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1012 cases
Type: character Width: 5
Input location: 1/1-5

R1 Data Record I
PCT N VALUE
$100.0 \quad 1012 \quad 1$
1012 cases
Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/6
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|  |  |  | County Code |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| PCT | N | VALUE |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26001 |  |
| 0.7 | 7 | 26005 |  |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26007 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26009 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 26011 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26013 |  |
| 0.6 | 6 | 26015 |  |
| 1.1 | 11 | 26017 |  |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26019 |  |
| 2.4 | 24 | 26021 |  |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26023 |  |
| 1.3 | 13 | 26025 |  |
| 0.6 | 7 | 26027 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26029 |  |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26031 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 26033 |  |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26035 |  |
| 0.8 | 8 | 26037 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26039 |  |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26041 |  |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26043 |  |
| 1.1 | 11 | 26045 |  |
| 0.6 | 6 | 26047 |  |
| 4.6 | 47 | 26049 |  |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26051 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26053 |  |
| 0.6 | 6 | 26055 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26057 |  |
| 1.3 | 14 | 26059 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26061 |  |
| 0.1 | 2 | 26063 |  |
| 2.6 | 26 | 26065 |  |
| 1.2 | 12 | 26067 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26069 |  |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26071 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26073 |  |
| 1.2 | 12 | 26075 |  |
| 1.8 | 19 | 26077 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26079 |  |
| 4.8 | 48 | 26081 |  |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26085 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26087 |  |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26089 |  |
| 1.6 | 17 | 26091 |  |
|  | 16 | 26093 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 0.6 |  |  |  |


| 0.0 | 0 | 26095 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6.2 | 63 | 26099 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26101 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26103 |
| 0.7 | 7 | 26105 |
| 0.6 | 6 | 26107 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26109 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 26111 |
| 3.6 | 37 | 26115 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26117 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26119 |
| 1.3 | 14 | 26121 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26123 |
| 10.6 | 107 | 26125 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26127 |
| 0.2 | 3 | 26129 |
| 0.0 | 0 | 26131 |
| 0.1 | 2 | 26133 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26137 |
| 2.8 | 28 | 26139 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26141 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26143 |
| 1.7 | 18 | 26145 |
| 2.6 | 26 | 26147 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26149 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 26151 |
| 1.0 | 10 | 26155 |
| 0.7 | 7 | 26157 |
| 0.9 | 10 | 26159 |
| 3.1 | 31 | 26161 |
| 20.3 | 205 | 26163 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26165 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |

Type: character Width: 5
Input location: 1/7-11

```
regn
                                    Region Code
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
3.4 & 34 & 1 & upper pen \\
5.7 & 57 & 2 & northern \\
14.2 & 144 & 3 & west central \\
8.7 & 88 & 4 & east central \\
13.8 & 139 & 5 & southwest \\
45.6 & 462 & 6 & southeast \\
8.7 & 88 & 7 & Detroit \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: none
Decimals: 0 Max: 7
    Input location: 1/12
\begin{tabular}{rrr} 
newreg5 & & New \\
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE \\
100.0 & 1012 & \\
& --- & \\
& 1012 & cases
\end{tabular}
Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/13
random1 Random Digit 1-4
Selects follow-up questions to msueng3 - msueng4a, msueng4b, msueng4c, msueng4d
\begin{tabular}{rrr} 
PCT & N & VALUE \\
24.5 & 248 & 1 \\
26.1 & 264 & 2 \\
24.8 & 251 & 3 \\
24.6 & 249 & 4 \\
& --- & \\
& 1012 & cases
\end{tabular}
Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/14
```




April 2, 2008

```
CC2 Future Financial
    Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now, you
        (and your family living there) will be better off financially
        or worse off financially?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    43.9 409 1 BETTER OFF
    21.3 199 3 ABOUT THE SAME
    34.8 324 5 WORSE OFF
            79 8 DO NOT KNOW
            0 9 REFUSED
                1012 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/20
                    Current Financial
How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days?
Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
5.1 & 51 & 1 & EXCELLENT \\
41.2 & 416 & 2 & GOOD \\
34.1 & 344 & 3 & JUST FAIR \\
9.8 & 99 & 4 & NOT SO GOOD \\
9.8 & 99 & 5 & POOR \\
& 2 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/21
```
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## CC4 Inflation Rate

During the next twelve months, do you think the rate of inflation in this country will go up, will go down, or will stay about the same as it was in the past 12 months?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 68.6 | 662 | 1 | GO UP |
| 8.1 | 78 | 3 | GO DOWN |
| 23.3 | 225 | 5 | STAY ABOUT THE SAME |
|  | 46 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 1 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/22

Unemployment Situation
Twelve months from now, do you expect the unemployment situation in this country to be better than, worse than, or about the same as it was in the last 12 months?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 12.0 | 120 | 1 | BETTER THAN |
| 48.9 | 487 | 3 | WORSE THAN |
| 39.0 | 389 | 5 | ABOUT THE SAME |
|  | 17 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/23

Now turning to business conditions in your community, do you think that during the next twelve months your community will have good times financially, or bad times financially?

PCT $N$ VALUE LABEL
$21.2 \quad 207 \quad 1$ GOOD TIMES
$67.9662 \quad 3$ BAD TIMES
$10.9 \quad 106 \quad 5$ NEITHER
$37 \quad 8$ DO NOT KNOW
19 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/24
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What would you say is the most important problem facing your community today?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.8 | 7 | 1 | SCHOOL FINANCE/EDUCATION FUNDING |
| 1.5 | 14 | 2 | EDUCATION QUALITY/IMPROVE EDUCATION |
| 0.8 | 8 | 9 | EDUCATION: GENERAL |
| 0.9 | 8 | 10 | MEDICAL CARE/HEALTH CARE: GENERAL |
| 0.4 | 4 | 11 | ELDERLY/MEDICAL CARE ELDERLY: MEDICARE |
| 0.8 | 8 | 12 | RACISM/EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES |
| 0.2 | 2 | 13 | POVERTY/POOR |
| 0.2 | 2 | 14 | HOMELESSNESS |
| 1.2 | 11 | 15 | HOUSING/LACK AFFORDABLE HOUSING |
| 0.2 | 1 | 16 | WELFARE REFORM/CUT WELFARE |
| 0.0 | 0 | 17 | WELFARE EXPANSION/MORE PROGRAMS |
| 46.5 | 430 | 20 | UNEMPLOYMENT/JOBS |
| 18.8 | 174 | 21 | DEVELOPMENT/GROWTH/ECONOMY/LOSS BUSINESS |
| 0.3 | 3 | 22 | OVER EXPANSION/TOO MUCH GROWTH |
| 0.0 | 0 | 23 | FARMING/DECLINE FARMING |
| 5.6 | 52 | 24 | COST OF GOODS/INFLATION |
| 1.9 | 18 | 25 | FAMILY INCOME/FINANCES |
| 2.8 | 26 | 26 | PROPERTY VALUES/FORECLOSURES |
| 1.6 | 15 | 30 | TAXES: LOCAL/CITY/PROPERTY |
| 0.8 | 7 | 31 | LEADERSHIP/CITY LEADERS |
| 0.0 | 0 | 32 | CORRUPTION: LOCAL LEVEL |
| 0.0 | 0 | 33 | TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT |
| 0.1 | 1 | 34 | COURTS/JUDICIAL REFORM |
| 2.8 | 26 | 35 | TAXES: STATE/FEDERAL |
| 1.6 | 14 | 36 | LEADERSHIP: STATE/FEDERAL GOVERNMENT |
| 0.2 | 1 | 37 | CORRUPTION: STATE/FEDERAL LEVEL |
| 0.0 | 0 | 40 | THEFT |
| 0.3 | 3 | 41 | SAFETY/STREET VIOLENCE |
| 0.0 | 0 | 42 | GUN CONTROL |
| 1.0 | 9 | 43 | DRUGS/DRUG DEALERS |
| 3.0 | 28 | 44 | CRIME: GENERAL |
| 0.2 | 2 | 50 | GANGS/TEEN VIOLENCE |
| 0.1 | 1 | 51 | LACK ACTIVITIES YOUTH/YOUTH OUTREACH |
| 0.0 | 0 | 52 | TEENAGE PREGNANCY |
| 0.0 | 0 | 53 | YOUTH AND DRUGS |
| 0.2 | 2 | 54 | YOUTH DRINKING/ALCOHOL ABUSE |
| 0.0 | 0 | 55 | PEER PRESSURE |
| 0.3 | 3 | 60 | DIVORCE/BROKEN HOMES/SINGLE PARENTS |
| 0.0 | 0 | 61 | CHILD ABUSE/CHILD ENDANGERMENT |
| 0.0 | 0 | 62 | DISCIPLINE/PARENTAL CONTROL |
| 0.6 | 6 | 63 | VALUES/MORALITY/RELIGION |
| 0.0 | 0 | 64 | FAMILY ALCOHOLISM/DRUG ABUSE |
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| 1.0 | 9 | 70 | POLLUTION |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0.1 | 1 | 71 | JUNK/DIRTY CITY/BLIGHT |
| 0.0 | 0 | 72 | LANDFILLS |
| 0.1 | 1 | 73 | LAND USE |
| 0.0 | 0 | 74 | POPULATION GROWTH |
| 0.1 | 0 | 75 | LACK RECYCLING |
| 0.0 | 0 | 76 | WETLAND/NATURAL AREA PRESERVATION |
| 0.1 | 1 | 80 | WATER/SEWERS |
| 0.4 | 3 | 81 | TRASH/GARBAGE COLLECTION |
| 0.0 | 0 | 82 | POLICE/MORE LAW ENFORCEMENT |
| 0.0 | 0 | 83 | FIRE/MORE FIRE PROTECTION |
| 0.7 | 6 | 84 | ROADS/ROAD REPAIR/STREET UPKEEP |
| 0.0 | 0 | 85 | TRANSPORTATION/BUSES |
| 0.0 | 0 | 86 | ANIMAL CONTROL |
| 0.0 | 0 | 87 | TRAFFIC CONGESTION/TRAFFIC |
| 1.0 | 9 | 90 | NO PROBLEMS |
| 0.8 | 7 | 91 | MISCELLANEOUS |
|  | 72 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 16 | 99 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 91
Input location: 1/25-26

April 2, 2008

The next few questions are about our elected officials and different levels of government.

Overall, how would you rate the way George W. Bush is performing his job as President?

Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 3.4 | 34 | 1 | EXCELLENT |
| 18.2 | 183 | 2 | GOOD |
| 26.1 | 262 | 3 | FAIR |
| 52.3 | 526 | 4 | POOR |
|  | 2 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 5 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

```
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
```

Input location: 1/27

How would you rate the way Jennifer Granholm is performing her job as Michigan's governor?

Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2.4 | 24 | 1 | EXCELLENT |
| 17.6 | 177 | 2 | GOOD |
| 36.2 | 363 | 3 | FAIR |
| 43.8 | 440 | 4 | POOR |
|  | 5 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 3 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/28

P4a@a Issues Legislature - 1st Mention
There are many issues that the governor and legislature (in Lansing) could spend time dealing with this session. Of all the issues they could work on, which issue do you think is the most important for them to focus on?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 23.5 | 224 | 1 | ECONOMY/BUSINESS/ENCOURAGE BUSINESS GROWTH |
| 41.1 | 391 | 2 | JOBS/UNEMPLOYMENT/WORK/WAGES |
| 5.8 | 55 | 3 | HEALTH CARE |
| 0.0 | 0 | 4 | CRIME/DRUGS/VIOLENCE |
| 2.4 | 23 | 5 | SCHOOL FUNDING/SCHOOL FINANCES |
| 1.0 | 10 | 6 | POVERTY/HOMELESS/SOCIAL PROGRAMS |
| 0.0 | 0 | 7 | WEFARE REFORM |
| 7.3 | 70 | 8 | TAXES/REDUCE TAXES |
| 0.1 | 1 | 9 | SENIORS/PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE |
| 0.3 | 3 | 10 | REDUCE BUDGETS/SIZE GOVERNMENT |
| 0.5 | 5 | 11 | MORAL ISSUES/ABORTION/FAMILY VALUES |
| 0.7 | 7 | 12 | FOREGIN POLICY |
| 0.5 | 5 | 13 | ENVIRONMENT/GREEN ENERGY SOURCES |
| 1.0 | 9 | 14 | ROADS/HIGHWAYS/BRIDGES REPAIR |
| 0.0 | 0 | 15 | ELECTION REFORM |
| 0.0 | 0 | 16 | GUN CONTROL |
| 0.3 | 3 | 17 | JOB TRAINING/RETRAINING |
| 0.2 | 2 | 18 | DIVERSITY/RACE RELATIONS |
| 0.0 | 0 | 19 | TEACHER TESTING |
| 0.1 | 1 | 20 | REGULATION/DEREGULATION |
| 3.6 | 34 | 21 | EDUCATION QUALITY/STANDARDS |
| 3.1 | 30 | 22 | REDUCE BUDGETS/REDUCE SIZE GOVERNMENT/RESTRICT GOVERNMENTS |
| 6.4 | 61 | 23 | MICHIGAN 'S BUDGET CRISIS/SOLVE BUDGET ISSUES |
| 1.7 | 16 | 24 | PROPERTY VALUES/FORECLOUSURES |
| 0.4 | 4 | 91 | MISCELLANEOUS |
|  | 44 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 14 | 99 | REFUSED |
| Type: numeric |  |  |  |
| Decimals: | 0 | Min: 1 |  |

Input location: 1/29-30

P4a@b Issues Legislature - 2nd Mention

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.3 | 85 | 1 | ECONOMY/BUSINESS/ENCOURAGE BUSINESS GROWTH |
| 24.2 | 126 | 2 | JOBS/UNEMPLOYMENT/WORK/WAGES |
| 9.0 | 47 | 3 | HEALTH CARE |
| 1.2 | 6 | 4 | CRIME/DRUGS/VIOLENCE |
| 3.5 | 18 | 5 | SCHOOL FUNDING/SCHOOL FINANCES |
| 1.6 | 9 | 6 | POVERTY/HOMELESS/SOCIAL PROGRAMS |
| 1.3 | 7 | 7 | WELFARE REFORM |
| 6.3 | 33 | 8 | TAXES/REDUCE TAXES |
| 0.0 | 0 | 9 | SENIORS/PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE |
| 0.4 | 2 | 10 | REDUCE BUDGETS/SIZE GOVERNMENT |
| 0.0 | 0 | 11 | MORAL ISSUES/ABORTION/FAMILY VALUES |
| 1.9 | 10 | 12 | FOREIGN POLICY |
| 2.8 | 14 | 13 | ENVIRONMENT |
| 3.4 | 18 | 14 | ROADS/HIGHWAYS/BRIDGES REPAIR |
| 0.3 | 1 | 15 | ELECTION REFORM |
| 0.0 | 0 | 16 | GUN CONTROL |
| 0.3 | 2 | 17 | JOB TRAINING/RETRAINING |
| 1.2 | 6 | 18 | DIVERSITY/RACE RELATIONS |
| 0.0 | 0 | 19 | TEACHER TESTING |
| 0.3 | 1 | 20 | REGULATION/DEREGULATION |
| 9.1 | 48 | 21 | EDUCATION QUALITY/STANDARDS |
| 3.3 | 17 | 22 | REDUCE BUDGETS/REDUCE SIZE GOVERNMENT/ RESTRICT GOVERNMENTS |
| 6.7 | 35 | 23 | MICHIGAN'S BUDGET CRISIS/SOLVE BUDGET ISSUES |
| 2.2 | 12 | 24 | PROPERTY VALUES/FORECLOSURES |
|  | 430 | 90 | NO OTHERS |
| 0.0 | 0 | 91 | MISCELLANEOUS |
| 4.8 | 25 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 1 | 99 | REFUSED |
|  | 59 | . | Not Applicable |
| 1012 cases |  |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 99,90

Decimals: 0 Max: 98
Input location: 1/31-32
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cfm1 Cooperation Democrats/Republicans


```
cfm2 Compromise Parties
            In order to solve Michigan's problems and challenges, do you
                think that both parties need to compromise equally,
                Democrats need to compromise more, or Republican need to compromise more?
            PCT N VALUE LABEL
    66.9 648 1 BOTH PARTIES NEED TO COMPROMISE
    13.3 129 2 DEMOCRATS NEED TO COMPROMISE MORE
    19.8 192 3 REPUBLICANS NEED TO COMPROMISE MORE
            37 8 DO NOT KNOW
            7 9 REFUSED
        1012 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 3
    Input location: 1/35
cfm3
Democrats/Republicans Working Together
    How much do you think Republican and Democratic state lawmakers
    are currently working together to find solutions to Michigan's current
    problems and challenges?
    Would you say a lot, quite a bit, some, only a little, or not at all?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    0.6 6 1 A LOT
    5.1 49 2 QUITE A BIT
    43.7 423 3 SOME
    39.8 385 4 ONLY A LITTLE
    10.9 105 5 NOT AT ALL
            41 8 DO NOT KNOW
            3 ( REFUSED
        ----
        1012 cases
        Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
        Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/36
```

```
cfm4 Michigan Voters Party Lines
        this election year will vote more along party lines, less
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
32.1 & 302 & 1 & MORE ALONG PARTY LINES \\
31.2 & 294 & 2 & LESS ALONG PARTY LINES \\
36.7 & 346 & 3 & VOTE AS ALWAYS HAVE \\
& 65 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 6 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& -- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
```

        Compared to previous election years, do you think Michigan voters
        along party lines, or vote as they have in previous election years?
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 3
    Input location: 1/37
    People have different ideas about how much they can trust government to do what is right. These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about this for each of the levels of government.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the federal government in Washington to do what is right -- nearly always or most of the time, some of the time, seldom, or almost never?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16.3 | 164 | 1 | NEARLY ALWAYS OR MOST OF THE TIME |  |
| 46.3 | 465 | 2 | SOME OF THE TIME |  |
| 25.8 | 259 | 3 | SELDOM |  |
| 11.6 | 116 | 4 | ALMOST NEVER |  |
|  | 5 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |  |
|  | 4 | 9 | REFUSED |  |
|  | -- |  |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/38
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```
D11 Trust State Government
    How much of the time do you think you can trust the state
        government in Lansing to do what is right -- nearly always or
        most of the time, some of the time, seldom, or almost never?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
17.5 & 176 & 1 & NEARLY ALWAYS OR MOST OF THE TIME \\
54.7 & 551 & 2 & SOME OF THE TIME \\
21.4 & 216 & 3 & SELDOM \\
6.4 & 64 & 4 & ALMOST NEVER \\
& 3 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 2 & 9 & REFUSED
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
```

Input location: 1/39

D12
Trust Local Government
How much of the time do you think you can trust your local government to do what is right -- nearly always or most of the time, some of the time, seldom, or almost never?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 33.9 | 339 | 1 | NEARLY ALWAYS OR MOST OF THE TIME |  |  |
| 42.9 | 428 | 2 | SOME OF THE TIME |  |  |
| 13.7 | 137 | 3 | SELDOM |  |  |
| 9.5 | 95 | 4 | ALMOST NEVER |  |  |
|  | 11 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |  |  |
|  | 3 | 9 | REFUSED |  |  |
|  | --- |  |  |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/40
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w1 Term Limits Approval
The next couple of questions are about term limits.
In 1992, the voters approved an amendment to the state constitution that places limits on the number of terms in office that can be served by the governor and members of the state legislature.

Do you approve or disapprove of these term limits.

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 75.2 | 700 | 1 | APPROVE |
| 24.8 | 231 | 5 | DISAPPROVE |
|  | 68 | 8 | DON 'T KNOW |
|  | 13 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/41
eth1
Legislators Ethical
To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Most state legislators are ethical people.

Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 5.8 | 57 | 1 | STRONGLY AGREE |
| 65.4 | 650 | 2 | SOMEWHAT AGREE |
| 20.7 | 206 | 3 | SOMEWHAT DISAGREE |
| 8.1 | 80 | 4 | STRONGLY DISAGREE |
|  | 15 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 4 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/42
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```
eth7 More/Less Ethical Term Limits
    Since the implentation of term limits, do you think that state legislators
        are more ethical or less ethical than they were before term limits?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    34.5 292 1 MORE ETHICAL
    39.7 336 2 LESS ETHICAL
    25.8 218 3 NO DIFFERNCE/SAME
        145 8 DON'T KNOW
            21 9 REFUSED
        1012 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 3
    Input location: 1/43
msueng1 Energy Direction
Next, I have a some questions about energy use and production.
Do you think the United States is heading in the right direction or the wrong direction with respect to energy use and production?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
46.5 & 436 & 1 & HEADING RIGHT DIRECTION \\
53.5 & 500 & 5 & HEADING IN WRONG DIRECTION \\
& 69 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 7 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/44
```
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msueng2 Reason Right/Wrong Direction
Why do you think the United States is heading in the right direction with respect to energy use and production?

Why do you think the United States is heading in the wrong direction with respect to energy use and production?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0.8 | 7 | 1 | GAS PRICES TOO LOW |
| 5.4 | 47 | 2 | GAS PRICES TOO HIGH |
| 0.1 | 1 | 3 | ENERGY PRICES TOO LOW |
| 1.6 | 14 | 4 | ENERGY PRICES TOO HIGH |
| 1.4 | 12 | 5 | CLIMATE CHANGE/WARMING |
| 3.4 | 30 | 6 | ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES |
| 2.2 | 20 | 7 | ETHONOL GOOD |
| 2.9 | 25 | 8 | ETHONOL BAD |
| 34.9 | 307 | 9 | RENEWABLE ENERGY/FOCUS ALERNATIVE ENERGY: WIND, SOLAR, NUCLEAR |
| 1.8 | 15 | 10 | TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE |
| 1.2 | 11 | 11 | WORLD IMAGE |
| 1.5 | 13 | 12 | ENERGY SECURITY |
| 18.9 | 166 | 13 | DEPENDENCE ON FOREIGN OIL/MORE DOMESTIC DRILLING |
| 2.2 | 20 | 14 | PROFITS TOO HIGH OIL COMPANIES |
| 0.1 | 1 | 15 | FOCUS ON ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCES |
| 7.9 | 69 | 16 | FOCUS ON ENERGY EFFICIENCY |
| 6.5 | 57 | 17 | FOCUS ON CONSERVING ALL ENERGY SOURCES |
| 6.1 | 54 | 18 | NO NATIONAL ENERGY PLAN/NEED NATIONAL ENERGY PLAN |
| 1.2 | 10 | 90 | MISCELLANEOUS |
|  | 116 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 17 | 99 | REFUSED |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 90
Input location: 1/45-46

Thinking about energy policy, in your opinion, should the Michigan Legislature pass laws to encourage increased use of renewable energy?

IWER: RENEWABLE ENERGY DEFINITION: "Renewable energy sources include wind, solar and hydro (water) energy".

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 89.5 | 859 | 1 | YES |
| 10.5 | 101 | 5 | NO |
|  | 46 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 6 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 1/47
msueng4a Favor Laws Increase \$5.00
Would you still favor laws encouraging the increased use of renewable energy even if it raised your energy costs by $\$ 5.00$ per month?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 76.4 | 157 | 1 | YES |
| 23.6 | 48 | 5 | NO |
|  | 9 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 798 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 cases |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 1/48

## msueng4b

Favor Laws Increase \$10.00
Would you still favor laws encouraging the increased use of renewable energy even if it raised your energy costs by $\$ 10.00$ per month?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 78.1 | 188 | 1 | YES |
| 21.9 | 53 | 5 | NO |
|  | 7 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 764 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 1/49
msueng4c
Favor Laws Increase \$20.00
Would you still favor laws encouraging the increased use of renewable energy even if it raised your energy costs by $\$ 20.00$ per month?

PCT $N$ VALUE LABEL
$70.0143 \quad 1$ YES
$30.061 \quad 5$ NO
118 DO NOT KNOW
29 REFUSED
796 . Not Applicable
1012 cases

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/50
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Would you still favor laws encouraging the increased use of renewable
energy even if it raised your energy costs by $\$ 30.00$ per month?

| PCT | $N$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 68.3 | 150 |
| 31.7 | 70 |
|  | 5 |
|  | 1 |
|  | 785 |
|  | --- |
|  | 1012 cases |


| Type: numeric | Min: 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Decimals: 0 | Max: 5 |$\quad$ MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 1/51
msueng5a Importance: Global Warming
Thinking about other energy related issues, please tell me how important each of the following is to you.

Combating global warming?
Would you say this is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not important at all?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 50.2 | 504 | 1 | VERY IMPORTANT |
| 31.0 | 311 | 2 | SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT |
| 0.1 | 1 | 3 | NEITHER IMPORTANT/UNIMPORTANT |
| 9.9 | 100 | 4 | NOT VERY IMPORTANT |
| 8.8 | 89 | 5 | NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL |
|  | 4 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 4 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/52
msueng5b Importance: Environmental Concerns
Environmental concerns such as acid rain, water quality, and smog?
(Would you say this is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not important at all?)

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 60.9 | 614 | 1 | VERY IMPORTANT |
| 32.6 | 329 | 2 | SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT |
| 0.0 | 0 | 3 | NEITHER IMPORTANT/UNIMPORTANT |
| 5.6 | 57 | 4 | NOT VERY IMPORTANT |
| 0.8 | 8 | 5 | NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL |
|  | 2 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/53

```
msueng5c Importance: Reducing Energy Costs
            Reducing future energy costs?
            (Would you say this is very important, somewhat important, not very
            important or not important at all?)
            PCT N VALUE LABEL
            70.4 707 1 VERY IMPORTANT
            26.9 271 2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
            0.1 1 3 NEITHER IMPORTANT/UNIMPORTANT
            1.5 15 4 NOT VERY IMPORTANT
            1.0 10 5 NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL
            4 DO NOT KNOW
            3 ( REFUSED
                1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/54
```
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```
msueng5d Importance: Decreasing Reliance Fossil Fuels
    Decreasing the reliance on fossil fuels?
    IWER: "Fossil fuels are fuels such as oil, coal, and natural gas
    (Would you say this is very important, somewhat important, not very
    important or not important at all?)
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    67.5 674 1 VERY IMPORTANT
    27.1 270 2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
    0.6 6 3 NEITHER IMPORTANT/UNIMPORTANT
    3.3 33 4 NOT VERY IMPORTANT
    1.5 15 5 NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL
            11 8 DO NOT KNOW
            3 ( REFUSED
        1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/55
```

```
msueng5e
Importance: Decreasing Reliance Foreign Oil
    Decreasing the reliance on foreign oil imports?
    (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important
    or not important at all?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
80.5 & 808 & 1 & VERY IMPORTANT \\
15.5 & 155 & 2 & SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT \\
0.2 & 2 & 3 & NEITHER IMPORTANT/UNIMPORTANT \\
1.7 & 17 & 4 & NOT VERY IMPORTANT \\
2.1 & 21 & 5 & NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL \\
& 5 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 4 & 9 & REFUSED \\
&.-- & & \\
& 1012 & cases
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/56
```

```
msueng5f Importance: Creating Jobs
    Creating jobs?
    IWER: "The creation of jobs in general in all fields."
    (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important
        or not important at all?)
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    95.6 967 1 VERY IMPORTANT
    3.4 34 2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
    0.1 1 3 NEITHER IMPORTANT/UNIMPORTANT
    0.7 7 4 NOT VERY IMPORTANT
    0.2 2 5 NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL
        1 8 DO NOT KNOW
                0 9 REFUSED
            1012 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/57
```

msueng6
HH Reduce Energy Use
In the last year, has your household taken any steps to reduce its energy
use or to become more energy effcient?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 84.7 | 853 | 1 | YES |
| 15.3 | 154 | 5 | NO |
|  | 5 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/58

```
msueng8a Spend $1,000 Save $60.00
    Would you be willing to spend $1,000 for an energy saving purchase,
    such as attic insulation or new windows or doors, if it would save
    you $5.00 a month or $60.00 per year on your energy bill?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    64.7 131 1 YES
    35.3 71 5 NO
            6 DO NOT KNOW
            3 9 REFUSED
            801 . Not Applicable
        1012 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/63
msueng8b
Spend $1,000 Save $120.00
    Would you be willing to spend $1,000 for an energy saving purchase,
    such as attic insulation or new windows or doors, if it would save
    you $10.00 a month or $120.00 per year on your energy bill?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
66.4 & 137 & 1 & YES \\
33.6 & 69 & 5 & NO \\
& 7 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 798 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}
```

```
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
```

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5

```
Input location: 1/64
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```

msueng8c Spend \$1,000 Save \$180.00

```
    Would you be willing to spend \(\$ 1,000\) for an energy saving purchase,
    such as attic insulation or new windows or doors, if it would save
    you \$15.00 a month or \$180.00 per year on your energy bill?
    PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
    75.21321 YES
    \(24.844 \quad 5\) NO
                    28 DO NOT KNOW
            29 REFUSED
            832 . Not Applicable
        1012 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/65
msueng8d Spend \$1,000 Save \$240.00
    Would you be willing to spend \(\$ 1,000\) for an energy saving purchase,
    such as attic insulation or new windows or doors, if it would save
    you \(\$ 20.00\) a month or \(\$ 240.00\) per year on your energy bill?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
78.2 & 174 & 1 & YES \\
21.8 & 48 & 5 & NO \\
& 1 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 789 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 1/66

April 2, 2008
```

msueng8e Spend \$1,000 Save \$300.00
Would you be willing to spend \$1,000 for an energy saving purchase,
such as attic insulation or new windows or doors, if it would save
you \$25.00 a month or \$300.00 per year on your energy bill?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
90.3 157 1 YES
9.7 17 5 NO
8 DO NOT KNOW
2 9 REFUSED
828 . Not Applicable
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/67

```
    Next, I have some questions about housing values in Michigan.
    Do you live in a single family home, a duplex, a condominum, a modular or
    mobile home, an apartment or townhouse, or something else?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
81.4 & 822 & 1 & SINGLE FAMILY HOME \\
3.3 & 34 & 2 & DUPLEX \\
3.4 & 35 & 3 & CONDOMINUM \\
3.9 & 39 & 4 & MODULAR OR MOBILE HOME \\
7.9 & 80 & 5 & APARTMENT OR TOWNHOUSE \\
& 1 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
&.-- & & \\
& 1012 & cases
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/1
```

ms1a Mobile Home Park
Do you live in a mobile home park?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 54.5 | 21 | 1 | YES |
| 45.5 | 18 | 5 | NO |
|  | 1 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 973 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5

```
Input location: 2/22
ms2 Home Ownership
    Is your home owned by you or someone in your household
    with a mortgage (home loan), owned by you or someone in your household
    without a mortgage or loan, rented by you or someone in your
    household, or occupied without payment of cash rent?
\begin{tabular}{rrrllll} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL & & \\
62.8 & 628 & 1 & OWNED WITH A MORTGAGE & & \\
24.3 & 243 & 2 & OWNED WITHOUT A MORTGAGE & & \\
11.2 & 112 & 3 & RENTED FOR CASH RENT & & \\
1.6 & 16 & 4 & OCCUPIED WITHOUT PAYMENT & 0 OTHER & SPECIFY \\
& 7 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW & & \\
& 5 & 9 & REFUSED & & \\
& -- & & & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 2/23
```

ms3
Years Current Residence
How many years have you lived at your current residence?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.3 | 54 | 0 | LESS THAN 1 YEAR |
| 4.8 | 48 | 1 | YEARS |
| 5.9 | 59 | 2 |  |
| 6.4 | 64 | 3 |  |
| 6.1 | 62 | 4 |  |
| 5.4 | 54 | 5 |  |
| 3.3 | 33 | 6 |  |
| 4.0 | 40 | 7 |  |
| 3.7 | 37 | 8 |  |
| 3.3 | 33 | 9 |  |
| 6.4 | 65 | 10 |  |
| 2.2 | 22 | 11 |  |
| 2.5 | 25 | 12 |  |
| 2.7 | 27 | 13 |  |
| 3.2 | 32 | 14 |  |
| 2.8 | 28 | 15 |  |
| 2.5 | 25 | 16 |  |
| 2.1 | 21 | 17 |  |
| 1.8 | 18 | 18 |  |
| 1.1 | 11 | 19 |  |
| 4.6 | 46 | 20 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 21 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 22 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 23 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 24 |  |
| 1.9 | 19 | 25 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 27 |  |
| 1.5 | 15 | 28 |  |
| 2.1 | 21 | 29 |  |
| 2.1 | 22 | 30 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 31 |  |
| 1.8 | 18 | 32 |  |
| 0.2 | 2 | 33 |  |
| 1.0 | 10 | 35 |  |
| 0.5 | 5 | 36 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 37 |  |
| 0.5 | 5 | 38 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 39 |  |
| 1.7 | 17 | 40 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 41 |  |
| 0.5 | 5 | 42 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 43 |  |

```
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\begin{tabular}{llll}
0.1 & 1 & 44 & \\
0.4 & 4 & 45 & \\
0.2 & 2 & 47 & \\
0.2 & 2 & 48 & \\
0.1 & 1 & 49 & \\
0.3 & 3 & 50 & \\
0.0 & 0 & 52 & \\
0.4 & 4 & 53 & \\
0.2 & 2 & 54 & \\
0.3 & 3 & 55 & \\
0.0 & 0 & 57 & \\
0.3 & 3 & 59 & \\
0.0 & 0 & 63 & YEARS \\
0.0 & 0 & 70 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 98 & REFUSED \\
& 1 & 99 & \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 0 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 70
Input location: 2/24-25
```

ms4 Property Value
What is the value of this property, that is, how much do you think your
and lot would sell for if it were for sale?
1 0 1 2 ~ c a s e s
Type: numeric Min: 0 MD Codes: none
Decimals: 0 Max: 2000000
Input location: 2/26-33

```

Annual Property Taxes
What are the annual real estate taxes on this property?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
\hline 0.5 & 4 & 0 & \\
\hline 33.4 & 291 & 8 & \\
\hline 5.1 & 45 & 9 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 10 & \\
\hline 0.2 & 2 & 15 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 24 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 36 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 150 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 200 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 250 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 265 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 300 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 320 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 325 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 350 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 400 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 435 & \\
\hline 0.4 & 4 & 500 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 570 & \\
\hline 1.4 & 12 & 600 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 0 & 640 & \\
\hline 0.2 & 1 & 650 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 666 & \\
\hline 0.5 & 4 & 700 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 0 & 770 & \\
\hline 1.0 & 8 & 800 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 850 & \\
\hline 0.6 & 6 & 900 & \\
\hline 0.2 & 1 & 925 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 970 & \\
\hline 2.5 & 21 & 1000 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 1030 & \\
\hline 1.0 & 9 & 1100 & \\
\hline 3.2 & 28 & 1200 & \\
\hline 0.3 & 2 & 1250 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 1275 & \\
\hline 0.8 & 7 & 1300 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 1350 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 16 & 1400 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 1425 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 18 & 1500 & \\
\hline 1.0 & 9 & 1600 & \\
\hline 0.6 & 5 & 1700 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{lrr}
0.5 & 4 & 1721 \\
3.1 & 27 & 1800 \\
0.0 & 0 & 1814 \\
0.9 & 8 & 1850 \\
1.1 & 10 & 1900 \\
3.1 & 27 & 2000 \\
1.5 & 13 & 2100 \\
1.8 & 16 & 2200 \\
0.7 & 6 & 2300 \\
0.9 & 8 & 2400 \\
1.1 & 10 & 2500 \\
1.0 & 9 & 2600 \\
0.6 & 5 & 2700 \\
0.3 & 3 & 2800 \\
0.4 & 3 & 2900 \\
4.1 & 36 & 3000 \\
0.1 & 1 & 3100 \\
0.9 & 8 & 3200 \\
0.1 & 1 & 3300 \\
0.8 & 7 & 3400 \\
1.8 & 16 & 3500 \\
0.9 & 7 & 3600 \\
0.6 & 5 & 3700 \\
0.1 & 1 & 3750 \\
0.1 & 1 & 3800 \\
0.1 & 0 & 3900 \\
0.0 & 0 & 3936 \\
2.8 & 24 & 4000 \\
0.2 & 1 & 4100 \\
3.2 & 27 & 4200 \\
0.1 & 1 & 4300 \\
0.0 & 0 & 4400 \\
0.7 & 6 & 4500 \\
0.7 & 6 & 4800 \\
2.2 & 19 & 5000 \\
0.3 & 3 & 5500 \\
0.0 & 0 & 5600 \\
0.1 & 0 & 5800 \\
1.9 & 17 & 6000 \\
0.1 & 1 & 6200 \\
0.7 & 6 & 6300 \\
0.0 & 0 & 6500 \\
0.1 & 1 & 6800 \\
0.6 & 5 & 7000 \\
0.1 & 1 & 8000 \\
0.0 & 0 & 9000 \\
0.7 & 6 & 10000 \\
0.2 & 2 & 11000 \\
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
0.1 & 1 & 12000 & \\
0.1 & 1 & 14000 & \\
0.2 & 2 & 16000 & \\
0.1 & 1 & 24000 & \\
& 141 & & Not Applicable \\
& -- & & \\
& 1012 & cases & \\
& & & \\
& & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 0 MD Codes: none
Decimals: 0 Max: 24000
Input location: 2/35-39
mb1 Income Gap High/Low

Next, \(I\) have a few questions about incomes in Michigan.
Since about 1980, do you think the income gap between high income people and low income people in Michigan has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased?

PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
61.15821 INCREASED
\(20.5195 \quad 2\) STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
\(18.3175 \quad 3\) DECREASED
\(58 \quad 8\) DO NOT KNOW
39 REFUSED
-- -
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/41

April 2, 2008
```

mb1b Income Gap High/Middle
(Since about 1980), do you think the income gap between high income
people and middle income people in Michigan has increased,
stayed about the same, or decreased?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
50.9 488 1 INCREASED
32.7 314 2 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
16.4 157 3 DECREASED
51 8 DO NOT KNOW
1 9 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/42

```
```

mb1c Income Gap Middle/Low
(Since about 1980), do you think the income gap between middle income
people and low income people in Michigan has increased,
stayed about the same, or decreased?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 32.0 | 310 | 1 | INCREASED |  |
| 40.1 | 389 | 2 | STAYED ABOUT THE SAME |  |
| 27.9 | 270 | 3 | DECREASED |  |
|  | 42 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |  |
|  | 1 | 9 | REFUSED |  |
|  | --- |  |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |  |

    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 3
    ```
    Input location: 2/43
```
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mb3 Standard of Living
Since about 1980, do you think the standard of living for people
like you in Michigan has become better, stayed about the same,
or become worse?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 20.3 | 200 | 1 | BECOME BETTER |
| 32.4 | 319 | 2 | STAYED ABOUT THE SAME |
| 47.2 | 464 | 3 | BECOME WORSE |
|  | 27 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/44
mb4
Percentage Tax Low-Income
Each year, Michigan residents, regardless of their incomes, pay a variety of state and local taxes, such as income taxes, sales taxes, and property taxes.
Since about 1990, do you think the percentage of all Michigan tax dollars collected from low-income people has increased, stayed about the same, or decreased?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 51.9 | 468 | 1 | INCREASED |  |
| 34.3 | 310 | 2 | STAYED ABOUT THE SAME |  |
| 13.7 | 124 | 3 | DECREASED |  |
|  | 109 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |  |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |  |
|  | -- |  |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/45

```
```

mb5
Percentage Tax High Income
Since about 1990, do you think the percentage of all Michigan tax dollars
collected from high-income people has increased, stayed about
the same, or decreased?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
32.6 296 1 INCREASED
39.2 356 2 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
28.1 255 3 DECREASED
102 8 DO NOT KNOW
3 9 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/46
mb6
Alternative State Income Tax
Regardless of your income, the state of Michigan currently takes a little over four cents of each dollar you make for state income tax. Some states take more from each dollar depending on a person's income. Either tax system could raise the same amount of money.
Would you favor or oppose changing from Michigan's current income tax system to a system where how much the state takes per dollar depends on how much you make?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1.1 | 11 | 0 | OTHER: SPECIFY |
| 56.6 | 525 | 1 | FAVOR |
| 5.3 | 49 | 2 | NEITHER/NEUTRAL |
| 37.0 | 344 | 3 | OPPOSE |
|  | 73 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 10 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |


| Type: numeric | Min: 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Decimals: | 0 | Max: 3 |$\quad$ MD Codes: 9,8

```

Input location: 2/47

April 2, 2008

Next, I have some questions about computer and internet usage.
Do you have a computer in your home?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
88.4 & 892 & 1 & YES \\
11.6 & 117 & 5 & NO \\
& 0 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 3 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/48
it2
Reason No Computer
What is the main reason that you do not have a computer in your home?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
23.3 & 26 & 1 & NOT WORTH THE HASSLE, TIME TO HAVE ONE \\
13.7 & 16 & 2 & TOO COMPLICATED \\
26.7 & 30 & 3 & TOO EXPENSIVE \\
3.7 & 4 & 4 & HAVE ONE AT WORK, FRIENDS, FAMILY HAVE ONE \\
29.6 & 34 & 5 & NO INTEREST IN COMPUTERS/NO NEED/TOO OLD \\
3.0 & 3 & 6 & DON T WANT CHILDREN/TEENS USINIG COMPUTER \\
& 3 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 895 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 2/49

April 2, 2008
```

it2a Use Internet
Do you use the Internet?
PCT
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/50
it2b Where Access Internet
Do you access the Internet at home, at your workplace, or both?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 43.1 | 357 | 1 | HOME |
| 3.2 | 26 | 2 | WORKPLACE |
| 51.0 | 422 | 3 | BOTH |
| 2.7 | 22 | 4 | NEITHER |
|  | 0 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 1 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 184 | . | Not Applicable |

    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 4
    Input location: 2/51
    ```
        Have you ever accessed the Internet at a public location such as a library,
        school, college, or university, a church, mall, or community center?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
68.6 & 568 & 1 & YES \\
31.4 & 260 & 5 & NO, DO NOT ACCESS THE INTERNET \\
& 0 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 184 &. & Not Applicable \\
& -- & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/52
    Do you access the Internet at home through a traditional
    telephone modem (dial-up), through a high-speed service such as DSL, or a
    cable modem?
\begin{tabular}{rr} 
PCT & N \\
23.7 & 183 \\
34.0 & 263 \\
35.4 & 275 \\
4.5 & 35 \\
2.4 & 19 \\
0.0 & 0 \\
0.0 & 0 \\
0.0 & 0 \\
& 3 \\
& 0 \\
& 234 \\
& --- \\
& 1012
\end{tabular}

VALUE LABEL
1 DIAL-UP/MODEM
2 DSL LINE
\(35.4 \quad 275\)
4.535

3 CABLE MODEM
4 OTHER HIGH SPEED: T-1, ISDN, SATELLITE
5 WIRELESS
\(0.0 \quad 0\)
\(0.0 \quad 0\)
6 DON'T ACCESS FROM HOME
7 DO NOT ACCESS INTERNET AT ALL
90 MISCELLANEOUS
98 DO NOT KNOW
99 REFUSED
Not Applicable 1012 cases

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 2/53-54
```

it4 Reason No Access Home

```

What is the main reason that you do not access the Internet from home?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
27.3 & 12 & 1 & LACK OF ACCESS/NO COMPUTER/NO PROVIDER \\
32.5 & 14 & 2 & NOT WORTH THE TIME/HASSLE \\
3.0 & 1 & 3 & SECURITY CONCERNS/SPAM \\
14.9 & 7 & 4 & TOO COMPLICATED \\
19.5 & 9 & 5 & TOO EXPENSIVE/COST \\
2.8 & 1 & 6 & ACCESS INTERNET ELSEWHERE \\
0.0 & 0 & 7 & DON T WANT CHILDREN/TEENS ON INTERNET \\
0.0 & 0 & 90 & MISCELLANEOUS \\
& 5 & 98 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 99 & REFUSED \\
& 963 &. & Not Applicable
\end{tabular}

Input location: 2/55-56
```

it5 Access Type Computer
When accessing the Internet, do you most often use a
personal desktop computer, a laptop, a PDA such as a Blackberry or Pocket
PC, a cell phone, or something else?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
72.9 599 1 PERSONAL DESK TOP COMPUTER
24.7 203 2 LAPTOP
0.4 3 3 PDA
0.1 1 4 CELL PHONE
1.8 15 5 ALL: COMBINATION
3 98 DO NOT KNOW
3 99 REFUSED
184 . Not Applicable
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/57-58

```

\section*{it6a Access State Government}

Next I have some questions about accessing government services via the Internet.

Which, if any, of the following levels of government have you accessed via the Internet?

If you were to use the Internet, which of the following levels of government, if any, would you access via the Internet?

State of Michigan?
PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
\(74.9 \quad 728 \quad 1\) YES
\(25.1243 \quad 5\) NO
378 DO NOT KNOW
49 REFUSED
1012 cases

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/59

April 2, 2008
it6b Access County Government
County government?
(Have you accessed this level of government via the Internet)?
(If you were to use the Internet, would you access this level of goverment via the Internet?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
43.6 & 428 & 1 & YES \\
56.4 & 552 & 5 & NO \\
& 30 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 2 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/60
```

it6c Access Local Government
Township, city, or local government?
(Have you accessed this level of government via the Internet)?
(If you were to use the Internet, would you access this level of
goverment via the Internet?)
PCT N VALUE LABEL
45.6 452 1 YES
54.4 540 5 NO
18 8 DO NOT KNOW
2 9 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/61

```
it7 Level Access Most

Which level of government do you access most often?

Which level of goverment do you think you would access most often?

State government, county goverment, township government, or city or local government?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
57.4 & 265 & 1 & STATE OF MICHIGAN \\
9.0 & 41 & 2 & COUNTY GOVERNMENT \\
5.7 & 26 & 3 & TOWNSHIP GOVERNMENT \\
27.5 & 127 & 4 & CITY OR LOCAL GOVERMENT \\
0.1 & 1 & 5 & ALL EQUALLY \\
0.3 & 1 & 6 & FEDERAL GOVERNMENT \\
& 20 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 6 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 525 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 2/63

April 2, 2008
it8a Used Michigan.gov
There are over 200 state government services now available online through Michigan.gov.

In the past 12 months, have you used any of the services that are available on the state of Michigan website such as renewing a license plate tab, purchasing or renewing a hunting or fishing license, or registering a business?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
44.6 & 366 & 1 & YES \\
55.4 & 455 & 5 & NO NOT KNOW \\
& 3 & 8 & DO NOT KNO \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 187 & \(\cdot\) & Not Applicable \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 cases & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/64

April 2, 2008
it8aa Like Use Michigan.gov
There are over 200 state government services now available online through Michigan.gov.

If you were to access the Internet, how likely would you be to use a government service on-line such as renewing a license plate tab, purchasing or renewing a hunting or fishing license, registering a business or some other service?

Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
31.9 & 56 & 1 & VERY LIKELY \\
17.4 & 31 & 2 & SOMEWHAT LIKELY \\
9.9 & 17 & 3 & SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY \\
40.8 & 72 & 4 & VERY \\
& 9 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 2 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 825 &. & Not Applicable \\
--- & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric \(\quad\) Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 2/65
it9a Frequency Use Gov Website

In the past 12 months, how often have you used a governent web site?

If you accessed the Internet, how often do you think you would you use a government web site?

Would you say daily, about once a week, at least once a month, a couple of times a year, or once a year?

PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
\(4.039 \quad 1 \quad\) DAILY
\(6.968 \quad 2\) ONCE A WEEK
\(22.1319 \quad 3\) AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH
\(39.2389 \quad 4\) A COUPLE OF TIMES A YEAR
\(15.6155 \quad 5\) ONCE A YEAR
\(12.2121 \quad 7 \quad\) NEVER
178 DO NOT KNOW
59 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 7
Input location: 2/66

April 2, 2008

How likely would you be to contact or interact with a government office using . . .

Email?
Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
20.3 & 203 & 1 & VERY LIKELY \\
30.8 & 308 & 2 & SOMEWHAT LIKELY \\
18.7 & 187 & 3 & SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY \\
30.1 & 301 & 4 & VERY UNLIKELY \\
& 10 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 3 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 2/67
```

it10b Likely Use Blog

```
        A Blog?
        IWER: "A blog is a website that provides commentary or news
        on a particular subject."
        (Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or
        very unlikely?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
6.7 & 66 & 1 & VERY LIKELY \\
13.3 & 131 & 2 & SOMEWHAT LIKELY \\
16.2 & 161 & 3 & SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY \\
63.8 & 632 & 4 & VERY UNLIKELY \\
& 17 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 5 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 2/68
it10c
Likely Use Instant Messaging
A live chat room or instant messaging?
(Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
10.9 & 109 & 1 & VERY LIKELY \\
10.2 & 102 & 2 & SOMEWHAT LIKELY \\
13.7 & 137 & 3 & SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY \\
65.2 & 652 & 4 & VERY UNLIKELY \\
& 9 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 3 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 2/69

April 2, 2008

A toll-free number?
(Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
39.6 & 398 & 1 & VERY LIKELY \\
32.3 & 325 & 2 & SOMEWHAT LIKELY \\
9.7 & 98 & 3 & SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY \\
18.3 & 185 & 4 & VERY UNLIKELY \\
& 5 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type: numeric & Min: 1 \\
Decimals: 0 & Max: 4
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 2/70

If you needed assistance with a particular government service, would you be most comfortable getting help in person, by telephone, by email, through using instant messaging?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
37.7 & 376 & 1 & IN PERSON \\
47.3 & 471 & 2 & BY TELEPHONE \\
11.3 & 112 & 3 & BY EMAIL \\
3.7 & 37 & 4 & INSTANT MESSAGING \\
& 14 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 2/71

The next set of questions focuses on Michigan cities and land redevelopment.
When you think about the state of Michigan as a whole, how important is the well-being of Michigan's cities to the overall well-being of the state?

Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important?

PCT N VALUE LABEL
\(75.1422 \quad 1\) VERY IMPORTANT
\(23.2130 \quad 2\) SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
1.79
0.11

29
7
414 . Not Applicable
---
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 2/72

UR1b
well-Being Detroit
The next set of questions focuses on Michigan cities.
When you think about the state of Michigan as a whole, how important is the well-being of the City of Detroit to the overall well-being of the state?

Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not at all important?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
42.4 & 172 & 1 & VERY IMPORTANT \\
45.4 & 184 & 2 & SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT \\
9.4 & 38 & 3 & NOT VERY \\
2.9 & 12 & 4 & NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL \\
& 3 & 8 & DON T KNOW \\
& 5 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 598 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 cases & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 2/73

April 2, 2008
```

HUS1b Re-develop Deteriorated/Underused Sites
How important do you think is it to re-develop deteriorated or underused
areas in old inner or central cities in Michigan?
(Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very
important, or not at all important?)

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 56.7 | 563 | 1 | VERY IMPORTANT |
| 37.8 | 376 | 2 | SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT |
| 3.4 | 34 | 3 | NOT VERY |
| 2.1 | 20 | 4 | NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL |
|  | 11 | 8 | DON'T KNOW |
|  | 9 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 2/74
HUS1a
Redeveloping Sites Urban Sprawl
Some people say that re-developing deteriorated or underused areas in old inner or central cities would help to reduce urban sprawl.
Do you think this would greatly reduce urban sprawl, somewhat reduce urban sprawl, or have no effect on urban sprawl?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 15.7 | 149 | 1 | GREATLY REDUCE URBAN SPRAWL |
| 64.8 | 617 | 2 | SOMEWHAT REDUCE URBAN SPRAWL |
| 19.5 | 186 | 3 | NO EFFECT ON URBAN SPRAWL |
|  | 53 | 8 | DON 'T KNOW |
|  | 7 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/75

```

Next I am going to read some statements about different ways to increase re-development in under-used or deteriorated old inner cities in Michigan.

Please tell me to what extent you would favor or oppose each.
First, giving tax breaks to businesses that locate or grow in deteriorated or underused areas of central cities?

Would you say you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose?

PCT N VALUE LABEL
\(37.0 \quad 371 \quad 1 \quad\) STRONGLY FAVOR
\(47.2473 \quad 2\) SOMEHWAT FAVOR
\(0.7 \quad 7 \quad 3\) NEITHER FAVOR/OPPOSE
\(10.9109 \quad 4\) SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
\(4.242 \quad 5 \quad\) STRONGLY OPPOSE
68 DON'T KNOW
49 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/76

April 2, 2008

\section*{UD1c Low-interest Government Loans}

Having the state provide low-interest government loans to businesses that locate or grow in old central cities?
(Would you say you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose this)?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
36.2 & 359 & 1 & STRONGLY FAVOR \\
50.8 & 503 & 2 & SOMEHWAT FAVOR \\
0.4 & 4 & 3 & NEITHER FAVOR/OPPOSE \\
10.1 & 101 & 4 & SOMEWHAT OPPOSE \\
2.5 & 24 & 5 & STRONGLY OPPOSE \\
& 16 & 8 & DON'T KNOW \\
& 5 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 2/77

E2
Re-developing Industrial/Toxic Waste Sites
When re-developing industrial or toxic waste sites, this property cannot be used until the pollution is cleaned up.

Should the corporations or individuals responsible for the pollution be required to pay for the clean-up even if the activities they engaged in were perfectly legal at the time?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
84.2 & 824 & 1 & YES \\
15.8 & 154 & 5 & NO \\
& 28 & 8 & DON'T KNOW \\
& 6 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/78

April 2, 2008
```

E3
Pay Clean-Up
If it is not known who caused the pollution or if the original polluters
are no longer in business, should the government pay for clean up or
should the properties remain unused?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
87.9 833 1 GOVERNMENT SHOULD PAY
12.1 115 5 PROPERTY SHOULD REMAIN UNUSED
48 8 DON'T KNOW
16 9 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/79
E4
Extent of Clean-Up
Do you think that polluted sites that are going to have industrial
development on them need to be cleaned up as thoroughly as sites that are
targeted for future housing developments?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 83.6 | 828 | 1 | YES |
| 16.4 | 162 | 5 | NO |
|  | 17 | 8 | DON'T KNOW |
|  | 5 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/80

```

\section*{E5 Governments Pay Clean Up}

Should state and local governments pay some of the costs of cleaning polluted sites so that the properties can be used for new developments?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
85.8 & 836 & 1 & YES \\
14.2 & 138 & 5 & NO \\
& 31 & 8 & DON'T KNOW \\
& 7 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Type: numeric & Min: 1 \\
Decimals: 0 & Max: 5
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 3/1

Choosing Sites - Contamination/Redevelopment
In 1998, Michigan voters approved a bond issue which included 350 million dollars to clean-up contaminated sites across the state.

When the State uses these funds, what should be the more important factor in choosing sites - the contamination level or the economic redevelopment potential?
\begin{tabular}{rrrll} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL & \\
54.0 & 526 & 1 & CONTAMINATION LEVEL & \\
40.9 & 399 & 2 & REDEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL \\
5.1 & 50 & 3 & CONTAMINATION AND REDEVELOPMENT EQUALLY \\
& 28 & 8 & DON'T KNOW \\
& 9 & 9 & REFUSED & \\
& --- & & & \\
& 1012 & cases & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 3/2

April 2, 2008

Finally, I have a few background questions.
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
50.0 & 506 & 1 & MALE \\
50.0 & 506 & 5 & FEMALE \\
& ---- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type: numeric & Min: 1 & MD Codes: none \\
Decimals: 0 & Max: 5 &
\end{tabular}

Input location: 3/3

April 2, 2008
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CD2

\section*{Year Birth}


April 2, 2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1.5 & 15 & 57 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 21 & 58 & \\
\hline 1.6 & 16 & 59 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 19 & 60 & \\
\hline 2.6 & 25 & 61 & \\
\hline 2.0 & 19 & 62 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 18 & 63 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 19 & 64 & \\
\hline 2.5 & 24 & 65 & \\
\hline 2.6 & 25 & 66 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 21 & 67 & \\
\hline 2.7 & 26 & 68 & \\
\hline 3.4 & 33 & 69 & \\
\hline 1.3 & 13 & 70 & \\
\hline 2.2 & 21 & 71 & \\
\hline 2.3 & 23 & 72 & \\
\hline 2.8 & 27 & 73 & \\
\hline 3.3 & 32 & 74 & \\
\hline 0.9 & 8 & 75 & \\
\hline 0.9 & 9 & 76 & \\
\hline 0.4 & 4 & 77 & \\
\hline 3.9 & 38 & 78 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 20 & 79 & \\
\hline 1.8 & 17 & 80 & \\
\hline 0.5 & 5 & 81 & \\
\hline 0.5 & 5 & 82 & \\
\hline 1.5 & 15 & 83 & \\
\hline 0.3 & 3 & 84 & \\
\hline 1.3 & 13 & 85 & \\
\hline 1.2 & 12 & 86 & \\
\hline 3.7 & 36 & 87 & \\
\hline 0.8 & 8 & 88 & \\
\hline 3.4 & 34 & 89 & \\
\hline 0.3 & 3 & 90 & YEAR OF BIRTH \\
\hline & 1 & 98 & DO NOT KNOW \\
\hline & 31 & 99 & REFUSED \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{1012 cases} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 15 MD Codes: 99,98, 0
Decimals: 0 Max: 90
Input location: 3/4-5
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What is the highest level of education you have completed?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
0.0 & 0 & 0 & DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL \\
0.0 & 0 & 1 & GRADE \\
0.1 & 1 & 5 & GRADE \\
0.1 & 1 & 6 & GRADE \\
0.4 & 4 & 8 & GREADE \\
0.5 & 5 & 10 & GRADE \\
2.9 & 29 & 11 & GRADE \\
25.1 & 251 & 12 & HIGH SCHOOL GRAD OR GED \\
13.6 & 136 & 13 & COLLEGE (1 YR) \\
11.2 & 112 & 14 & COLLEGE (2 YRS) \\
5.6 & 56 & 15 & COLLEGE (3 YRS) \\
19.9 & 200 & 16 & COLLEGE GRADUATE \\
4.4 & 44 & 17 & SOME POST GRADUATE \\
13.6 & 137 & 18 & GRADUATE DEGREE \\
2.7 & 27 & 20 & TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRAD \\
& 2 & 98 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 10 & 99 & REFUSED \\
& --- & 1012 & cases
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 5 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 20
Input location: 3/6-7
```

CD5a Ethnicity
Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
2.4 24 1 YES, HISPANIC
97.6 977 5 NO, NOT HISPANIC
2 8 DON'T KNOW
10 9 REFUSED
---
1012 cases

```
    Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 3/8
```

CD4a@a Race - White/Caucasian
What is your race?
White?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
80.0 805 1 YES
20.0 201 5 NO
0}8\mathrm{ DO NOT KNOW
6 ( REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/9
CD4a@b Race - African American or Black
What is your race?
African American or Black?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
12.6 126 1 YES
87.4 880 5 NO
0}8\mathrm{ DO NOT KNOW
6 9 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/10

```
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```

CD4a@e Race - American Indian or Alaska Native?
What is your race?
American Indian or Alaska Native?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
2.5 25 1 YES
97.5 981 5 NO
0}8\mathrm{ DO NOT KNOW
6 9 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/13
CD4a@f Race Other
What is your race?
Other?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 4.1 | 42 | 1 | YES |
| 95.9 | 964 | 5 | NO |
|  | 1 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 6 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 3/14
    ```
```

CD6 Religious Background
What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your
religious views?
(Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no
religion)?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 11.3 | 111 | 0 | NONE |

29.9 292 1 CATHOLIC
0.5 5 2 ISLAMIC/MUSLIM
1.5 14 3 JEWISH
50.6 494 4 PROTESTANT
1.9 18 5 OTHER NON CHRISTIAN
3.3 32 7 OTHER CHRISTIAN
0.0 0 % UNABLE TO CLASSIFY
1.0 10 90 OTHER: UNABLE TO CLASSIFY
16 98 DO NOT KNOW
19 99 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 0 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 90

```
Input location: 3/15-16
    Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a
    Democrat, an Independent or something else?
    PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
    \(7.6 \quad 75 \quad 0 \quad\) OTHER PARTY/THIRD PARTY
\(24.4241 \quad 1\) REPUBLICAN
\(34.9 \quad 344 \quad 4\) INDEPENDENT
\(33.1326 \quad 7\) DEMOCRAT
            148 DO NOT KNOW
            139 REFUSED
        1012 cases
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type: numeric & Min: 0 & MD Codes: 9,8 \\
Decimals: 0 & Max: 7 &
\end{tabular}
Input location: 3/17
```
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CD7@b
Republican
Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong
Republican?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 70.9 | 165 | 1 | STRONGLY REPUBLICAN |
| 29.1 | 67 | 2 | NOT VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN |
|  | 7 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 771 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 2
Input location: 3/18
CD7@c
Democrat
Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 31.0 | 100 | 6 | NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT |
| 69.0 | 223 | 7 | STRONG DEMOCRAT |
|  | 2 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 686 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 6 MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 7
Input location: 3/19

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{CD7@d \(\begin{array}{rr} \\ \\ \\ \\ \text { Do } \\ \\ \text { or } \\ \\ \text { PCT } \\ \\ 25.1 \\ 28.1 \\ 46.9\end{array}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Independent} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{you generally think of yourself as closer the Republican Party?} \\
\hline & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
\hline & 85 & 3 & REPUBLICAN \\
\hline & 95 & 4 & NEITHER \\
\hline & 158 & 5 & democrat \\
\hline & 4 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
\hline & 3 & 9 & REFUSED \\
\hline & 668 & . & Not Applicable \\
\hline & 1012 ca & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Type: numeric } & \text { Min: } 3 \\ \text { Decimals: } & 0 & \text { Max } \\ 5\end{array}\)}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Input location: 3/20} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{partyid Political Party Preference} \\
\hline PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
\hline 7.7 & 75 & & OTHER PARTY, OTHER \\
\hline 17.0 & 165 & 1 & strong republican \\
\hline 7.0 & 67 & 2 & not strong republican \\
\hline 8.7 & 85 & 3 & lean republican \\
\hline 9.8 & 95 & 4 & neither \\
\hline 16.3 & 158 & 5 & lean democrat \\
\hline 10.4 & 100 & 6 & not strong democrat \\
\hline 23.1 & 223 & 7 & strong democrat \\
\hline & 14 & 8 & DON'T KNOW \\
\hline & 13 & 9 & REFUSED \\
\hline & 17 & . & Not Applicable \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{1012 cases} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Type: numeric} & Min: 0 & MD Codes: 8,9 \\
\hline Decima & s: 0 & Max: 7 & \\
\hline Input & ocation & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

CD7@d Independent
Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party
or the Republican Party?
Type: numeric Min: 3 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/20

```
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            Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a
        moderate, or a liberal?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
1.4 & 14 & 0 & OTHER \\
40.2 & 390 & 1 & CONSERVATIVE \\
40.0 & 389 & 4 & NEITHER \\
18.4 & 179 & 7 & LIBERAL \\
& 22 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 18 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(0 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 7

Input location: 3/22

P17@b
Conservative
Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?
\begin{tabular}{rr} 
PCT & \(N\) \\
33.5 & 130 \\
66.5 & 259 \\
& 0 \\
& 1 \\
& 622 \\
& --- \\
& 1012 cases
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 2
Input location: 3/23
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P17@c
Liberal
Would you consider yourself very liberal or somewhat liberal?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
56.8 & 100 & 6 & SOMEWHAT LIBERAL \\
43.2 & 76 & 7 & VERY LIBERAL \\
& 3 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 833 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(6 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 7

Input location: 3/24

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
32.8 & 125 & 3 & CLOSER CONSERVATIVE \\
21.0 & 80 & 4 & IN THE MIDDLE \\
46.3 & 176 & 5 & CLOSER LIBERAL \\
& 7 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 2 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 623 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(3 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 3/25

April 2, 2008
```

ideology Degree Liberal-Conservative

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0 | OTHER |
| 13.8 | 130 | 1 | very conservative |
| 27.4 | 259 | 2 | somewhat conservative |
| 13.2 | 125 | 3 | lean conservative |
| 8.4 | 80 | 4 | middle |
| 18.6 | 176 | 5 | lean liberal |
| 10.6 | 100 | 6 | somewhat liberal |
| 8.0 | 76 | 7 | very liberal |
|  | 22 | 8 | DON'T KNOW |
|  | 18 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 26 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

```
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type: numeric & Min: 1 \\
Decimals: & 0 & Max: 7
\end{tabular}
Input location: 3/26

CD8
Marital Status
What is your marital status?
(Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an unmarried couple, or have you never been married?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
0.1 & 1 & 0 & OTHER \\
58.3 & 583 & 1 & MARRIED \\
9.6 & 96 & 2 & DIVORCED \\
1.3 & 13 & 3 & SEPARATED \\
5.3 & 53 & 4 & WIDOWED \\
2.0 & 20 & 5 & MEMBER UNMARRIED COUPLE \\
23.4 & 234 & 6 & SINGLE NEVER BEEN MARRIED \\
& 1 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 11 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(0 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 3/27

\section*{CD10 \\ Adults HH}

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
17.1 & 173 & 1 & ADULT \\
55.4 & 559 & 2 & ADULTS \\
18.2 & 184 & 3 & ADULTS \\
7.0 & 71 & 4 & ADULTS \\
1.8 & 18 & 5 & ADULTS \\
0.4 & 4 & 6 & ADULTS \\
0.1 & 1 & 7 & ADULTS \\
0.0 & 0 & 10 & ADULTS \\
& 0 & 98 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 2 & 99 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 10
Input location: 3/28-29

CD11
Children HH
How many children under the age of 18 are currently living in your household?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
50.2 & 503 & 0 & NO CHILDREN \\
18.1 & 181 & 1 & CHILD \\
21.5 & 216 & 2 & CHILDREN \\
6.5 & 65 & 3 & CHILDREN \\
3.0 & 30 & 4 & CHILDREN \\
0.2 & 2 & 5 & CHILDREN \\
0.4 & 4 & 6 & CHILDREN \\
0.0 & 0 & 7 & CHILDREN \\
& 1 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 10 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
Type: numeric & Min: 0 & MD Codes: 9,8 \\
Decimals: & 0 & Max: 6
\end{tabular}

Input location: 3/30
```

CD15
Employment Status
We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn
their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going
to school, a homemaker or something else?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
44.7 445 1 WORK FULL TIME
16.4 163 2 WORK PART TIME
2.1 21 3 WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL
0.0 0 4 IN THE ARMED FORCES
0.5 5 5 JOB, DID NOT WORK LAST WEEK
3.9 39 6 UNEMPLOYED
15.9 158 7 RETIRED
5.0 50 8 SCHOOL FULL-TIME
8.7 87 9 HOMEMAKER
2.8 28 10 DISABLED
0.0 0 97 MISCELLANEOUS
4 98 DO NOT KNOW
11 99 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 11 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 10

```
Input location: 3/31-32
```

ms7
Comute
How long, in minutes, did it usually take you to get from your
home address to work last week?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.1 | 32 | 0 | MINUTES |
| 0.9 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 0.6 | 4 | 2 |  |
| 1.6 | 10 | 3 |  |
| 1.4 | 9 | 4 |  |
| 8.0 | 51 | 5 |  |
| 0.4 | 3 | 6 |  |
| 0.8 | 5 | 7 |  |
| 1.4 | 9 | 8 |  |
| 10.9 | 69 | 10 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 11 |  |
| 3.1 | 20 | 12 |  |
| 0.4 | 2 | 13 |  |
| 16.7 | 106 | 15 |  |
| 0.1 | 0 | 16 |  |
| 0.3 | 2 | 17 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 18 |  |
| 13.9 | 88 | 20 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 22 |  |
| 7.4 | 47 | 25 |  |
| 6.0 | 38 | 30 |  |
| 2.4 | 15 | 35 |  |
| 3.0 | 19 | 40 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 42 |  |
| 3.4 | 21 | 45 |  |
| 0.5 | 3 | 48 |  |
| 0.2 | 2 | 50 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 52 |  |
| 1.2 | 8 | 55 |  |
| 5.0 | 32 | 60 |  |
| 0.2 | 2 | 90 |  |
| 0.2 | 2 | 100 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 110 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 115 |  |
| 2.4 | 15 | 120 | MINUTES |
| 1.8 | 11 | 998 | DO NOT KNOW |
| 0.1 | 1 | 999 | REFUSED |
|  | 379 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 0 MD Codes: 998, 999

```
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Decimals: 0 Max: 999
Input location: 3/33-35

UN1
Union Member
Are you currently a member of a union or are you represented by a union?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
23.0 & 146 & 1 & YES \\
77.0 & 487 & 5 & NO \\
& 0 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 379 & \(\cdot\) & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/36

UN2
Past Union Member
Have you ever been a member of a union or represented by a union?
PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
35.1299
64.9553
\(7 \quad 8\) DO NOT KNOW
89 REFUSED
146 . Not Applicable 1012 cases

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/37
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```

UN3 Union Family

```

Is anyone else in your household a member of a union or represented by a union?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
22.3 & 186 & 1 & YES \\
77.7 & 648 & 5 & NO \\
& 3 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 5 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 171 &. & Not Applicable \\
--- & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Type: numeric & Min: 1 \\
Decimals: 0 & Max: 5
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 3/38

Household income (More or less than \$30,000)
To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the general range of incomes of all households we interview. This is for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly confidential. Now, thinking about your household's total annual income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive \$30,000 or more in 2007?

PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
\(82.8 \quad 769 \quad 1\) YES
\(\begin{array}{lll}17.2 & 160 & 5 \\ \text { NO }\end{array}\)
288 DO NOT KNOW
559 REFUSED
1012 cases

Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/39
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```

incb Household income: less than \$20,000?
Was it less than \$20,000?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 59.7 | 93 | 1 | YES |
| 40.3 | 63 | 5 | NO |
|  | 4 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 852 | . | Not Applicable |

            ----
            1012 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 3/40
    incc
Household income:less than \$10,000?
Was it less than \$10,000?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 25.4 | 24 | 1 | YES |
| 74.6 | 70 | 5 | NOT |
|  | 0 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 919 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 cases |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/41

```
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```

incd Household income: \$60,000 or more
Was it \$60,000 or more?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 62.1 | 469 | 1 | YES |
| 37.9 | 286 | 5 | NO |
|  | 8 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 6 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 243 | $\cdot$ | Not Applicable |
|  | -- |  |  |

    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 3/42
    ince
Household income: \$40,000 or more?
Was it \$40,000 or more?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 67.3 | 189 | 1 | YES |
| 32.7 | 92 | 5 | NO |
|  | 5 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 1 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 726 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/43

```

April 2, 2008
```

incf Household income: \$50,000 or more
Was it \$50,000 or more?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
31.6 59 1 YES
68.4 127 5 NO
2 8 DO NOT KNOW
0 9 REFUSED
84 . Not Applicable
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/44
incg Household income: more than \$70,000
Was it more than \$70,000?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 68.4 | 310 | 1 | YES |
| 31.6 | 143 | 5 | NO |
|  | 4 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 11 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 543 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 3/45

```
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{income} & ehold & income (gathered responses) \\
\hline PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & REFUSED \\
\hline 2.6 & 24 & 1 & \$10,000 or less \\
\hline 7.5 & 70 & 2 & \$10, 000-19,999 \\
\hline 7.2 & 67 & 3 & \$20, 000-29, 999 \\
\hline 12.0 & 111 & 4 & \$30, 000-39, 999 \\
\hline 13.9 & 130 & 5 & \$40, 000-49,999 \\
\hline 6.3 & 59 & 6 & \$50, 000-59, 999 \\
\hline 17.1 & 159 & 7 & \$60, 000-69,999 \\
\hline 33.4 & 310 & 8 & \$70,000 or more \\
\hline & 0 & 9 & DON'T KNOW \\
\hline & 83 & . & Not Applicable \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{1012 cases} \\
\hline Type: & umeric & Min: 1 & MD Codes: 9,0 \\
\hline Decima & \(s: 0\) & Max: 8 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Input location: 3/46

CD26
Phone Lines
How many different phone numbers does your household have, not including cell phones?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
93.4 & 944 & 1 & PHONE NUMBERS \\
5.8 & 58 & 2 & PHONE NUMBERS \\
0.7 & 7 & 3 & PHONE NUMBERS \\
0.1 & 1 & 4 & PHONE NUMBERS \\
0.0 & 0 & 7 & PHONE NUMBERS \\
& 0 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 7
Input location: 3/47

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town, a suburb, or an urban community?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
1.7 & 17 & 0 & OTHER: SPECIFY \\
27.1 & 271 & 1 & RURAL COMMUNITY \\
31.1 & 311 & 2 & SMALL CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE \\
25.1 & 251 & 3 & A SUBURB \\
15.0 & 150 & 4 & URBAN COMMUNITY \\
& 6 & 98 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 5 & 99 & \\
&.-- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: \(0 \quad\) MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 3/48-49
```

zipcode Zip Code
What is your zip code?
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 8 MD Codes: none
Decimals: 0 Max: 49963
Input location: 3/50-54

```
        Village/City/Township
    In which village, city or township do you reside?
    1012 cases
    Type: character Width: 30
    Input location: 4/1-30

In a couple of months, we'd like to re-contact some of the people we've spoken with for another interview either in person or on the web. Would you be willing to participate again in a couple of months?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
81.0 & 580 & 1 & YES \\
19.0 & 136 & 5 & NO \\
& 16 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 278 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 4/31

April 2, 2008
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contacts Number Contacts

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 16.3 | 165 | 1 |  |
| 17.5 | 177 | 2 |  |
| 11.8 | 120 | 3 |  |
| 10.1 | 102 | 4 |  |
| 10.6 | 108 | 5 |  |
| 7.0 | 71 | 6 |  |
| 5.1 | 52 | 7 |  |
| 5.7 | 58 | 8 |  |
| 3.8 | 38 | 9 |  |
| 2.0 | 21 | 10 |  |
| 1.5 | 15 | 11 |  |
| 1.6 | 16 | 12 |  |
| 1.8 | 18 | 13 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 14 |  |
| 0.5 | 5 | 15 |  |
| 1.0 | 10 | 16 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 17 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 18 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 19 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 20 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 21 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 33 |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 30 | Not Applicable |
|  | 0 | - |  |
|  | -- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: none
Decimals: 0 Max: 30
Input location: 5/14-15

```

April 2, 2008


April 2, 2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline idate & & Interview & Date \\
\hline PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
\hline 1.0 & 10 & 1242008 & \\
\hline 1.3 & 13 & 1252008 & \\
\hline 2.4 & 24 & 1262008 & \\
\hline 4.3 & 43 & 1272008 & \\
\hline 5.4 & 55 & 1282008 & \\
\hline 4.9 & 49 & 1292008 & \\
\hline 3.5 & 36 & 1302008 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 19 & 1312008 & \\
\hline 2.4 & 24 & 2012008 & \\
\hline 0.7 & 7 & 2022008 & \\
\hline 1.1 & 12 & 2032008 & \\
\hline 1.1 & 11 & 2042008 & \\
\hline 2.0 & 20 & 2052008 & \\
\hline 2.0 & 20 & 2062008 & \\
\hline 1.4 & 14 & 2072008 & \\
\hline 0.7 & 7 & 2082008 & \\
\hline 0.6 & 6 & 2092008 & \\
\hline 2.5 & 26 & 2102008 & \\
\hline 1.2 & 12 & 2112008 & \\
\hline 2.7 & 28 & 2122008 & \\
\hline 1.0 & 10 & 2132008 & \\
\hline 3.4 & 34 & 2142008 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 20 & 2152008 & \\
\hline 1.2 & 13 & 2162008 & \\
\hline 2.4 & 24 & 2172008 & \\
\hline 3.5 & 35 & 2182008 & \\
\hline 2.6 & 27 & 2192008 & \\
\hline 2.0 & 20 & 2202008 & \\
\hline 2.7 & 27 & 2212008 & \\
\hline 0.6 & 6 & 2222008 & \\
\hline 3.2 & 33 & 2232008 & \\
\hline 1.4 & 15 & 2242008 & \\
\hline 3.9 & 40 & 2252008 & \\
\hline 3.2 & 32 & 2262008 & \\
\hline 1.6 & 16 & 2272008 & \\
\hline 1.2 & 12 & 2282008 & \\
\hline 0.7 & 7 & 2292008 & \\
\hline 0.2 & 2 & 3012008 & \\
\hline 0.3 & 3 & 3022008 & \\
\hline 0.5 & 5 & 3032008 & \\
\hline 0.4 & 4 & 3042008 & \\
\hline 0.3 & 3 & 3052008 & \\
\hline 1.7 & 18 & 3062008 & \\
\hline 0.6 & 6 & 3072008 & \\
\hline 0.9 & 9 & 3082008 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

April 2, 2008
\begin{tabular}{lrrl}
1.2 & 12 & 3092008 \\
1.3 & 13 & 3102008 & \\
0.3 & 3 & 3112008 & \\
1.2 & 12 & 3122008 & \\
0.4 & 5 & 3132008 & \\
0.3 & 3 & 3142008 & \\
0.1 & 1 & 3152008 & \\
0.9 & 9 & 3162008 & \\
0.8 & 8 & 3172008 & \\
3.3 & 33 & 3182008 & \\
2.0 & 21 & 3192008 & \\
3.6 & 36 & 3202008 & Not Applicable \\
& 1 & & \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}

Type: numeric Min: 1242008 MD Codes: none
Decimals: 0 Max: 3202008
Input location: 5/20-27

April 2, 2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline iwer & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Interviewer} \\
\hline PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
\hline 1.1 & 8 & 54 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 14 & 80 & \\
\hline 4.6 & 33 & 121 & \\
\hline 0.2 & 2 & 170 & \\
\hline 0.2 & 2 & 183 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 14 & 201 & \\
\hline 0.2 & 1 & 202 & \\
\hline 0.2 & 2 & 206 & \\
\hline 0.3 & 2 & 209 & \\
\hline 0.8 & 6 & 211 & \\
\hline 1.7 & 12 & 212 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 217 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 16 & 220 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 0 & 222 & \\
\hline 1.2 & 8 & 226 & \\
\hline 1.1 & 8 & 236 & \\
\hline 1.0 & 8 & 254 & \\
\hline 0.9 & 6 & 266 & \\
\hline 0.1 & 1 & 281 & \\
\hline 0.6 & 4 & 357 & \\
\hline 3.3 & 24 & 374 & \\
\hline 0.0 & 0 & 411 & \\
\hline 2.0 & 14 & 417 & \\
\hline 3.0 & 22 & 423 & \\
\hline 3.3 & 24 & 427 & \\
\hline 2.2 & 16 & 435 & \\
\hline 2.7 & 20 & 444 & \\
\hline 1.2 & 8 & 450 & \\
\hline 2.3 & 17 & 458 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 15 & 470 & \\
\hline 2.2 & 16 & 471 & \\
\hline 1.3 & 9 & 479 & \\
\hline 1.4 & 10 & 480 & \\
\hline 1.0 & 7 & 485 & \\
\hline 1.6 & 12 & 489 & \\
\hline 5.1 & 37 & 494 & \\
\hline 1.1 & 8 & 495 & \\
\hline 1.2 & 9 & 496 & \\
\hline 8.6 & 63 & 497 & \\
\hline 0.4 & 3 & 503 & \\
\hline 1.3 & 10 & 504 & \\
\hline 0.9 & 7 & 505 & \\
\hline 2.4 & 17 & 506 & \\
\hline 1.1 & 8 & 507 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 15 & 508 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

April 2, 2008
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
1.5 & 11 & 509 \\
2.4 & 17 & 510 \\
0.3 & 2 & 512 \\
0.5 & 3 & 513 \\
1.0 & 8 & 515 \\
0.0 & 0 & 517 \\
0.9 & 7 & 519 \\
1.4 & 10 & 520 \\
1.9 & 14 & 521 \\
1.2 & 9 & 522 \\
0.0 & 0 & 525 \\
0.8 & 6 & 526 \\
0.9 & 7 & 527 \\
0.5 & 4 & 528 \\
0.1 & 1 & 529 \\
0.8 & 6 & 530 \\
0.7 & 5 & 531 \\
1.2 & 9 & 532 \\
1.4 & 10 & 533 \\
0.5 & 4 & 535 \\
0.0 & 0 & 537 \\
2.0 & 15 & 538 \\
1.4 & 10 & 539 \\
0.2 & 2 & 541 \\
0.8 & 6 & 542 \\
0.5 & 4 & 546 \\
1.7 & 13 & 766 \\
1.5 & 11 & 937 \\
& 278 & - \\
& -- & \\
& 1012 & cases
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Type: numeric } & \text { Min: } 54 \\ \text { Decimals: } & 0 & \text { Max: } 937\end{array}\)

\footnotetext{
Input location: 5/28-30
}

April 2, 2008


April 2, 2008
```

agecat Rs age in categories
PCT N VALUE LABEL
12.4 121 1 18-24 Yrs
8.7 86 2 25 - 29 Yrs
20.2 197 3 30-39 Yrs
21.1 206 4 40 - 49 Yrs
15.4 151 5 50 - 59 Yrs
5.2 51 6 60 - 64 Yrs
16.9 165 7 65 or older
34 9 REFUSED
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9
Decimals: 0 Max: 7
Input location: 5/58

```
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
3.4 & 34 & 1 & UP \\
5.7 & 57 & 2 & NORTH LP \\
14.2 & 144 & 3 & W. CENTRAL \\
8.7 & 88 & 4 & E. CENTRAL \\
13.8 & 139 & 5 & SOUTHWEST \\
54.3 & 550 & 6 & SOUTHEAST URBAN \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 cases &
\end{tabular}
```

msueregn

```
msueregn
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 5/65
```

Input location: 5/65

```

April 2, 2008
```

rac3 Race 3 categories
PCT N VALUE LABEL
4.5 46 0 Refuse-Not codable
79.6 806 1 White
12.5 126 2 African American
3.4 34 3 Other
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 0 MD Codes: 9,7
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 5/80

```

April 2, 2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{AGE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Age of Respondent} \\
\hline PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
\hline & 34 & 0 & REFUSED/MISSING \\
\hline 3.5 & 34 & 18 & \\
\hline 0.8 & 8 & 19 & \\
\hline 3.7 & 36 & 20 & \\
\hline 1.2 & 12 & 21 & \\
\hline 1.3 & 13 & 22 & \\
\hline 0.3 & 3 & 23 & \\
\hline 1.5 & 15 & 24 & \\
\hline 0.5 & 5 & 25 & \\
\hline 0.5 & 5 & 26 & \\
\hline 1.8 & 17 & 27 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 20 & 28 & \\
\hline 3.9 & 38 & 29 & \\
\hline 0.4 & 4 & 30 & \\
\hline 0.9 & 9 & 31 & \\
\hline 0.9 & 8 & 32 & \\
\hline 3.3 & 32 & 33 & \\
\hline 2.8 & 27 & 34 & \\
\hline 2.3 & 23 & 35 & \\
\hline 2.2 & 21 & 36 & \\
\hline 1.3 & 13 & 37 & \\
\hline 3.4 & 33 & 38 & \\
\hline 2.7 & 26 & 39 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 21 & 40 & \\
\hline 2.6 & 25 & 41 & \\
\hline 2.5 & 24 & 42 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 19 & 43 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 18 & 44 & \\
\hline 2.0 & 19 & 45 & \\
\hline 2.6 & 25 & 46 & \\
\hline 1.9 & 19 & 47 & \\
\hline 1.6 & 16 & 48 & \\
\hline 2.1 & 21 & 49 & \\
\hline 1.5 & 15 & 50 & \\
\hline 1.6 & 16 & 51 & \\
\hline 1.6 & 16 & 52 & \\
\hline 1.5 & 15 & 53 & \\
\hline 1.8 & 17 & 54 & \\
\hline 1.4 & 14 & 55 & \\
\hline 1.1 & 11 & 56 & \\
\hline 0.8 & 8 & 57 & \\
\hline 2.2 & 21 & 58 & \\
\hline 2.0 & 20 & 59 & \\
\hline 1.5 & 14 & 60 & \\
\hline 1.0 & 10 & 61 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

April 2, 2008
\begin{tabular}{lrr}
0.9 & 9 & 62 \\
1.1 & 11 & 63 \\
0.8 & 8 & 64 \\
1.2 & 12 & 65 \\
1.1 & 11 & 66 \\
1.7 & 17 & 67 \\
0.7 & 7 & 68 \\
1.1 & 11 & 69 \\
0.8 & 8 & 70 \\
1.5 & 15 & 71 \\
0.5 & 5 & 72 \\
0.7 & 7 & 73 \\
0.5 & 5 & 74 \\
0.6 & 6 & 75 \\
0.6 & 6 & 76 \\
0.9 & 8 & 77 \\
1.3 & 13 & 78 \\
0.5 & 5 & 79 \\
0.4 & 4 & 80 \\
0.2 & 2 & 81 \\
0.9 & 9 & 82 \\
0.2 & 2 & 83 \\
0.1 & 1 & 84 \\
0.0 & 0 & 85 \\
0.2 & 2 & 86 \\
0.5 & 4 & 87 \\
0.1 & 1 & 88 \\
0.1 & 1 & 89 \\
0.0 & 0 & 90 \\
0.1 & 1 & 91 \\
0.0 & 0 & 92 \\
0.1 & 1 & 107
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Type: numeric & Min: 18 \\
Decimals: 0 & Max: 92
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) MD Codes: 0, 107
```

imprace Respondent Race with Imputation for missing data

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | Refuse-Not codable |
| 83.1 | 841 | 1 | White |
| 13.5 | 137 | 2 | African American |
| 3.4 | 34 | 3 | Other |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1012 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 0
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 5/84

```
newinc
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
& 55 & 0 & REFUSED \\
2.6 & 24 & 1 & \(\$ 10,000\) or less \\
7.5 & 70 & 2 & \(\$ 10,000-19,999\) \\
7.2 & 67 & 3 & \(\$ 20,000-29,999\) \\
12.0 & 111 & 4 & \(\$ 30,000-39,999\) \\
13.9 & 130 & 5 & \(\$ 40,000-49,999\) \\
6.3 & 59 & 6 & \(\$ 50,000-59,999\) \\
17.1 & 159 & 7 & \(\$ 60,000-69,999\) \\
33.4 & 310 & 8 & \(\$ 70,000\) or more \\
& 28 & 9 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& --- & & \\
& 1012 & cases &
\end{tabular}

Decimals: 0 Max: 8
Input location: 5/85
```

Michigan State of the State 47

```
```

sample Sample source of Respondent

```
sample Sample source of Respondent
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    27.5 278 1 S-46 Re-Interview
    27.5 278 1 S-46 Re-Interview
    72.5 734 2 S-47 New Respondent
    72.5 734 2 S-47 New Respondent
        1012 cases
        1012 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
    Decimals: 0 Max: 2
    Decimals: 0 Max: 2
    Input location: 5/86
```

    Input location: 5/86
    ```
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
msue2005 & \multicolumn{4}{c}{ New MSUE Region code (2005) } \\
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
3.4 & 34 & 1 & UP \\
5.8 & 59 & 2 & NORTH LP \\
13.6 & 137 & 3 & CENTRAL \\
21.1 & 213 & 4 & SOUTHWEST \\
47.5 & 481 & 5 & SOUTHEAST - City of Detroit \\
8.7 & 88 & 6 & Detroit \\
& --- & \\
& 1012 & cases
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 0
    Decimals: 0 Max: 6
    Input location: 5/87
```

agecat4 Rs age in 4 categories

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 21.1 | 206 | 1 | $18-29$ Yrs |
| 31.1 | 304 | 2 | $30-44$ Yrs |
| 30.9 | 302 | 3 | $45-64$ Yrs |
| 16.9 | 165 | 4 | 65 or older |
|  | 34 | 9 | REFUSED/missing |
|  | --- |  |  |

```
    Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9
    Decimals: 0 Max: 4
    Input location: 5/88
msue2005r5 New MSUE Region code (5 categories)
PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
\(3.4 \quad 34 \quad 1\) UP
\(5.8 \quad 59 \quad 2 \quad\) NORTH
\(\begin{array}{llll}13.6 & 137 & 3 & \text { CENTRAL }\end{array}\)
\(21.1413 \quad 4\) SOUTHWEST
\(56.2568 \quad 5 \quad\) SOUTHEAST
1012 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 5/105

April 2, 2008```

