - High-Income	12
gupta04	Tax - Low-Income	13
gupta05	Tax - Similar	13
gupta06	Tax - Comparison	14
gupta07	Tax - Homeowner	14
gupta08	Tax - Mortgage	15
gupta09	Tax - Preparer	15
gupta10	Tax - Software	16
gupta11	Tax - Assitance	16
gupta12	Tax - Cash to Poor	17
gupta13	Tax - Military	17
gupta14	Tax - Social Security	18
gupta15	Tax - Foreign Aid	18
marquart01	Energy - Rebates	19
marquart02	Energy - Policy	19
marquart03	Energy - 2025	20
marquart04	Energy - Promote	20
marquart05	Energy - Officials - Best Interests	21
marquart06	Energy - Officials - Trust	21
marquart07	Energy - Officials - Good Decisions	22
marquart08	Energy - Officials - Know Best	22
marquart09	Energy - Weatherize	23

item		page
marquart10	Energy - HVAC	23
marquart11	Energy - Appliances	24
marquart12	Energy - Maintenance	24
marquart13	Energy - Carpool	25
marquart14	Energy - Thermostat Summer	25
marquart15	Energy - Thermostat Winter	26
marquart16	Energy - Approach	26
sustain1a	Sustainability - Associate - Economy	27
sustain1b	Sustainability - Associate - Ecosystem	27
sustain1c	Sustainability - Associate - Equality	28
sustain1d	Sustainability - Associate - Climate Change	28
sustain1e	Sustainability - Associate - Conservation	29
sustain1f	Sustainability - Associate - Consumption	29
sustain1g	Sustainability - Associate - Diversity	30
sustain1h	Sustainability - Associate - Educated	30
sustain1i	Sustainability - Associate - Engaged	31
sustain1j	Sustainability - Associate - Health	31
sustain1k	Sustainability - Associate - Animals	32
sustain1l	Sustainability - Associate - Parks	32
sustain1m	Sustainability - Associate - Growth	33
sustain1n	Sustainability - Associate - Poverty	33
sustain1o	Sustainability - Associate - Water	34
sustain1p	Sustainability - Associate - Food	34
sustain2a	Sustainability - Importance - Economy	35
sustain2b	Sustainability - Importance - Ecosystem	35
sustain2c	Sustainability - Importance - Equality	36
sustain2d	Sustainability - Importance - Climate Change	36
sustain2e	Sustainability - Importance - Conservation	37
sustain2f	Sustainability - Importance - Consumption	37
sustain2g	Sustainability - Importance - Diversity	38
sustain2h	Sustainability - Importance - Educated	38
sustain2i	Sustainability - Importance - Engaged	39
sustain2j	Sustainability - Importance - Health	39
sustain2k	Sustainability - Importance - Animals	40
sustain2l	Sustainability - Importance - Parks	40
sustain2m	Sustainability - Importance - Growth	41
sustain2n	Sustainability - Importance - Poverty	41
sustain2o	Sustainability - Importance - Water	42
sustain2p	Sustainability - Importance - Food	42
thiel1	Newborn Screening - Keep	43
thiel2	Newborn Screening - Available	43
thiel13	Newborn Screening - MBDC	44
thiel3	Newborn Screening - BioTrust	44
thiel3a	Newborn Screening - BioTrust - Hear	45
thiel31	Newborn Screening - BioTrust - Born	45
thiel32	Newborn Screening - Children	46

item		page
thiel33	Newborn Screening - 2010	46
thiel51	Newborn Screening - Research 1	47
thiel61a	Newborn Screening - Research 2	48
thiel61b	Newborn Screening - Research 3	49
thiel71	Newborn Screening - Benefit	50
thiel72	Newborn Screening - Risk	50
thiel73	Newborn Screening - Child	51
thiel41	Newborn Screening - TF 1	51
thiel42	Newborn Screening - TF 2	52
CD1	Sex	52
CD2	Year Birth	53
CD3	Education Level	55
CD5a	Ethnicity	56
CD4@a	Race - White/Caucasian	56
CD4@b	Race - African American or Black	56
CD4@c	Race - Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	57
CD4@d	Race - Asian	57
CD4@e	Race - American Indian or Alaska Native	57
CD4@f	Race - Other	58
CD4@g	Race - Refused	58
CD6	Religious Background	59
CD7@a	Political Party ID	59
CD7@b	Political Party - Republican	60
CD7@c	Political Party - Democrat	60
CD7@d	Political Party - Independent	61
partyid	Political Party - Lean	61
P17@a	Political Ideology	62
P17@b	Political Ideology - Conservative	62
P17@c	Political Ideology - Liberal	63
P17@d	Political Ideology - Middle/Neither	63
ideology	Political Ideology - Lean	64
CD8	Marital Status	64
married	Married	65
CD10	Adults HH	65
CD11	Children HH	66
CD15	Employment	67
UN1	Union Member	67
UN2	Ever Union Member	68
UN3	Union Family	68
inca	Income Above \$40,000	69
incb	Income Below \$20,000	69
incca	Income Below \$30,000	70
incc	Income Below \$10,000	70
incd	Income Above \$60,000	71
incf	Income Above \$50,000	71
incg	Income Above \$100,000	72

item		page
inch	Income Above \$70,000	72
incha	Income Above \$90,000	73
inci	Income Above \$150,000	73
NewIncome	R Household Income in 11 Categories (new version)	74
CD26	Phone Lines	74
X1	Type Community	75
zipcode	Zipcode	75
demo_county	County	76
demo_Detroit	Live in Detroit	78
cellular2	City of Residence	78
demo_cell1	Cell Phone	79
demo_cell4	Calls to Cell Phone	80
RI	RI	81
contacts	contacts	81
length	Interview Length	82
idate	Interview Date	84
iwcr	Interviewer	86
males	Males	87
females	Females	87
races	Respondent Race	88
agecat	Rs age in categories	88
adjwt2	Weight adj for phones adults race gender age within reg	88
msueregn	Region code based on MSU Extension Groupings	89
msuewt2	weight by MSU region	89
statewt2	Weight for Statewide Estimates	89
rac3	Race 3 categories	89
AGE	Age of Respondent	90
imprace	Respondent Race with Imputation for missing data	92
source	Sample source of Respondent	92
educat4	Respondents Level of Education	92

ID1 Case ID

978 cases

Data type: character
Record/columns: 1/1-5

R1 Data Record

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	978	1	
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 1/6

cnty		County		
	%	N	VALUE	LABEL
	0.1	1	26001	
	0.1	1	26003	
	0.3	3	26005	
	0.1	1	26007	
	0.0	0	26011	
	0.1	1	26013	
	0.8	8	26015	
	1.1	11	26017	
	0.0	0	26019	
	2.1	20	26021	
	0.2	2	26023	
	1.5	14	26025	
	0.2	2	26027	
	0.4	4	26029	
	0.4	4	26031	
	0.5	5	26033	
	0.1	1	26035	
	0.3	3	26037	
	0.1	1	26039	
	0.2	2	26041	
	0.6	6	26043	
	0.7	7	26045	
	0.5	4	26047	
	4.8	47	26049	
	0.4	3	26051	
	0.1	1	26053	
	1.4	13	26055	
	0.5	5	26057	
	0.3	3	26059	
	0.3	3	26061	
	0.2	2	26063	
	4.9	48	26065	
	0.6	6	26067	
	0.3	3	26069	
	0.1	1	26071	
	0.5	5	26073	
	1.7	16	26075	
	2.2	21	26077	
	0.3	3	26079	
	6.8	67	26081	
	0.4	4	26085	
	0.3	3	26087	
	1.2	12	26091	
	1.9	18	26093	
	8.3	81	26099	

November 7, 2013

0.2	2	26101
0.6	6	26103
0.3	3	26105
0.2	2	26107
0.1	1	26109
1.4	14	26111
0.7	7	26115
0.8	8	26117
0.0	0	26119
1.8	18	26121
0.3	3	26123
14.5	142	26125
0.5	5	26127
0.3	3	26129
0.2	2	26131
0.1	1	26133
0.1	1	26135
0.7	7	26137
1.9	19	26139
0.0	0	26141
2.9	28	26145
1.7	17	26147
0.2	2	26149
0.3	3	26151
0.3	3	26153
0.8	8	26155
0.4	4	26157
0.2	2	26159
4.5	44	26161
15.7	153	26163
0.3	3	26165
-----	---	
100.0	978	cases

Data type: numeric
Record/columns: 1/7-11

November 7, 2013

regn	Region		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	33	1	UPPER PENNINSULA
5.1	50	2	NORTHERN MICHIGAN
15.2	149	3	WEST CENTRAL
8.8	86	4	EAST CENTRAL
14.0	137	5	SOUTHWEST MICHIGAN
46.5	455	6	SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN
7.1	69	7	DETROIT
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 1/12

random1	Random 1		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
49.4	483	1	
50.6	495	2	
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 1/13

random2	Random 2		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
32.1	314	1	
33.7	329	2	
34.2	335	3	
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 1/14

```
random3          Random 3
      %      N  VALUE LABEL
      27.0  264     1
      24.5  239     2
      21.9  214     3
      26.6  261     4
-----
100.0  978 cases

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 1/15
```

```
random4          Random 4
      %      N  VALUE LABEL
      48.5  474     1
      51.5  504     2
-----
100.0  978 cases

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 1/16
```

```
random5          Random 5
      %      N  VALUE LABEL
      46.2  452     1
      53.8  526     2
-----
100.0  978 cases

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 1/17
```

```
city2           City
978 cases

Data type: character
Record/columns: 1/18-37
```

```

listed          Sample
      %      N  VALUE LABEL
29.3  287    1  LISTED
16.7  163    2  UNLISTED
54.0  528    3
-----
100.0 978 cases

```

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 1/38

CC1 Past Financial

I would like to start by asking you a few questions about how things are going for Michigan residents in general.

Would you say that you (and your family living with you) are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago?

```

      %      N  VALUE LABEL
35.6  345    1  BETTER OFF
31.4  305    3  ABOUT THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
33.0  320    5  WORSE OFF
      4      8  DO NOT KNOW
      3      9  REFUSED
-----
100.0 978 cases

```

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/39

CC2 Future Financial

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now, you (and your family living with you) will be better off financially or worse off financially?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
54.2	496	1	BETTER OFF
19.7	181	3	ABOUT THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
26.1	239	5	WORSE OFF
	63	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/40

CC3 Current Financial

How would you rate your households overall financial situation these days?

Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.8	66	1	EXCELLENT
42.9	417	2	GOOD
35.0	341	3	JUST FAIR
8.2	80	4	NOT SO GOOD
7.1	69	5	POOR
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/41

CC4 Inflation Rate

During the next twelve months, do you think the rate of inflation in this country will go up, will go down, or will stay about the same as it was in the past 12 months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
63.5	599	1	GO UP
4.0	38	3	GO DOWN
32.5	307	5	STAY ABOUT THE SAME
	32	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/42

CC5 Unemployment Situation

Twelve months from now, do you expect the unemployment situation in this country to be better than, worse than, or about the same as it was in the last 12 months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
30.0	287	1	BETTER THAN
25.0	239	3	WORSE THAN
45.0	431	5	ABOUT THE SAME
	21	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/43

CC6 Business Conditions

Now turning to business conditions in your community, do you think that during the next twelve months your community will have good times financially, or bad times financially?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
50.1	474	1	GOOD TIMES
38.0	359	3	BAD TIMES
11.9	113	5	NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD; MEDIOCRE STAY THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
	32	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/44

PO1 Obama Rating

The next couple of questions are about our elected officials.

Overall, how would you rate the way Barack Obama is performing his job as President?

Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.9	105	1	EXCELLENT
27.4	264	2	GOOD
28.3	273	3	FAIR
33.3	321	4	POOR
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/45

PO2 Snyder Rating

How would you rate the way Rick Snyder is performing his job as Governor?

Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.2	58	1	EXCELLENT
29.4	276	2	GOOD
38.6	362	3	FAIR
25.8	242	4	POOR
	31	8	DO NOT KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/46

gupta01 Tax - Effectiveness

Overall, how well do you think the federal government spends your tax dollars?

Would you say it is spent very effectively, somewhat effectively, somewhat ineffectively, or very ineffectively?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	33	1	VERY EFFECTIVELY
19.6	191	2	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVELY
1.0	10	3	NEITHER EFFECTIVELY NOR INEFFECTIVELY (R VOLUNTEERED)
37.6	365	4	SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVELY
38.4	374	5	VERY INEFFECTIVELY
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/47

gupta02 Tax - Percentage

What percentage of your household's income would you say is paid in federal income tax?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.0	60	0	PERCENT
0.1	1	1	
0.7	5	2	
0.4	3	4	
1.2	9	5	
0.1	1	6	
0.6	5	7	
0.5	4	8	
7.5	56	10	
0.9	6	12	
0.2	1	13	
0.3	2	14	
9.6	72	15	
0.1	0	16	
0.5	4	17	
2.0	15	18	
0.1	0	19	
18.2	135	20	
0.3	2	21	
0.4	3	22	
0.7	5	23	
0.4	3	24	
11.0	82	25	
0.1	1	26	
0.4	3	27	
2.9	22	28	
0.1	1	29	
14.1	105	30	
0.1	0	31	
0.3	3	32	
3.4	25	33	
0.0	0	34	
3.8	29	35	
0.1	1	36	
0.2	1	37	
0.2	1	38	
2.5	19	40	
0.1	1	42	
0.1	0	45	
2.8	21	50	
0.1	1	51	
0.6	5	60	

November 7, 2013

0.5	3	70	
0.1	1	73	
0.4	3	75	
1.0	7	80	
0.3	2	90	
2.4	18	100	PERCENT
	218	998	DO NOT KNOW
	15	999	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 999,998
Record/columns: 1/48-50

gupta03 Tax - High-Income

When you think about high-income households, do you think the percentage they pay in federal income tax should be much higher than it is now, somewhat higher than it is now, somewhat lower than it is now, much lower than it is now, or is about right?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.6	119	1	MUCH HIGHER THAN IT IS NOW
33.2	313	2	SOMEWHAT HIGHER THAN IT IS NOW
32.1	302	3	ABOUT RIGHT
15.5	146	4	SOMEWHAT LOWER THAN IT IS NOW
6.7	63	5	MUCH LOWER THAN IT IS NOW
	27	8	DO NOT KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/51

gupta04 Tax - Low-Income

When you think about low-income households, do you think the percentage they pay in federal income tax should be much higher than it is now, somewhat higher than it is now, somewhat lower than it is now, much lower than it is now, or is about right?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.6	15	1	MUCH HIGHER THAN IT IS NOW
10.0	96	2	SOMEWHAT HIGHER THAN IT IS NOW
36.9	351	3	ABOUT RIGHT
32.7	311	4	SOMEWHAT LOWER THAN IT IS NOW
18.8	179	5	MUCH LOWER THAN IT IS NOW
	24	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/52

gupta05 Tax - Similar

When you think about households like yours, do you think the percentage that you pay in federal income tax should be much higher than it is now, somewhat higher than it is now, somewhat lower than it is now, much lower than it is now, or is about right?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.1	11	1	MUCH HIGHER THAN IT IS NOW
3.9	37	2	SOMEWHAT HIGHER THAN IT IS NOW
49.4	476	3	ABOUT RIGHT
34.1	328	4	SOMEWHAT LOWER THAN IT IS NOW
11.5	111	5	MUCH LOWER THAN IT IS NOW
	9	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/53

gupta06 Tax - Comparison

How do you think the overall level of taxes in the United States compares to the overall level of taxes in other affluent countries, like Canada, Germany, and Japan?

Would you say that taxes in the U.S. are much higher than taxes in these other countries, somewhat higher, about the same, somewhat lower, or much lower?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.0	78	1	MUCH HIGHER
25.0	194	2	SOMEWHAT HIGHER
19.5	151	3	ABOUT THE SAME
31.7	246	4	SOMEWHAT LOWER
13.9	108	5	MUCH LOWER
	189	8	DO NOT KNOW
	12	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/54

gupta07 Tax - Homeowner

Do you own your own home?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
69.7	680	1	YES
30.3	295	2	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/55

gupta08 Tax - Mortgage

Are you currently paying on a mortgage on your home?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
63.4	431	1	YES
36.6	249	2	NO
	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	295	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/56

gupta09 Tax - Preparer

In your household, are you responsible for preparing income-tax returns?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
60.3	586	1	YES
38.0	369	2	NO
1.8	17	3	RESP. SHARED WITH ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER (R VOLUNTEERED)
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/57

gupta10 Tax - Software

When filing your income-tax returns, does your household use tax-preparation software or websites, such as TurboTax?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
38.6	367	1	YES
61.4	583	2	NO
	23	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/58

gupta11 Tax - Assitance

When filing your income-tax returns, does your household get assistance from a tax accountant or attorney, or advisor at a company like H&R Block?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
62.0	600	1	YES
38.0	367	2	NO
	9	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/59

gupta12 Tax - Cash to Poor

Cash payments to poor families by the federal government.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.2	95	1	MUCH LESS THAN THEY SHOULD BE
20.8	177	2	SOMEWHAT LESS THAN THEY SHOULD BE
32.8	279	3	ABOUT RIGHT
20.9	178	4	SOMEWHAT MORE THAN THEY SHOULD BE
14.2	121	5	MUCH MORE THAN THEY SHOULD BE
	117	8	DO NOT KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/60

gupta13 Tax - Military

Military expenditures by the federal government.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.5	105	1	MUCH LESS THAN THEY SHOULD BE
16.6	150	2	SOMEWHAT LESS THAN THEY SHOULD BE
27.4	248	3	ABOUT RIGHT
20.2	183	4	SOMEWHAT MORE THAN THEY SHOULD BE
24.3	220	5	MUCH MORE THAN THEY SHOULD BE
	63	8	DO NOT KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/61

gupta14 Tax - Social Security

Social Security payments by the federal government.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.8	120	1	MUCH LESS THAN THEY SHOULD BE
20.4	191	2	SOMEWHAT LESS THAN THEY SHOULD BE
44.1	412	3	ABOUT RIGHT
14.1	132	4	SOMEWHAT MORE THAN THEY SHOULD BE
8.6	80	5	MUCH MORE THAN THEY SHOULD BE
	33	8	DO NOT KNOW
	11	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/62

gupta15 Tax - Foreign Aid

Aid payments to foreign governments by the federal government.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.0	136	1	MUCH LESS THAN THEY SHOULD BE
11.4	103	2	SOMEWHAT LESS THAN THEY SHOULD BE
15.2	138	3	ABOUT RIGHT
20.6	187	4	SOMEWHAT MORE THAN THEY SHOULD BE
37.8	343	5	MUCH MORE THAN THEY SHOULD BE
	67	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/63

marquart01 Energy - Rebates

I would support a policy that would provide tax rebates for people who purchase energy-efficient vehicles or solar panels.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
25.6	248	1	STRONGLY AGREE
50.7	491	2	AGREE
1.3	13	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
15.4	149	4	DISAGREE
7.0	68	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	8	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/64

marquart02 Energy - Policy

I would support a policy to encourage use of renewable energy sources, including solar, wind, and geothermal.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
36.0	347	1	STRONGLY AGREE
49.8	481	2	AGREE
1.3	13	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
9.1	88	4	DISAGREE
3.8	36	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	11	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/65

marquart03 Energy - 2025

I would support a policy requiring 25% of Michigan's electricity to come from renewable sources by 2025.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
30.2	286	1	STRONGLY AGREE
43.7	414	2	AGREE
2.1	20	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
15.7	149	4	DISAGREE
8.3	79	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	26	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/66

marquart04 Energy - Promote

If my household energy bill would not increase, I would support a policy to promote the use of renewable energy by Michigan utility companies.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
37.7	363	1	STRONGLY AGREE
53.1	512	2	AGREE
1.6	16	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
5.3	51	4	DISAGREE
2.3	22	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	11	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/67

marquart05 Energy - Officials - Best Interests

Michigan's elected officials act in the publics' best interest about energy policy.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
4.0	36	1	STRONGLY AGREE
34.5	308	2	AGREE
5.0	45	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
43.9	392	4	DISAGREE
12.5	112	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	78	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/68

marquart06 Energy - Officials - Trust

I trust state elected officials to act in my best interest on energy policy.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.4	32	1	STRONGLY AGREE
32.5	310	2	AGREE
3.9	37	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
41.6	396	4	DISAGREE
18.6	177	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	25	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/69

marquart07 Energy - Officials - Good Decisions

State elected officials who make the final decisions on energy issues can be trusted to make good decisions.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.3	21	1	STRONGLY AGREE
29.4	276	2	AGREE
3.5	33	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
46.1	433	4	DISAGREE
18.7	175	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	37	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/70

marquart08 Energy - Officials - Know Best

We vote for public officials to represent us, therefore they know what's best for Michigan's energy policy.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.1	21	1	STRONGLY AGREE
23.7	229	2	AGREE
4.3	42	3	NEUTRAL (R VOLUNTEERED)
52.2	503	4	DISAGREE
17.6	170	5	STRONGLY DISAGREE
	11	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/71

marquart09 Energy - Weatherize

Weatherize, for example sealing drafts or adding insulation

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.5	92	1	1 (VERY HARD)
6.4	61	2	2
14.5	140	3	3
9.7	94	4	4
11.6	113	5	5 (VERY EASY)
48.3	467	7	ALREADY DONE
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/72

marquart10 Energy - HVAC

Install an efficient furnace or air conditioner

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.5	139	1	1 (VERY HARD)
10.4	100	2	2
13.9	134	3	3
6.7	64	4	4
9.3	89	5	5 (VERY EASY)
45.3	435	7	ALREADY DONE
	11	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/73

marquart11 Energy - Appliances

Buy or replace appliances

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.3	129	1	1 (VERY HARD)
11.5	112	2	2
21.6	210	3	3
10.6	103	4	4
10.3	100	5	5 (VERY EASY)
32.7	318	7	ALREADY DONE
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/74

marquart12 Energy - Maintenance

Have routine maintenance performed on your car

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.4	62	1	1 (VERY HARD)
3.3	32	2	2
11.3	109	3	3
13.8	134	4	4
23.0	223	5	5 (VERY EASY)
42.2	409	7	ALREADY DONE
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/75

marquart13 Energy - Carpool

Carpool or combine multiple car trips to save gas

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
23.2	220	1	1 (VERY HARD)
9.3	88	2	2
16.0	151	3	3
10.8	102	4	4
18.2	173	5	5 (VERY EASY)
22.4	212	7	ALREADY DONE
	14	8	DO NOT KNOW
	17	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/76

marquart14 Energy - Thermostat Summer

Changing your thermostat to be warmer in the summer

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.7	92	1	1 (VERY HARD)
3.2	31	2	2
10.7	101	3	3
10.6	100	4	4
28.4	269	5	5 (VERY EASY)
37.4	354	7	ALREADY DONE
	17	8	DO NOT KNOW
	14	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/77

marquart15 Energy - Thermostat Winter

Changing your thermostat to be cooler in the winter

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.2	79	1	1 (VERY HARD)
5.6	54	2	2
11.9	115	3	3
10.7	103	4	4
27.6	266	5	5 (VERY EASY)
36.1	348	7	ALREADY DONE
	10	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/78

marquart16 Energy - Approach

Which of the following approaches to solving the nation's energy problems do you think the U.S. should follow right now?

- A: Emphasize production of more oil, gas and coal supplies OR
 B: Emphasize the development of alternative energy such as wind and solar power?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
30.0	280	1	PRODUCTION OF MORE OIL, GAS AND COAL SUPPLIES
70.0	654	2	DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SUCH AS WIND AND SOLAR POWER
	32	8	DO NOT KNOW
	11	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 1/79

sustain1a Sustainability - Associate - Economy

Strong economy

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
68.2	643	1	YES
28.9	272	2	NO
3.0	28	7	R DOESN'T UNDERSTAND 'SUSTAINABILITY' EVEN AFTER PROBING (SKIP)
	28	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 1/80

sustain1b Sustainability - Associate - Ecosystem

Healthy ecosystem and natural environment

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
82.8	750	1	YES
15.6	141	2	NO
1.6	14	7	R DOESN'T UNDERSTAND 'SUSTAINABILITY' EVEN AFTER PROBING (SKIP)
	39	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
	28	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/1

sustain1c Sustainability - Associate - Equality

Social equity and equality

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
66.0	596	1	YES
32.1	289	2	NO
1.9	17	7	R DOESN'T UNDERSTAND 'SUSTAINABILITY' EVEN AFTER PROBING (SKIP)
	29	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
	43	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/2

sustain1d Sustainability - Associate - Climate Change

Climate change

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
55.2	476	1	YES
44.8	387	2	NO
	51	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/3

sustainle Sustainability - Associate - Conservation

Conservation of natural resources

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
87.6	783	1	YES
12.4	111	2	NO
	23	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/4

sustainlf Sustainability - Associate - Consumption

Consumption of material and goods, generating waste, and recycling

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
81.8	735	1	YES
18.2	163	2	NO
	17	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/5

sustainlg Sustainability - Associate - Diversity

Diversity of elected officials

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
63.8	564	1	YES
36.2	320	2	NO
	29	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/6

sustainlh Sustainability - Associate - Educated

Educated citizens

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
87.5	791	1	YES
12.5	113	2	NO
	9	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/7

sustainli Sustainability - Associate - Engaged

Engaged citizens, for example people voting or volunteering

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
86.4	782	1	YES
13.6	123	2	NO
	12	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/8

sustainlj Sustainability - Associate - Health

Human health

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
85.4	772	1	YES
14.6	132	2	NO
	11	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/9

sustain1k Sustainability - Associate - Animals

Animal welfare

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
74.3	661	1	YES
25.7	228	2	NO
	19	8	DO NOT KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/10

sustain1l Sustainability - Associate - Parks

Open spaces, such as parks

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
86.8	786	1	YES
13.2	120	2	NO
	10	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/11

sustainlm Sustainability - Associate - Growth

Population growth

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
64.1	571	1	YES
35.9	319	2	NO
	22	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/12

sustainln Sustainability - Associate - Poverty

Poverty reduction

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
73.8	661	1	YES
26.2	235	2	NO
	19	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/13

sustain1o Sustainability - Associate - Water

Safe and accessible water resources

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
92.6	836	1	YES
7.4	67	2	NO
	13	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/14

sustain1p Sustainability - Associate - Food

Safe and healthy food

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
92.0	834	1	YES
8.0	73	2	NO
	8	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	60	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/15

sustain2a Sustainability - Importance - Economy

Strong economy

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
86.2	544	1	VERY IMPORTANT
13.1	83	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.0	0	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
0.7	4	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.0	0	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	0	9	REFUSED
	347	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/17

sustain2b Sustainability - Importance - Ecosystem

Healthy ecosystem and natural environment

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
72.1	534	1	VERY IMPORTANT
27.6	204	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.0	0	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
0.2	2	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.1	1	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	236	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/18

sustain2c Sustainability - Importance - Equality

Social equity and equality

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
64.6	383	1	VERY IMPORTANT
32.7	194	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.1	1	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
2.0	12	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.5	3	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	382	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/19

sustain2d Sustainability - Importance - Climate Change

Climate change

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
55.6	265	1	VERY IMPORTANT
35.5	169	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
1.2	6	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
6.0	29	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
1.6	8	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	0	9	REFUSED
	502	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/20

sustain2e Sustainability - Importance - Conservation

Conservation of natural resources

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
74.9	586	1	VERY IMPORTANT
24.2	189	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.0	0	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
0.8	6	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.1	1	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	9	REFUSED
	195	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/21

sustain2f Sustainability - Importance - Consumption

Consumption of material and goods, generating waste, and recycling

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
59.2	433	1	VERY IMPORTANT
37.0	270	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.3	2	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
2.7	19	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.8	6	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	243	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/22

sustain2g Sustainability - Importance - Diversity

Diversity of elected officials

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
57.2	320	1	VERY IMPORTANT
38.0	213	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.2	1	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
3.7	21	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.8	5	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	414	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/23

sustain2h Sustainability - Importance - Educated

Educated citizens

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
84.1	665	1	VERY IMPORTANT
14.5	114	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.3	3	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
0.7	5	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.4	3	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	9	REFUSED
	187	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/24

sustain2i Sustainability - Importance - Engaged

Engaged citizens, for example people voting or volunteering

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
80.2	626	1	VERY IMPORTANT
18.5	145	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.0	0	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
1.2	10	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.0	0	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	196	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/25

sustain2j Sustainability - Importance - Health

Human health

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
84.0	648	1	VERY IMPORTANT
15.3	118	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.1	1	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
0.4	3	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.2	1	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	206	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/26

sustain2k Sustainability - Importance - Animals

Animal welfare

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
54.4	360	1	VERY IMPORTANT
39.2	259	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
1.0	7	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
5.0	33	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.4	2	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	9	REFUSED
	317	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/27

sustain2l Sustainability - Importance - Parks

Open spaces, such as parks

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
58.9	462	1	VERY IMPORTANT
38.9	305	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.1	1	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
1.9	15	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.3	2	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	9	REFUSED
	192	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/28

sustain2m Sustainability - Importance - Growth

Population growth

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
41.8	238	1	VERY IMPORTANT
46.9	267	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
1.0	6	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
9.1	52	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
1.2	7	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	407	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/29

sustain2n Sustainability - Importance - Poverty

Poverty reduction

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
76.8	506	1	VERY IMPORTANT
21.5	142	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.4	3	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
1.2	8	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.0	0	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	317	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/30

sustain2o Sustainability - Importance - Water

Safe and accessible water resources

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
87.5	730	1	VERY IMPORTANT
12.1	101	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.0	0	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
0.3	3	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.0	0	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	142	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/31

sustain2p Sustainability - Importance - Food

Safe and healthy food

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
87.9	733	1	VERY IMPORTANT
11.8	98	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
0.2	1	3	NEITHER IMPORTANT NOR UNIMPORTANT (R VOLUNTEERED)
0.2	1	4	SOMEWHAT UNIMPORTANT
0.0	0	5	VERY UNIMPORTANT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	144	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/32

thiel1 Newborn Screening - Keep

Did you know that after newborn screening, the state of Michigan keeps the left over blood spots?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
9.7	94	1	YES
90.3	874	2	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/33

thiel2 Newborn Screening - Available

Did you know that the blood spots are made available to health researchers after the name and other personal information are removed?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.2	109	1	YES
88.8	863	2	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/34

thiel13 Newborn Screening - MBDC

Have you ever read or heard about the research program called the Michigan BioTech Development Consortium?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.0	97	1	YES
90.0	873	2	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/35

thiel3 Newborn Screening - BioTrust

Have you ever read or heard about the research program called the Michigan BioTrust for Health?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.2	70	1	YES
92.8	903	2	NO
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/36

thiel3a Newborn Screening - BioTrust - Hear

Where did you hear about this program?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.6	10	1	HOSPITAL/HOSPITAL PAPERS
5.0	3	2	DOCTOR/DOCTORS OFFICE
33.8	22	3	TV/NEWSPAPER/RADIO/MAGAZINE
16.3	11	4	FRIEND/FAMILY
9.1	6	5	SCHOOL OR COLLEGE
21.1	14	9	MISC/OTHER
	5	98	DO NOT KNOW
	908	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 2/37-38

thiel31 Newborn Screening - BioTrust - Born

Were you born in Michigan after June 30, 1984?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.2	149	1	YES
84.8	828	2	NO
	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/39

thiel32 Newborn Screening - Children

How many children do you have that were born in Michigan and are 29 or younger?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
57.1	558	0	
13.0	127	1	
17.6	172	2	
8.2	80	3	
2.4	23	4	
0.9	9	5	
0.7	6	6	
0.0	0	7	
0.1	1	8	
	1	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 2/40-41

thiel33 Newborn Screening - 2010

How many of your children were born in Michigan after April 30, 2010?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
78.9	330	0	
13.7	57	1	
5.7	24	2	
1.7	7	3	
	1	99	REFUSED
	558	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 2/42-43

thiel51 Newborn Screening - Research 1

The main goal of health research using bloodspots in the BioTrust is to study the health of large populations in order to help future patients. Health research is not the same as medical care, and may not have any immediate benefit for people who have bloodspots in storage or who are currently sick.

To what extent do you favor or oppose the state of Michigan making blood spots available for health research? Would you say that you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this policy?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.1	293	1	STRONGLY FAVOR
46.0	432	2	SOMEWHAT FAVOR
2.3	21	3	NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE (R VOLUNTEERED)
11.7	110	4	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
8.9	84	5	STRONGLY OPPOSE
	29	8	DO NOT KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/44

thiel61a Newborn Screening - Research 2

Researchers who use bloodspots may be able to know the race, age, birth county, or even disease records of the people whose bloodspots are in their study. They would not see information that would directly identify the people, like names or addresses.

With the understanding of what kind of information can and can't be seen by researchers, to what extent do you favor or oppose the state of Michigan making bloodspots available for health research? Would you say that you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this policy?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
27.7	132	1	STRONGLY FAVOR
46.8	223	2	SOMEWHAT FAVOR
1.6	7	3	NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE (R VOLUNTEERED)
15.5	74	4	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
8.5	40	5	STRONGLY OPPOSE
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	495	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/45

thiel61b Newborn Screening - Research 3

Researchers who use bloodspots would not see information that would directly identify the people in their study, like names or addresses. They might be able to see information such as their race, age, birth county, or even disease records.

With the understanding of what kind of information can and can't be seen by researchers, to what extent do you favor or oppose the state of Michigan making bloodspots available for health research? Would you say that you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this policy?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
34.1	165	1	STRONGLY FAVOR
39.5	191	2	SOMEWHAT FAVOR
0.4	2	3	NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE (R VOLUNTEERED)
15.6	75	4	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
10.4	50	5	STRONGLY OPPOSE
	9	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	483	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/46

thiel71 Newborn Screening - Benefit

I think using blood spots for health research could benefit society.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.6	72	1	
1.2	12	2	
2.9	27	3	
2.8	27	4	
14.8	140	5	
7.2	68	6	
12.2	116	7	
14.4	137	8	
4.5	43	9	
32.3	306	10	
	23	98	DO NOT KNOW
	8	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 2/47-48

thiel72 Newborn Screening - Risk

I think using blood spots for health research could pose risks to society.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
29.0	269	1	
13.1	122	2	
11.2	104	3	
7.4	69	4	
16.9	156	5	
4.9	45	6	
4.6	42	7	
2.5	23	8	
1.8	17	9	
8.6	80	10	
	36	98	DO NOT KNOW
	14	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 2/49-50

thiel73 Newborn Screening - Child

I would feel comfortable with my child's blood spot being available for health research.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.3	126	1	
2.6	25	2	
3.5	33	3	
3.0	29	4	
14.6	139	5	
4.9	47	6	
7.9	75	7	
9.7	92	8	
5.9	56	9	
34.7	330	10	
	13	98	DO NOT KNOW
	14	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 2/51-52

thiel41 Newborn Screening - TF 1

True or false: The Michigan BioTrust for Health makes bloodspots left over from newborn screening available for health research.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
88.3	746	1	TRUE
11.7	99	2	FALSE
	113	8	DO NOT KNOW
	20	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/53

thiel42 Newborn Screening - TF 2

True or false: My or my child's bloodspot is part of the Michigan BioTrust for Health.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
51.2	472	1	TRUE
48.8	449	2	FALSE
	47	8	DO NOT KNOW
	11	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/54

CD1 Sex

Now, I have some background questions for you.

RECORD PERSONS GENDER AT THIS SCREEN: IF UNSURE USE THIS PROBE:

"I need to verify that I am speaking with a (male/female) adult?"

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
48.2	471	1	MALE
51.8	507	2	FEMALE
0.0	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
0.0	0	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Record/column: 2/55

CD2 Year Birth

In what year were you born?

19

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.5	5	19	
0.0	0	20	
0.0	0	21	
0.1	1	22	
0.1	1	23	
0.5	4	24	
0.2	2	25	
0.5	5	26	
0.5	4	27	
0.4	3	28	
0.7	6	29	
0.7	6	30	
0.9	8	31	
0.3	3	32	
0.8	7	33	
0.4	4	34	
0.3	3	35	
0.8	8	36	
1.1	11	37	
1.0	9	38	
0.8	7	39	
0.8	8	40	
1.0	9	41	
0.9	9	42	
0.6	6	43	
0.8	8	44	
0.7	7	45	
1.5	15	46	
1.5	14	47	
1.1	10	48	
1.7	16	49	
2.0	19	50	
1.6	15	51	
1.8	17	52	
1.8	17	53	
2.3	22	54	
2.1	20	55	
2.4	23	56	
2.1	20	57	
2.1	20	58	
1.0	10	59	

November 7, 2013

1.9	18	60	
1.1	11	61	
3.7	35	62	
2.8	26	63	
2.1	20	64	
1.4	13	65	
2.2	21	66	
1.4	13	67	
1.6	15	68	
1.3	13	69	
1.0	10	70	
1.4	13	71	
2.3	22	72	
1.9	18	73	
1.2	12	74	
1.7	17	75	
1.6	15	76	
1.2	11	77	
2.0	19	78	
0.7	7	79	
1.4	13	80	
1.7	17	81	
1.0	9	82	
2.3	22	83	
1.5	14	84	
1.7	16	85	
1.3	12	86	
2.6	25	87	
1.2	11	88	
2.5	24	89	
1.5	14	90	
1.4	14	91	
1.7	17	92	
1.7	16	93	
1.4	14	94	
0.7	6	95	
	21	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/columns: 2/56-57

CD3

Education Level

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	0	DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL
0.0	0	1	1st GRADE
0.0	0	2	2nd GRADE
0.0	0	3	3rd GRADE
0.0	0	4	4th GRADE
0.0	0	5	5th GRADE
0.0	0	6	6th GRADE
0.1	1	7	7th GRADE
0.6	6	8	8th GRADE
0.5	4	9	9th GRADE
0.3	3	10	10th GRADE
1.0	9	11	11th GRADE
21.9	210	12	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR GED HOLDER
9.2	88	13	1st YEAR COLLEGE
13.2	127	14	2nd YEAR COLLEGE
6.1	59	15	3rd YEAR COLLEGE
25.1	241	16	COLLEGE GRADUATE (FOUR YEARS)
3.8	36	17	SOME POST GRADUATE
13.5	130	18	GRADUATE DEGREE
4.9	47	20	TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRADUATE
	17	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978		cases

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 99,98

Record/columns: 2/58-59

November 7, 2013

CD5a Ethnicity

Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.8	27	1	YES-HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN
97.2	930	5	NO-NOT HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	17	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978		cases

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/60

CD4@a Race - White/Caucasian

What is your race?

WHITE OR CAUCASIAN

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
76.4	747	1	YES
23.6	231	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	978		cases

Data type: numeric
 Record/column: 2/61

CD4@b Race - African American or Black

What is your race?

AFRICAN AMERICAN OR BLACK

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.0	127	1	YES
87.0	851	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	978		cases

Data type: numeric
 Record/column: 2/62

CD4@c Race - Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

What is your race?

HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.6	5	1	YES
99.4	972	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 2/63

CD4@d Race - Asian

What is your race?

ASIAN

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.6	36	1	YES
96.4	942	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 2/64

CD4@e Race - American Indian or Alaska Native

What is your race?

AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.7	27	1	YES
97.3	951	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 2/65

CD4@f Race - Other

What is your race?

Other

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
4.3	42	1	YES
95.7	936	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 2/66

CD4@g Race - Refused

What is your race?

REFUSED

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.2	31	1	YES
96.8	947	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 2/67

CD6 Religious Background

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your religious views?

(Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion)?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.1	132	0	NONE; NO RELIGIOUS GROUP (Atheist/Agnostic)
23.6	220	1	CATHOLIC; ROMAN CATHOLIC, ORTHODOX
0.7	7	2	ISLAMIC/MUSLIM
1.6	15	3	JEWISH
36.5	341	4	PROTESTANT (Baptist, Methodist, Lutheran, Episcopalian, etc)
4.4	41	5	OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN (Unitarian-Universalist, Hindu, Druid)
16.7	156	6	OTHER CHRISTIAN (Jehovah Witness, Mormon, 7th Day Adv., etc)
2.4	22	95	UNABLE TO CLASSIFY/MISC.
	7	98	DO NOT KNOW
	38	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 99,98
 Record/columns: 2/68-69

CD7@a Political Party ID

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.3	134	0	ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC
20.1	189	1	REPUBLICAN
32.6	306	4	INDEPENDENT
32.9	309	7	DEMOCRAT
	13	8	DO NOT KNOW
	27	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/70

CD7@b Political Party - Republican

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
59.7	112	1	STRONG REPUBLICAN
40.3	76	2	NOT A VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	789	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/71

CD7@c Political Party - Democrat

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
38.7	118	6	NOT A VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT
61.3	187	7	STRONG DEMOCRAT
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	669	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/72

CD7@d Political Party - Independent

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
30.1	129	3	REPUBLICAN
32.4	138	4	NEITHER (R PROVIDED)
37.5	160	5	DEMOCRAT
	7	8	DO NOT KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED
	538	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/73

partyid Political Party - Lean

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.2	112	1	STRONG REPUBLICAN
8.2	76	2	NOT STRONG REPUBLICAN
14.0	129	3	LEAN REPUBLICAN
15.0	138	4	NEITHER
17.4	160	5	LEAN DEMOCRAT
12.9	118	6	NOT STRONG DEMOCRAT
20.4	187	7	STRONG DEMOCRAT
	13	8	DO NOT KNOW
	27	9	REFUSED
	18	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/74

P17@a Political Ideology

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative,
a moderate, or a liberal?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.1	28	0	OTHER
36.8	338	1	CONSERVATIVE
36.8	338	4	MODERATE
23.3	214	7	LIBERAL
	23	8	DO NOT KNOW
	37	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/75

P17@b Political Ideology - Conservative

Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat
conservative?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
37.1	124	1	VERY CONSERVATIVE
62.9	210	2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	640	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/76

P17@c Political Ideology - Liberal

Would you consider yourself very liberal or somewhat liberal?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
60.8	129	6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL
39.2	83	7	VERY LIBERAL
	1	9	REFUSED
	764	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/77

P17@d Political Ideology - Middle/Neither

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
34.8	120	3	CLOSER TO THE CONSERVATIVE
14.5	50	4	IN THE MIDDLE
50.7	175	5	CLOSER TO THE LIBERAL SIDE
	16	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
	611	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 2/78

ideology Political Ideology - Lean

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
13.9	124	1	VERY CONSERVATIVE
23.5	210	2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE
13.5	120	3	LEAN CONSERVATIVE
5.6	50	4	MIDDLE
19.6	175	5	LEAN LIBERAL
14.5	129	6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL
9.3	83	7	VERY LIBERAL
	23	8	DO NOT KNOW
	37	9	REFUSED
	26	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/79

CD8 Marital Status

Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, a member of an unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
53.6	520	1	MARRIED, REMARRIED
11.4	111	2	DIVORCED
1.3	12	3	SEPARATED
5.9	57	4	WIDOWED
3.5	34	5	MEMBER OF AN UNMARRIED COUPLE
24.3	236	6	SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED
0.1	1	7	MISC/OTHER
	8	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 2/80

married

Married

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
43.4	424	0	
56.6	554	1	
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: character
Record/column: 3/1

CD10

Adults HH

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
63.5	621	1	
23.9	233	2	
8.0	78	3	
2.8	27	4	
1.7	17	5	
0.2	2	7	
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 3/2-3

CD11 Children HH

How many children under the age of 18 currently live in your household?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
65.8	641	0	
14.2	138	1	
11.0	108	2	
4.3	42	3	
2.1	21	4	
2.1	20	5	
0.1	1	6	
0.0	0	7	
0.1	1	8	
0.0	0	9	
0.2	2	10	
	5	99	
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric

Missing-data codes: 99,98

Record/columns: 3/4-5

CD15 Employment

We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a homemaker, or something else?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
43.2	418	1	WORK FULL TIME
15.1	146	2	WORK PART TIME
3.9	38	3	WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL
0.2	2	4	THE ARMED FORCES
1.0	9	5	HAVE A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK LAST WEEK (ON VACATION, SICK LEAVE)
2.1	20	6	UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOKING FOR WORK
19.9	193	7	RETIRED
3.7	36	8	SCHOOL FULL TIME
5.9	57	9	HOMEMAKER
2.3	22	10	DISABLED
0.5	5	11	SEMI-RETIRED, RETIRED AND WORKING PART-TIME
2.1	20	95	MISC/OTHER
		1	98 DO NOT KNOW
		10	99 REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 3/6-7

UN1 Union Member

Are you currently a member of a union or are you represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
18.7	113	1	YES
81.3	488	5	NO
	13	9	REFUSED
	364	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 3/8

UN2 Ever Union Member

Have you ever been a member of a union or represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
39.3	332	1	YES
60.7	512	5	NO
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	19	9	REFUSED
	113	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 3/9

UN3 Union Family

Is anyone else in your household a member of a union or represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
20.1	167	1	YES
79.9	665	5	NO
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	17	9	REFUSED
	123	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 3/10

inca Income Above \$40,000

To get a picture of peoples financial situations, we would like to know the general range of incomes of all households we interview. This is for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly confidential.

Now, thinking about your households total annual income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive \$40,000 or more in 2012?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
67.9	599	1	YES
32.1	283	5	NO
	20	8	DO NOT KNOW
	76	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 3/11

incb Income Below \$20,000

Was it less than \$20,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
40.2	111	1	YES
59.8	165	5	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	695	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 3/12

incca Income Below \$30,000

What is less than \$30,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
53.3	86	1	YES
46.7	76	5	NO
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	813	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 3/13

incc Income Below \$10,000

Was it less than \$10,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
22.4	24	1	YES
77.6	83	5	NO
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	867	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 3/14

incd Income Above \$60,000

Was it \$60,000 or more?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
73.8	420	1	YES
26.2	149	5	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	24	9	REFUSED
	379	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 3/15

incf Income Above \$50,000

Was it \$50,000 or more?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
39.5	57	1	YES
60.5	87	5	NO
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	829	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 3/16

incg Income Above \$100,000

Was it more than \$100,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
39.7	165	1	YES
60.3	251	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	558	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 3/17

inch Income Above \$70,000

Was it more than \$70,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
61.7	152	1	YES
38.3	95	5	NO
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	727	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 3/18

incha Income Above \$90,000

Was it more than \$90,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.1	23	1	YES
84.9	128	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	825	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 3/19

inci Income Above \$150,000

Was it more than \$150,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
36.2	59	1	YES
63.8	104	5	NO
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	813	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 3/20

NewIncome R Household Income in 11 Categories (new version)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.7	24	1	Less than \$10,000
9.9	87	2	\$10,000-19,999
10.1	89	3	\$20,000-29,999
9.3	82	4	\$30,000-39,999
13.8	122	5	\$40,000-49,999
6.5	57	6	\$50,000-59,999
11.6	103	7	\$60,000-69,999
14.7	130	8	\$70,000-89,999
2.6	23	9	\$90,000-99,999
12.1	106	10	\$100,000-149,999
6.7	59	11	\$150,000 or More
	20	98	DO NOT KNOW
	76	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 98,99
Record/columns: 6/75-76

CD26 Phone Lines

How many different phone numbers does your household have,
not including cell phones?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.6	305	0	
62.8	605	1	
4.1	39	2	
0.9	9	3	
0.5	4	4	
0.1	1	5	
0.0	0	6	
	1	98	DO NOT KNOW
	13	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 99,98
Record/columns: 3/23-24

X1 Type Community

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town, a suburb, or an urban community?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.1	1	0	MISC/OTHER
21.4	208	1	RURAL COMMUNITY
33.5	326	2	SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE
32.1	313	3	A SUBURB
13.0	127	4	URBAN COMMUNITY
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 3/25

zipcode Zipcode

What is your zip code?

978 cases (Range of valid codes: 48001-49969)

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/columns: 3/26-30

demo_county County

What county do you live in?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.8	8	0	GAVE CITY ONLY
0.2	2	1	ALCONA
0.1	1	3	ALGER
0.6	6	5	ALLEGAN
0.0	0	7	ALPENA
0.2	2	9	ANTRIM
0.0	0	11	ARENAC
0.1	1	13	BARAGA
1.1	10	15	BARRY
1.8	17	17	BAY
0.1	1	19	BENZIE
1.4	13	21	BERRIEN
0.2	2	23	BRANCH
2.3	22	25	CALHOUN
0.4	4	27	CASS
0.6	5	29	CHARLEVOIX
0.6	6	31	CHEBOYGAN
0.4	4	33	CHIPPEWA
0.2	2	35	CLARE
0.6	5	37	CLINTON
0.1	1	39	CRAWFORD
0.2	2	41	DELTA
0.6	6	43	DICKINSON
1.5	15	45	EATON
0.3	3	47	EMMET
5.1	48	49	GENESEE
0.4	3	51	GLADWIN
0.1	1	53	GOGEBIC
1.1	10	55	GRAND TRAVERSE
0.7	6	57	GRATIOT
0.3	3	59	HILLSDALE
0.3	3	61	HOUGHTON
0.3	3	63	HURON
2.7	26	65	INGHAM
0.7	7	67	IONIA
0.4	3	69	IOSCO
0.1	1	71	IRON
0.8	7	73	ISABELLA
1.1	11	75	JACKSON
2.3	22	77	KALAMAZOO
0.5	5	79	KALKASKA
4.9	47	81	KENT
0.0	0	83	KEWEENAW

November 7, 2013

0.4	4	85	LAKE
0.5	5	87	LAPEER
0.0	0	89	LEELANAU
0.9	9	91	LENAWEE
1.9	19	93	LIVINGSTON
0.0	0	95	LUCE
0.0	0	97	MACKINAC
8.2	79	99	MACOMB
0.2	2	101	MANISTEE
0.5	5	103	MARQUETTE
0.4	4	105	MASON
0.2	2	107	MECOSTA
0.1	1	109	MENOMINEE
0.9	9	111	MIDLAND
0.3	3	113	MISSAUKEE
1.3	13	115	MONROE
1.1	10	117	MONTCALM
0.1	1	119	MONTMORENCY
1.2	11	121	MUSKEGON
1.2	11	123	NEWAYGO
13.0	124	125	OAKLAND
0.4	4	127	OCEANA
0.3	3	129	OGEMAW
0.2	2	131	ONTONAGON
0.1	1	133	OSCEOLA
0.2	2	135	OSCODA
0.8	8	137	OTSEGO
2.1	20	139	OTTAWA
0.1	1	141	PRESQUE ISLE
0.0	0	143	ROSCOMMON
2.4	23	145	SAGINAW
1.9	18	147	ST. CLAIR
0.2	2	149	ST. JOSEPH
0.2	2	151	SANILAC
0.3	3	153	SCHOOLCRAFT
0.8	8	155	SHIAWASSEE
0.3	2	157	TUSCOLA
0.4	4	159	VAN BUREN
4.6	44	161	WASHTENAW
15.1	145	163	WAYNE
0.1	1	165	WEXFORD
0.8	8	777	DO NOT KNOW
0.0	0	990	GAVE CITY ONLY
0.0	0	995	DID NOT PROVIDE COUNTY/CITY
	20	999	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978		cases

November 7, 2013

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data code: 999
 Record/columns: 3/31-33

demo_Detroit Live in Detroit

Do you live in the city of Detroit?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
34.8	50	1	YES
65.2	94	2	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	833	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 3/34

cellular2 City of Residence

In which village, city or township do you reside?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	896	0	SPECIFY
	3	98	DO NOT KNOW
	28	99	REFUSED
	50	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 99,98
 Record/columns: 3/35-36

demo_cell1 Cell Phone

Do you have a cell phone for personal use? Please include cell phones used for both business and personal use.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
84.5	368	1	YES
15.5	68	2	NO
	14	9	REFUSED
	528	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,8
Record/column: 3/37

demo_cell14 Calls to Cell Phone

Thinking about all the phone calls that you receive on your landline and cell phone, what percent, between 0 and 100, are received on your cell phone?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
5.7	20	1	
2.4	8	2	
0.4	2	3	
0.7	3	4	
7.3	26	5	
0.3	1	6	
0.1	1	8	
9.6	34	10	
0.8	3	15	
7.0	25	20	
4.8	17	25	
3.6	13	30	
0.4	1	35	
5.7	20	40	
11.3	40	50	
3.0	11	60	
0.6	2	65	
5.0	18	70	
4.2	15	75	
8.9	32	80	
0.4	2	85	
4.9	18	90	
2.5	9	95	
0.3	1	97	
1.6	6	98	
1.6	6	99	
1.7	6	100	
0.0	0	555	NO LANDLINE PHONE
5.2	19	777	ZERO, NONE
	11	888	DO NOT KNOW
	15	999	REFUSED
	596	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 999,888
Record/columns: 3/38-40

November 7, 2013

RI

RI

Thank you for answering our questions.

In a couple of months, we would like to re-contact some of the people we have spoken with for another interview either over the phone or on the web. Would you be willing to participate again in a couple of months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
81.7	592	1	YES
18.3	133	5	NO
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	246	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Missing-data codes: 9,8
 Record/column: 5/1

contacts

contacts

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
30.6	300	1	
18.7	183	2	
12.9	127	3	
7.4	72	4	
8.1	79	5	
6.9	68	6	
5.2	51	7	
5.2	51	8	
4.9	48	9	
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
 Record/column: 6/1

length		Interview Length	
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.1	1	11	
1.0	10	16	
1.3	12	17	
4.4	42	18	
5.6	54	19	
9.0	87	20	
9.3	89	21	
9.2	88	22	
7.7	74	23	
8.7	84	24	
7.4	72	25	
7.8	75	26	
5.8	56	27	
3.9	37	28	
3.8	37	29	
3.1	29	30	
1.8	17	31	
1.6	15	32	
1.2	12	33	
1.3	12	34	
0.8	8	35	
0.9	8	36	
1.0	10	37	
0.3	3	38	
0.3	3	39	
0.2	2	40	
0.0	0	41	
0.2	2	42	
0.4	4	43	
0.2	2	44	
0.2	2	45	
0.3	3	46	
0.3	3	48	
0.2	2	49	
0.2	2	50	
0.1	1	52	
0.2	2	53	
0.1	1	54	
0.0	0	62	
0.0	0	63	
0.0	0	65	
0.1	1	72	
0.1	1	84	
	15	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		

100.0 978 cases

Data type: numeric

Record/columns: 6/3-6

November 7, 2013

idate		Interview Date	
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.5	4	8242013	
0.8	8	8252013	
0.4	4	8262013	
1.0	10	8272013	
1.0	10	8282013	
1.9	18	8292013	
0.3	3	8302013	
1.6	16	8312013	
1.2	12	9012013	
1.0	9	9032013	
2.1	21	9042013	
1.4	14	9052013	
1.3	13	9062013	
2.7	26	9082013	
1.8	18	9092013	
0.8	8	9102013	
0.2	2	9112013	
1.1	11	9122013	
2.4	23	9132013	
3.4	33	9152013	
2.3	22	9162013	
2.9	28	9172013	
1.0	10	9182013	
3.6	35	9192013	
2.1	21	9202013	
2.5	24	9212013	
2.2	21	9222013	
2.0	20	9232013	
1.7	16	9242013	
2.0	20	9252013	
2.1	21	9262013	
2.2	21	9272013	
1.6	15	9282013	
1.9	19	9292013	
1.5	15	9302013	
1.2	12	10012013	
2.9	28	10022013	
2.2	21	10032013	
2.1	20	10042013	
1.2	11	10052013	
2.9	28	10062013	
2.5	25	10072013	
1.7	16	10082013	
2.4	23	10092013	
1.2	12	10102013	

November 7, 2013

1.1	10	10112013
4.3	42	10132013
1.3	13	10142013
1.6	16	10152013
1.4	14	10162013
1.4	14	10172013
2.9	28	10182013
1.8	17	10202013
1.1	11	10212013
1.5	15	10222013
0.8	7	10232013
0.5	5	10242013
0.3	3	10252013
0.4	4	10262013
1.0	10	10272013
-----	----	
100.0	978	cases

Data type: numeric
Record/columns: 6/7-14

iwere Interviewer

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.9	8	54	
3.9	38	506	
3.1	30	679	
0.1	1	750	
7.4	72	765	
0.1	1	844	
2.6	25	850	
5.1	50	852	
0.2	2	854	
5.8	56	858	
3.5	34	867	
2.9	28	868	
0.5	5	877	
1.0	9	879	
1.4	13	887	
3.7	36	899	
1.6	16	903	
1.2	12	917	
1.7	16	926	
2.7	26	932	
2.0	20	933	
3.8	37	936	
3.0	29	937	
3.3	32	938	
0.4	4	940	
1.2	12	941	
2.1	21	942	
2.4	24	943	
0.6	6	944	
0.1	1	945	
1.6	15	946	
1.9	18	947	
3.1	30	950	
0.7	7	952	
2.0	19	953	
2.0	20	954	
1.1	11	955	
1.5	15	957	
2.8	28	960	
2.7	26	961	
1.4	14	962	
1.9	18	964	
1.4	13	966	
1.3	13	970	
1.8	18	971	

November 7, 2013

1.0	10	972
0.6	6	974
1.8	18	975
0.7	7	976
0.8	8	977
-----	---	
100.0	978	cases

Data type: numeric
Record/columns: 6/15-17

males		Males	
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
18.0	81	0	
65.9	295	1	
11.5	52	2	
4.5	20	3	
	531	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/columns: 6/18-19

females		Females	
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.4	28	0	
75.7	339	1	
16.3	73	2	
1.6	7	3	
	531	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/columns: 6/20-21

races

Respondent Race

Recoded race of respondent (multiple responses coded
to a single group)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.1	737	1	White
13.7	127	2	African American
0.6	5	3	Hawaiian Pacific Islander
3.8	36	4	Asian
2.8	26	5	Native American
	47	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Record/column: 6/43

agecat

Rs age in categories

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
12.9	121	1	18 - 24 Yrs
7.9	74	2	25 - 29 Yrs
16.0	150	3	30 - 39 Yrs
19.1	179	4	40 - 49 Yrs
18.9	178	5	50 - 59 Yrs
8.0	75	6	60 - 64 Yrs
17.2	161	7	65 or older
	41	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data code: 9
Record/column: 6/44

adjwt2

Weight adj for phones adults race gender age within reg

978 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.1186-10.3455)

Data type: numeric
Decimals: 4
Missing-data code: 0.0000
Record/columns: 6/46-52

msueregn Region code based on MSU Extension Groupings

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	33	1	UP
5.1	50	2	NORTH LP
15.2	149	3	W. CENTRAL
8.8	86	4	E. CENTRAL
14.0	137	5	SOUTHWEST
53.6	524	6	SOUTHEAST URBAN
-----	---		
100.0	978		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data code: 0
Record/column: 6/54

msuewt2 weight by MSU region

978 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.1185-10.3414)

Data type: numeric
Decimals: 4
Record/columns: 6/56-62

Statewt2 Weight for Statewide Estimates

978 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.1028-11.9118)

Data type: numeric
Decimals: 4
Record/columns: 6/64-70

rac3 Race 3 categories

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.1	737	1	White
13.7	127	2	African American
7.2	67	3	Other
	47	0	Refuse-Not codable
-----	---		
100.0	978		cases

Data type: numeric
Missing-data codes: 9,0
Record/column: 6/71

AGE Age of Respondent

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.7	16	18	
1.8	17	19	
1.5	14	20	
1.5	14	21	
2.6	24	22	
1.2	11	23	
2.6	25	24	
1.3	12	25	
1.7	16	26	
1.5	14	27	
2.3	22	28	
1.0	9	29	
1.8	17	30	
1.4	13	31	
0.7	7	32	
2.0	19	33	
1.2	11	34	
1.6	15	35	
1.8	17	36	
1.3	12	37	
1.9	18	38	
2.3	22	39	
1.4	13	40	
1.0	10	41	
1.3	13	42	
1.7	15	43	
1.4	13	44	
2.2	21	45	
1.4	13	46	
2.1	20	47	
2.8	26	48	
3.7	35	49	
1.1	11	50	
1.9	18	51	
1.1	10	52	
2.2	20	53	
2.1	20	54	
2.4	23	55	
2.1	20	56	
2.3	22	57	
1.9	17	58	
1.8	17	59	
1.6	15	60	
2.1	19	61	
1.7	16	62	

November 7, 2013

1.1	10	63	
1.5	14	64	
1.6	15	65	
0.8	7	66	
0.8	8	67	
0.6	6	68	
0.9	9	69	
1.0	9	70	
0.8	8	71	
0.8	7	72	
1.0	9	73	
1.1	11	74	
0.9	8	75	
0.3	3	76	
0.4	4	77	
0.8	7	78	
0.3	3	79	
0.9	8	80	
0.7	6	81	
0.7	6	82	
0.4	3	83	
0.5	4	84	
0.5	5	85	
0.2	2	86	
0.5	4	87	
0.1	1	88	
0.1	1	89	
0.0	0	90	
0.0	0	91	
0.6	5	92	
	41	0	REFUSED/MISSING
-----	----		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data code: 0
Record/columns: 6/72-73

imprace Respondent Race with Imputation for missing data

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.5	778	1	White
13.7	134	2	African American
6.8	67	3	Other
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data code: 0
Record/column: 6/74

source Sample source of Respondent

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
34.1	334	1	Fresh Landline
11.9	116	2	Recall Landline
40.7	398	3	Fresh Cell
13.3	130	4	Recall Cell
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data code: 0
Record/column: 6/77

educat4 Respondents Level of Education

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.4	23	1	< H.S.
21.9	210	2	H.S. Grad
33.3	320	3	Some College
42.4	408	4	College+
	17	0	
-----	---		
100.0	978	cases	

Data type: numeric
Missing-data code: 0
Record/column: 6/78