Survey Reveals that Cities are Important to Michigan Residents

Importance of City to State
In the Institute for Public Policy and Social Research’s twenty-third State of the State Survey (SOSS), respondents were randomly split into two samples, one group responded to questions on Michigan cities in general and one group answered questions pertaining to the city of Detroit.

When asked to assess the “shape” of Michigan Cities/Detroit, 42% said Michigan cities were “good” or “very good” and 43% said they were “fair.” Some 20% said Detroit was “good” or “very good” and 50% rated it “fair.”

How important are these cities to the overall well being of the state? While nearly the same percentage of residents thought that Michigan cities (98%) and Detroit (90%) were “very” or “somewhat” important, more thought that Michigan cities were “very” important (69%) to the state. Only 46% thought Detroit was “very” important.

Who is responsible for addressing the problems of our cities? When asked about Michigan cities, residents were divided. Some 50% said that “cities” should be responsible, 29% said the “state,” and 21% said “both.” When asked about Detroit, residents were more likely to answer that the responsibility was that of the “city” (72%).

Local Governance
Approximately 85% of respondents support financial incentives from state government to encourage greater local cooperation. More than 71% support the consolidation of local governmental units if it would improve efficiency or services.

Citizens React to Urban Sprawl Issues
Defined to respondents as “the spreading of development such as housing and businesses on undeveloped land around cities,” respondents were asked various questions relating to urban sprawl.

When asked whether they were “not at all concerned,” “somewhat concerned,” or “very concerned” about urban sprawl issues, respondents expressed greatest concern about increases in pollution and energy (Continued on Reverse)
Some pundits believe that the statewide population is increasingly frustrated with sprawl and convinced that the revitalization of inner cities would help. They believe that citizens understand that inner-city rejuvenation would redirect new development away from suburban greenfields, toward inner cities and would therefore reduce sprawling development.

To test this political hypothesis, the State of the State Survey asked, “Do you think [that redeveloping deteriorated or underutilized areas in old inner or central cities] would reduce urban sprawl?” Some 19% of respondents said that it would “greatly reduce” urban sprawl, 65% felt it would “somewhat reduce” it, and 16% said “it would not help at all.”

At a surprisingly high rate, respondents reported that they are willing to assist deteriorated or underused areas of central cities even at the expense of other current or potential state programs and projects. Some 85 to 93% of respondents “strongly favored” or “somewhat favored” each of the following options:

- Tax breaks (85%) or low-interest government loans (88%) for businesses;
- Tax breaks (93%) or low-interest government loans (93%) for families;
- State funds to redevelop the infrastructure and facilities (90%); and,
- Free or low cost job training to workers who live or work there (85%).

School Infrastructure
Michigan residents (nearly 70%) rate the physical condition of public school buildings in their community as “good” or “very good.” Only 11% rated conditions as “very poor” or “poor.” Support was strong (87%) for state assistance to local districts for improving school infrastructure.

Government and the Environment
Michigan residents said that the state (51%) and federal governments (35%) do the best job of protecting the environment. However, residents reported that both are doing “too little.” Only 38% thought that the state was doing enough, only 32% were content with the level of federal activity.

Some 85% of Michigan residents said that polluted sites should be cleaned up to the same standards regardless of their intended future use. The following groups were the most often cited for payment responsibility:

- Corporations/individuals who caused contamination (87%);
- Above group even when the pollution was legal (84%);
- The government for a portion (87%);
- The government for entire cost if no other responsible party is found (89%).

**Support Continues for Term Limits**

The present survey confirms the findings of other recent opinion polls, documenting broad support (over 60%) for continuing the present system of term limits for state elected officials. Strong support is evident across political party affiliation, education, gender, income, and age.

Respondents in IPPSR’s twenty-third State of the State Survey were asked how the performance of their own state representative/senator and the performance of state government as a whole, compares now to their performance before the passage of term limits. The survey found that most residents thought the performance of their own state representative/senator (66%) and the government as a whole (66%) was about the same.

Also asked was whether term limits should be eliminated, continue with longer terms, or continue unchanged. Most residents (64%) said that term limits should continue unchanged. Only 12% support longer terms.